

U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service

NOTICE OF GRANT AND AGREEMENT AWARD

1. Award Identifying Number	2. Amendr	nent Number	3. Award /Project Per	iod	4. Type of award instrument:	
NR233A750004G073			Date of final signat 07/31/2028	ure -	Grant Agreement	
5. Agency (Name and Address)			6. Recipient Organization (Name and Address)			
USDA Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities c/o FPAC-BC Grants and Agreements Division 1400 Independence Ave SW, Room 3236 Washington, DC 20250 Direct all correspondence to FPAC.BC.GAD@usda.gov			DIVISION OF AGRICULTURE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS 1371 W ALTHEIMER DR FAYETTEVILLE AR 72704 UEI Number / DUNS Number: WJNTJ7LBL823 / 140031639 EIN:			
7. NRCS Program Contact	8. NRCS A	Administrative	9. Recipient Program Contact		10. Recipient Administrative Contact	
Name: SOPHIE PARKER	Name: CHARLENE WINTERS		Name: Nana Tian		Name: Joshua Boice	
(b)(6)						
		• 24% 2				
11. GEDA	12. Authority		13. Type of Action		14. Program Director	
10.937 15 USC 7		14 et seq	New Agreement		Name: Nana Tian	
					(0)(0)	
15. Project Title/ Description: Eximplementation and monitoring of	xpands mai of climate-s	rkets for climate-smar mart practices.	t wood and forest proc	lucts in Ark	ansas and supports farmer	
16. Entity Type: H = Public/Stat	e Controlle	d Institution of Higher	Education			
17. Select Funding Type						
Select funding type:		🕅 Federal		Mon-Federal		
Original funds total		\$3,710,171.00		\$0.00		
Additional funds total		\$0.00		\$0.00		
Grand total		\$3,710,171.00		\$0.00		
18. Approved Budget		×		,		

Personnel	\$1,107,0	29.00	Fringe Benefits	\$217,926.00	\$217,926.00	
Travel	\$113,206.00		Equipment	\$58,100.00		
Supplies	\$331,118.00		Contractual	\$1,082,152.00		
Construction	\$0.00		Other	\$800,640.00		
Total Direct Cost	\$2,724,983.00		Total Indirect Cost	\$985,188.00		
			Total Non-Federal Funds	\$0.00		
		Total Federal Funds Awarded	\$3,710,171.00	\$3,710,171.00		
			Total Approved Budget	\$3,710,171.00	\$3,710,171.00	
This agreement is subj award or amendment a act on behalf of the award attachments), and agree found by NRCS to have	ect to appli and any pay ardee orga ees that acc e been ove	cable USDA NR /ments made pu nization, agrees ceptance of any p rpaid, will be refu	RCS statutory provisions and Finance ursuant thereto, the undersigned rep is that the award is subject to the app payments constitutes an agreement funded or credited in full to NRCS.	cial Assistance Regulations. In accord presents that he or she is duly autholicable provisions of this agreement to by the payee that the amounts, it	epting this norized to int (and all f any,	
Name and Title of Authorized Government Representative KATINA HANSON Acting Senior Advisor for Climate-Smart Commodities		^{Signature} KATINA HANSON	Digitally signed by KATINA HANSON Date: 2023.08.23 15:54:46 -05'00'	ate)8/23/2023		
Name and Title of Authorized Recipient Representative Signature JEAN-FRANCOIS MEULLENET Jean-Fr		_{Signature} Jean-Fra	Ancois Digitally signed by Jean- Francois Meullenet	Date 8/15/2023		

NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

-05'00'

Meullenet

Date: 2023.08.15 15:26:21

Senior Associate VP

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

The above statements are made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. Section 522a).

Statement of Work

Purpose

The purpose of this agreement, between the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and the University of Arkansas System, is to build markets for climate-smart commodities and invest in America's climate-smart producers to strengthen U.S. rural and agricultural communities.

Objectives

The objectives of this project are to support the production and marketing of climate-smart commodities by providing voluntary incentives to producers and landowners, including early adopters, to implement climate-smart agricultural production practices, activities, and systems on working lands; measure/quantify, monitor and verify the carbon and greenhouse gas (GHG) benefits associated with those practices; and develop markets and promote the resulting climate-smart commodities.

Budget Narrative

The official budget summarized below and described in the attached Budget Narrative will be considered the total budget as last approved by the Federal awarding agency for this award.

Amounts included in this budget narrative are estimates. Reimbursement or advance liquidations will be based on actual expenditures, not to exceed the amount obligated.

TOTAL BUDGET \$3,710,171

TOTAL FEDERAL FUNDS \$3,710,171 PERSONNEL \$753,081 FRINGE BENEFITS \$148,249 TRAVEL \$77,011 EQUIPMENT \$58,100 SUPPLIES \$225,250 CONTRACTUAL \$736,158 CONSTRUCTION \$0 OTHER \$727,134 (includes PRODUCER INCENTIVES \$0) TOTAL DIRECT COSTS \$2,724,983 INDIRECT COSTS \$985,188

TOTAL NON-FEDERAL FUNDS \$0 PERSONNEL \$0 FRINGE BENEFITS \$0 TRAVEL \$0 EQUIPMENT \$0 SUPPLIES \$0 CONTRACTUAL \$0 CONSTRUCTION \$0 OTHER \$0 (includes PRODUCER INCENTIVES \$0) TOTAL DIRECT COSTS \$0 INDIRECT COSTS \$0

Recipient has an approved Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA) with a rate of 47 percent and a base of modified total direct costs, consisting of all direct salaries and wages, applicable fringe benefits, materials and supplies, services, travel and up to the first \$25,000 of each subaward (regardless of the period of performance of the subawards under the award). Modified total direct costs shall exclude equipment, capital expenditures, charges for patient care, rental costs, tuition remission, scholarships and fellowships, participant support costs and the portion of each subaward in excess of \$25,000. Other items may only be excluded when necessary to avoid a serious inequity in the distribution of indirect costs, and with the approval of the cognizant agency for indirect costs.

When equipment is purchased with Federal funds it must be used until no longer needed as described in the General Terms and Conditions and 2 CFR 200. If the residual value of the equipment is \$5,000 or more at the time it is no longer needed, the recipient must request disposition instructions. The disposition instructions may direct the recipient to: 1) sell the equipment and return a proportionate share of the proceeds to the Federal agency; 2) transfer title to another

eligible entity identified by the Federal agency; or 3) keep the equipment if desired and compensate the Federal agency for its proportionate share of the value.

Responsibilities of the Parties:

If inconsistencies arise between the language in this Statement of Work (SOW) and the General Terms and Conditions attached to the agreement, the language in this SOW takes precedence.

RECIPIENT RESPONSIBILITIES

Perform the work and produce the deliverables as outlined in this Statement of Work and attachments.

Ensure Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) clearance is obtained prior to conducting data collection from producers or other project participants, including data collection performed by subrecipients.

Comply with the applicable version of the General Terms and Conditions.

Submit reports and payment requests to the ezFedGrants system as outlined in the applicable version of the General Terms and Conditions. Reporting frequency is as follows:

Performance Reports: Quarterly

SF425 Financial Reports: Quarterly

Detailed Progress Report: Quarterly (The detailed progress report is in addition to the performance and financial reports referenced above and described in the general terms and conditions)

Expected Accomplishments and Deliverables

See attached Benchmarks Table and associated Project Narrative.

Resources Required

See the Responsibilities of the Parties section for required resources, if applicable.

Milestones

See attached Benchmarks Table and associated Project Narrative.

GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Please reference the below link(s) for the General Terms and Conditions pertaining to this award: https://www.fpacbc.usda.gov/about/grants-and-agreements/award-terms-and-conditions/index.html

Attachments: Budget Narrative Project Narrative Benchmarks Table Climate-Smart Practices List and Limitations Data Dictionary Climate-Smart Specific Terms and Conditions

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)
Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

Developing and harnessing climate-smart commodities from hardwood restoration for small and underserved landowners in the Southern Bottomland Region

Executive Summary

Bottomland hardwood (BLH) forests have shown high potential for producing climatesmart commodities like timber while providing C sequestration and storage, wildlife habitat, and other ecosystem services. Yet, 70% of BLH area have been lost in the past 100 years. There is a compelling need for restoring BLH forests, a viable climate-smart agricultural/forestry (CSAF) practice. Small and underserved family landowners play a critical role in implementing this CSAF practice while they face more adopting barriers than other landowners. This project aims to: 1) plant 500-600 acres of oak forests on working lands in the agricultural dominant floodplain of the Red River Valley of southwestern Arkansas, the Ouachita River Valley of southcentral Arkansas, and the Bayou Meto Watershed in eastern Arkansas by recruiting 10 or more small and underserved landowners with a minimum of 5 underserved landowners; 2) quantify and demonstrate the ecological and economic benefits of hardwood plantations on working lands in terms of timber and carbon; and 3) help these landowners manage the plantations and market climate-smart commodities through market development. This project will not convert wetland to forests. Plantations under this project will be established on working lands.

This project represents a partnership among the University of Arkansas at Monticello (UAM) (lead), University of Arkansas at Pine Bluff (UAPB), and Texas A&M University (TAMU) as well as the recruited landowners. The Keep It in the Family Program (KIITF) at UAPB will help recruit underserved landowners and disseminate project outcomes to the broader community of underserved landowners. The project will provide seedlings, other necessary materials, and technical and financial assistance to help the recruited landowners in establishing and managing the plantations and marketing the climate-smart commodities produced from the hardwood oak plantations. Moreover, the plantations and planed landowner outreach activities (workshops and field trips) will help reach out to more small/underserved landowners, encouraging broader adoption of hardwood plantation/restoration in the region. The project will be managed by a Senior Management Team with representatives from all partners, chaired by Project Director (Dr. Tian at UAM) and advised by an Advisory Board (see appendix). The key project personnel in the three institutions have collaborated previously, demonstrating their collaboration and project management capacity. These arrangements will help reduce producer barriers to adopting hardwood planting, minimize transaction costs, ensure the success of the project, and forge a strong and lasting partnership for a larger-scale adoption of this climate-smart practice beyond the project duration. The Advisory Board members will consist of the representatives of key stakeholders of this project. Their roles are to i) evaluate the quality, outcomes, and impacts of the project; ii) advise on new opportunities (e.g., new market segments, marketing channels, mills/firms/industry sectors, etc.) with which the project may connect; and iii) advocate for the project's activities, deliverables, and relevance. The Board will meet once per year or more frequently as needed. The Board members are volunteers (no compensation from the project), and their meetings will be held at the University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture (UADA) state office at no cost to the project. The proposed members include: Joe Friend -UAPB Forester; Max Braswell - Arkansas Forestry Association; Charley Williams-Conservation Consultant at UAPB; Mike Blazier - Dean of the College of Forestry, Agriculture, and Natural Resources and Director of the Arkansas Forest Resources Center of the UADA; Jody Pagan -Environmental Protection Services; and the appointed State Forester for the Arkansas Department of Agriculture's Forestry Division (To be Named). Dr. Mike Blazier will serve as the Board chair.

1. Project Justification

Bottomland hardwood (BLH) forests were and are still one of the most abundant natural forest types in the southeastern U.S. and are globally important as wetlands soils store 30% of global carbon with only 6% of the earth's surface (Krauss et al. 2021). They are critically important for providing ecological and economic benefits to society including timber, water regulation, nutrient retention from runoff, habitat for many wildlife species of conservation concern and biodiversity, natural sceneries, and C sequestration and storage (Saikku 1996, Kellison and Young 1997, England et al. 2016). BLHs once covered 30 million acres; however, land-use change and expansion of agriculture resulted in a 70% loss in the area and severe degradation of their quality in the past 100 years. The remnant BLH tracts are under severe threat because of water management practices, continued expansion of agriculture, and climate change (Schneider et al. 2011, Zarfl et al. 2015, Wohl et al. 2017). To mitigate BLH loss and restore hydrological processes and ecosystem services (ES), some afforestation efforts have been undertaken but more are needed. The most successful afforestation or reforestation of BLHs in the Lower Mississippi Alluvial Valley (LMAV) is credited to the USDA, specifically the Conservation Reserve Program (CRP) and the Wetland Reserve Program (WRP) which hereafter named Wetland Reserve Easements (WRP/WRE) (Allen 1997, Schoenholtz et al. 2001, King et al. 2006, Mitchell et al. 2016). The establishment of those conservation programs has been instrumental in restoring BLHs along with providing climate-smart commodities like timber products and other ecosystem services like C sequestration and wildlife habitat.

Among the most important tree species in BLHs are red oaks (Allen 1997, Kellison and Young 1997, Lockhart et al. 2010), which, while providing abundant food for wildlife, are a significant source of lumber and veneer for the production of high-quality furniture, flooring, wine barrels, and other products. Acorns of red oaks provide important energetic resources for mallards and wood ducks as they contain high levels of saturated fatty acids and other micronutrients that are not readily available in other wildlife food sources (Heitmeyer and Fredrickson 1990). Mast production of bottomland oaks is desired by managers for enhancing wildlife habitat and high-quality wood. In addition, as natural and primary hardwood forests become increasingly scarce and are protected for conservation purposes, a dominant amount of hardwood timber has come from second growth and plantations. Plantations will become increasingly important in timber supply and producing other climate-smart commodities/services (i.e., C sequestration) in the near future. Benefits resulting from oak plantations including timber production, wildlife habitat, C sequestration, and biodiversity enhancement have been widely realized.

Restoring low-productivity working lands back to oak forest systems in the bottomlands of the LMAV can yield significant carbon benefits as C is sequestered in forest biomass and soils at levels typically well above the sequestration rate for crop systems. In the Southeastern U.S., land under agricultural production, especially conventional row crop production, has resulted in the loss of soil carbon since the conversion of forests for agricultural purposes (Franzluebbers 2010). However, research on how long this process could take or the potential rate of sequestration from such a land-use change or reversal has not been addressed. Studies in other subtropical regions have found that afforestation of cropland initially decreased soil carbon with an increase being observed after 30 years post tree planting (Paul et al. 2002, Cunningham et al. 2012, England et al. 2016). These same studies found that the main carbon sink in afforested systems was in the aboveground biomass and litter layer rather than the soil. Afforestation activities may accelerate live-tree sequestration of C stocks in forests (Law et al. 2018, Nave et al. 2019) and

accumulation of C in soils (Nave et al., 2018). Therefore, quantifying the potential total C sequestration of afforested land in the BLH ecosystems is crucial. In addition, linking C sequestration with a potential carbon market as well as involving small/underserved landowners in the afforestation activities and markets have the potential to promote forest C storage and mitigate greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.

Small and underserved landowners play an important role in BLH restoration as the majority of forestland/agricultural lands in both Arkansas and the LMAV region are owned by family landowners (Butler et al. 2013, Fernholz et al. 2021). The benefits from the sales of climate-smart commodities derived from BLH restoration can help small and underserved landowners retain their working land. On the other hand, given their limited resources and limited access to financial and technical assistance, small/underserved landowners face more barriers to implementing BLH restoration than other landowners. Hence, there is a compelling need to assist small and underserved landowners to implement BLH restoration, a viable climate-smart practice.

2. Project Objectives and Activities

We propose the **following objectives** for this pilot project involving existing BLH plantations and new plantations on the working lands of newly recruited landowners in the Red River Valley (RRV) of SW Arkansas, the Ouachita River Valley (ORV) of southcentral Arkansas, and the Bayou Meto Watershed (BMW) in eastern Arkansas:

- Recruit and assist small/underserved landowners to embrace the ecological and economic benefits of BLH afforestation as a climate-smart practice and to establish small acreage tree plantings to produce timber while contributing to C sequestration and storage;
- 2) *Evaluate ecological health benefits of the climate-smart practice* by assessing forest stand structure and wildlife habitat suitability for indicator species and species of greatest conservation concern as ecological health indicators in BLH afforestation;
- Quantify climate-smart commodities/services provided by hardwood afforestation including the amount of wood biomass, timber products, and carbon sequestrated in soils;
- 4) *Develop markets for climate-smart commodities/services* yielded from this project and examine the economic benefits and market potential; and
- 5) Conduct landowner education and outreach activities using the plantations and previously afforested hardwood sites to encourage broader participation from small/underserved landowners in BLH restoration in the region.

Major activities to fulfill the objectives will consist of:

- Recruit and assist small/underserved landowners for establishing and managing BLH plantations through a partnership with the Keeping It in the Family (KIITF) Small Farm Program at the UAPB.
- 2) Implement research and monitoring on existing afforested stands of 5 years, 15 years, and 25 years post-planting (i.e., WRP/WRE and other oak plantation sites including FOAgREC) to estimate the yield of BLH forests using the field inventory or destructive sampling method. In addition, evaluate wildlife populations that are indicators of ecological health in forested systems as they respond to carbon-smart production practices. For research and demonstration purposes, we will select afforested climate-smart sites paired with nearby

agricultural sites on FOAgREC (Support letter), the University of Arkansas Division of Agriculture Pine Tree Research Station (AgPTRS, support letter), and WRP/WRE wetland management areas. Data from previous studies are available for this project including:

- a. Forest soil and tree health inventories on FOAgREC (Figure 1),
- b. Oak biomass growth model with destructive sampling from AgPTRS (Figure 2), and
- c. Fifty-one WRP/WRE located on the Arkansas Delta (Figure 3).
- 3) Estimate/quantify total tree biomass, merchantable timber, and carbon storage including above- and below-ground tree biomass, understory, deadwood, litter, soil, and harvested wood product carbon.
- 4) Develop markets through carbon credits, mills (e.g., stave, barrel, pulp, and bioenergy production), and hunting leases and examine the economic benefits and market potential for climate-smart commodities of carbon and wood and bioenergy products as well as wildlife hunting and non-consumptive uses.
- 5) Develop education and outreach materials (e.g., handbook, factsheets, etc.) and a project website and conduct landowner workshops and field trips to assist more small and underserved landowners with establishing BLH restoration plantations and producing and marketing climate-smart commodities/services.

3. Implementation Strategy

Activity 1:

Act.1.1. Recruitment of landowners: The KIITF Small Farm Program (Support letter I) and FOAgREC will help recruit 10 or more small and underserved landowners in total with a minimum of 5 underserved landowners in the RRV, ORV, and BMW subregions. The KIITF has worked closely with underserved landowners for many years and has been in contact with several underserved landowners who have indicated an initial interest in participating in tree planting on their working land. Additionally, the project personnel at UAM have been working with other small landowners in the three subregions, and have contacted several of them who have also indicated their willingness to participate in this project (allowing the use of their working lands for tree planting) if this proposal is funded.

Act.1.2. Demonstration site establishment: Mixed hardwood oak plantations in blocks of 20-80 acres will be developed, with a total acreage size of 500-600 acres. Site preparation and planting guidelines will follow USDA-NRCS conservation practice standards (code 612) for tree/shrub establishment, which are also adopted in the state of Arkansas. We have included the appointed State Forester for the Arkansas Department of Agriculture's Forestry Division on our Advisory Board to help guide this process. Additionally, two Registered Foresters designated by the State Forester will assist in developing and scheduling follow-up monitoring and auditing activities to ensure that all site preparation, tree planting, and management practices meet the established 612 and related guidelines. In addition to plantations on the properties of the recruited small/underserved landowners, two more demonstration sites with 20 acres on FOAgREC (Support letter) and 40 acres on AgPTRS (specific location to be determined; Support letter) will be established. To compare the economic and ecological (timber and carbon) benefits as well as costs between potted planting versus bare root planting, the planting plan on both FOAgREC and AgPTRS sites will include half the committed acreage planted using bare root seedlings and half planted using 3-gallon potted seedlings. Potted seedlings have higher survival and growth rates than bare root seedlings given that bare root seedlings are more

sensitive to handling practices of lifting, storage, transport, and planting and that these practices can negatively affect seedling performance (i.e., survival and growth rate) (Grossnickle and El-Kassaby, 2016). These plantations will be used for monitoring returns on investment (economic/ecological tradeoffs) and as demonstration plots by partners such as KIITF. The comparative study using the two types of seedlings on the FOAgREC and AgPTRS sites will yield useful knowledge for guiding future BLH restoration. However, for the recruited small/underserved landowners, afforestation will occur on working lands and committed acreages will all be planted using bare root seedlings because bare root seedlings cost significantly less and have been widely used for large-scale plantings. Necessary technical and financial assistance will be provided to FOAgREC, AgPTRS, and those participating private landowners for the establishment and maintenance of the plantations under this project. The financial assistance will include plantation establishment costs for site preparation, seedlings, tree planting, and weed control. Sites selection for the participating small and underserved landowners will be done on the basis of clear title for land ownership, sufficient land area for project needs, and other criteria of NO similar or other activities on the same land and NO any other funds for doing those activities/practices. Participating landowners must consent to a cooperative agreement with the University of Arkansas' Division of Agriculture (UADA) to allow land access for a) the project team for project/plantation establishment and research/outreach activities, b) contract laborers for tree planting and maintenance operations, and c) attendees of field tours for outreach events. We have developed a landowner profile form for recruiting qualified landowners for this project. The screen-profile form will ensure that the participants in this project are not receiving funds, for the same activities on the same land, under any other USDA grants program.

Activity 2:

Act.2.1. Forest health inventory: The majority of plantings established under the WRP/WRE contracts were predominantly mixed oak-hardwood plantations with various red oak species interplanted, by rows with green ash, sycamore, or sweetgum (Lockhart et al. 2008, Schoenholtz et al. 2001). A previous study (Beckemeyer 2020) conducted by our research team completed the inventory of 51 WRP/WRE tracts of 13-21 years of age and ranging in size of 121-3,118 acres in the LMAV of eastern Arkansas. The WRP/WRE afforestation efforts focused on mixed oak planting to improve ES and waterfowl habitats in the region. We sampled 765 inventory plots consisting of a fixed-area, 0.1-acre circular plot and a smaller fixed-area, 0.025-acre circular plot within the larger plot. Midstory trees, overstory trees, and snags were inventoried using the 0.1-acre circular plots. Inventory measurements of all trees and saplings included species identification, status (live or dead), origin (planted or volunteer), DBH, total tree height (i.e., the top of the crown was marked by the last live branch), and height to live crown base (i.e., the point on the bole perpendicular to the lowest foliage on the last included branch with a basal diameter >2.5 cm and within 1.5 m of the base). We will use these inventory data to estimate wood-based carbon as well as biomass.

FOAgREC has embarked on a forest inventory and monitoring project to assess stand structure, composition, and health status across elevation and soil gradients in BLH forests. We have forest inventory data for ~750, 1/10th acre plots across 1800 acres of BLH forest. Contained within these existing forest stands are 0.5 to 1-acre gap cuts that were subsequently afforested, these mixed hardwood plantations are of various age classes. The current inventory data contains 30 inventory plots that fall within these mixed hardwood plantations. We will use these inventory data in combination with tree cores and destructive methods to estimate wood-based carbon and biomass.

The hardwood oak plantations on AgPTRS were planted in February 2004 after the ripping process in November 2003. The seedlings were hand planted in 12 plots with 4 replications for each treatment with 10'x10' spacing arrangements between rows. The 12 plots (Figure 3) with a space of 100' by 100' were established by randomly assigning one of the three tree species (CBO-Cherry bark oak, SHU-Shumard oak, NUT-Nuttall oak). Field inventory was done in 2020 and the results showed that: the average total height was 17.75 m, 15.56 m, and 13.58 m respectively for CBO, NUT, and SHU; regarding average DBH, it was 18.44 cm, 16.51 cm, and 16.61 cm respectively for CBO, NUT, and SHU (Tian et al., 2022).

Act.2.2. Waterfowl use of climate-smart plantings: All ranges of successional stage of BLH forests from early planting with understory in hydrophytic vegetation to mid-rotation, and old-growth age classes all serve as critical habitats for wintering waterfowl populations, thus ecological health of climate-smart practices can be evaluated using advanced technology that allows tracking of individual fine-scale movements of ducks. Waterfowl are adaptive to change: thus, we anticipate mallards will respond immediately to the implementation of climate-smart afforestation practices, particularly those susceptible to winter flooding. We will estimate the structural attributes of the forest and the overstory in a 10-mile buffer around the core use areas of transmitter-marked mallards. We will quantify and compare structural attributes such as tree density, height, canopy volume, and canopy openness using remotely sensed and publicly available LiDAR (US Geological Survey, 3DEP; see example Figure 4) and satellite imagery (European Space Agency, Sentinel-2) in areas used and areas not used by transmitter marked mallards to determine factors influencing habitat selection decisions. We will quantify waterfowl-use-days and the proportion of time spent in climate-smart afforested stands of varying age classes, along with other land cover types including agricultural fields, agricultural fields converted to winter cover crops, and moist-soil herbaceous wetlands using GPS/GMS transmitters (Ornitela, model OrniTrack-20, solar-powered). Mallards (n=70, of which 35 in the Red River Valley and 35 in the LMAV per year for 2 years) will be marked fitted with backpack style transmitters in October-November during year 1 and year 2.

Transmitters will be programmed to collect UTM coordinates and other sensory data at each location fix hourly throughout the life of the device (typically, 2-3 years) and programmed to transmit data once every 24-hrs when in the range of GSM cell towers. Data will be obtained through an online portal and stored on secure servers operated by MoveBank (https://www.movebank.org/cms/movebank-main). Linear mixed-effects models will be used to model the influence of landscape composition and individual stand structural attributes of the forest that mallards prefer and use regularly, these results can be used to demonstrate the ecological health benefits for wildlife from climate-smart afforestation practices of WRP/WRE and other oak plantation sites.

We will use the Forest Vegetation Simulator (FVS) (https://www.fs.fed.us/fvs/) calibrated with data collected from this project to predict the growth and development of BLH plantations and evaluate them for desired future conditions (DFCs) as done previously by Beckemeyer (2020). WRE plots will be evaluated by DFCs at present and correlated with the actual use and movement patterns of mallards. Models to predict future use will be constructed and applied to FVS projections to measure potential future population impacts.

Activity 3:

Act.3.1. Carbon pools and quantification: We will quantify the net change in C stocks (additionality) associated with BLH restoration compared to the existing agricultural land use (the reference). Carbon sequestration and storage resulting from BLH restoration will be tracked in several C pools—soil organic matter, live tree biomass, understory, deadwood, litter, and harvested wood products. We will follow the widely accepted carbon accounting or inventory guidelines established by USEPA (2015b) and IPCC (2019) and existing practices (Woodall et al. 2015, USDA NRCS 2022). The system boundaries will concur with those of the value chain associated with BLH restoration. That is, we will focus on the net change in C stocks at the hardwood restoration/plantation sites and associated with the production, distribution, consumption, and disposal of products produced from biomass-derived from BLH restoration. At the agricultural sites, the initial soil C values come directly from the agricultural sites paired with the WRP/WRE sites in Arkansas. Both annual increment and cumulative stock of carbon will be measured in metric tons (Mg) of CO₂ equivalent (CO_{2e}) on a per-ha basis up to 100 years.

Soil carbon storage: Soil C content of agricultural and afforested bottomlands will be determined by comparing 18-paired sites across the state of Arkansas with a focus on the LMAV and Coastal Plain regions of the state. These 18 paired sites will include 5, 15, and 25-year-old WRP/WRE participants and their adjacent agricultural lands as well as current research sites at the FOAgREC and the AgPTRS. Soil samples will be collected once in Year 2 of the project. Eight composited soil samples will be collected to a depth of 60 cm and split 0-15, 15-30, and 30-60 cm for a total of 864 soil samples. Soil biological analysis will be conducted at 0-15 cm depth. Soil chemical/physical analysis will be conducted for each depth increment. Soil measurements will include total carbon and carbon fractions as well as soil biological community composition and activity. Carbon will be measured on an equivalent soil mass (ESM) basis to more accurately determine soil carbon content. Soil respiration will be measured to determine the loss of CO₂ and CH₄ through soil microbial respiration and the temporary anoxic conditions that result from the occasional flooding of these bottomlands. Soil microbial communities and their activity in the cycling of carbon.

Live tree biomass carbon: Live biomass carbon represents carbon stored in trees, both aboveground (i.e., trunks and branches) and belowground (i.e., coarse roots). The volume of merchantable tree biomass will be estimated using allometric equations derived from Tian et al. (2022), in which, destructive sampling of 60 oak trees from an 18-year plantation at the AgPTRS in LMAV was completed. Based on the estimated volume of merchantable biomass, we will estimate the volume of total tree biomass (stem, stump, branches, bark, and belowground coarse living roots) using the component ratio method (Jenkins 2004, Woodall et al. 2015), and the volumes will be converted to the quantities of CO_{2e} based on the specific gravities and carbon contents of wood and barks (Swift et al. 1979, Jenkins 2004, Miles et al. 2009, Woodall et al. 2011). The amount of timber removals (harvest) will be subtracted from the tree biomass yield at the time when the removals occur, and tree regeneration and re-growth are assumed to continue immediately after removals.

Understory, deadwood, and litter carbon: Compared to the soil and live tree biomass carbon pools, the quantity of understory, deadwood, and litter carbon is relatively small (Pan et al. 2011). However, for more accurate carbon accounting, we will conduct sampling in new and existing BLH plantations. The sampling data will be coupled with the data obtained from existing publications and sources to estimate carbon in the understory, deadwood, and litter.

Similarly, we will convert the volume and dry mass of this group of biomass to CO_{2e} based on their specific gravity and carbon content.

Carbon in harvested wood products (HWPs): We anticipate the wood materials obtained from the BLH restoration will include sawtimber, pulpwood, and wood chips. Sawtimber will be used for making flooring and trims, furniture, and wine barrels (a new product recently introduced to the region); pulpwood for pulping and paper making; and wood chips as feedstock for producing bioenergy (bioelectricity and biofuels). The volume of sawtimber and pulpwood will be derived from the growth/yield models estimated using the data collected from existing plantations as well as the published sources (e.g., Gingrich 1971) for stand age up to 100 years. The volume up to a 21.6 cm diameter top will be treated as sawtimber, volume up to a 10.2 cm top as pulpwood, and the remanent portion as bioenergy feedstock. We will exclude the biomass loss during logging operations and the amount of biomass left at the harvest sites for soil, water, and wildlife conservation purposes in determining the quantities of sawtimber, pulpwood, and bioenergy feedstock (Gan and Smith 2006 2010).

We will conduct lifecycle assessments (LCAs) to quantify carbon sequestered and stored in HWPs. The product lifecycle will begin with site preparation and tree planting, weed control, disease and pest management, thinning and pruning, timber harvesting and transport, milling, product distribution, consumption, and recycling and disposal after use. The methods and data used for LCA of forestry production and wood and paper products have been quite well developed (National Agricultural Library 2022, USEPA 2015b), and they can be applied to this project (for some data, some adjustments may be necessary to better reflect the reality of this project). We will also collect data and information, through our field experiments and measurements, on energy, materials, and equipment used for establishing and managing the hardwood plantations, harvesting and transporting timber, and manufacturing and distributing products (especially wine barrels). The newly collected data along with the data available in the published references will be used to conduct the LCA of HWPs to be produced from this project.

Carbon in wood and paper products and greenhouse gas emissions offset by bioenergy will be calculated in two different ways. Thus, the annual net change in HWP carbon in year t (ΔCh_t) is the sum of the annual net change in the carbon stock of wood and paper products (ΔCw_t) and the annual amount of CO_{2e} emissions offset by bioenergy (ΔCe_t). Annual net change in the amount of CO_{2e} in wood and paper products in year *t* can be calculated by $\Delta Cw_t = \sum_i \Delta Cw_{i,t} =$ $\sum_i (Cw_{i,t} - Cw_{i,t-1})$, where *i* denotes the wood or paper product; $Cw_{i,t}$ is the carbon stock (CO_{2e}) of product *i* in year *t*; $Cw_{i,t}$ and $Cw_{i,t-1}$ are the carbon stock (CO_{2e}) of product *i* in years *t* and *t*-1, *respectively*. The carbon stock in wood and paper products is assumed to decay following the equation: $Cw_{i,\tau} = Cw_{i,0}2^{\left(-\frac{\tau}{t_{i,1/2}}\right)}$, where *i* denotes the product, τ denotes the time (number of

years) since the product was made, $t_{i,\nu}$ is the half-life of product *i*, $Cw_{i,0}$ is the amount of CO_{2e} in product *i* at the time when it was made, and $Cw_{i,\tau}$ is the amount of CO_{2e} in product *i* after τ years in use.

The annual amount of greenhouse gas emissions offset by bioenergy in year *t* can be calculated using the equation: $\Delta Ce_t = \sum_j \Delta Ce_{j,t} = \sum_j (Ce_{-j,t} - Ce_{j,t})$, where *j* denotes the bioenergy product, *-j* denotes the fossil energy product replaced by bioenergy product *j*, $Ce_{-j,t}$ is the amount of CO_{2e} emissions from consuming the amount of fossil energy product *-j* replaced by bioenergy product *j* in year *t*; $Ce_{j,t}$ is the amount of CO_{2e} emissions from consuming the amount of CO_{2e} emissions from consuming bioenergy product *j* produced in year t. We will develop an optimization model to determine the

best allocation of biomass (logging and milling residues and small-diameter trees) for producing different bioenergy products (Gan and Smith 2012). The amount of CO_{2e} emissions from producing and consuming fossil energy and bioenergy products will be computed via LCA. The GREET Model (Argonne National Laboratory 2021) will be used for this purpose.

Act.3.2. Carbon in all pools: Carbon in all pools described above will be added together to determine the total amount of carbon sequestration and storage resulting from BLH restoration. We will convert carbon measurements in all pools into annual net change and the cumulative amount of CO_{2e} on a per-ha basis of restored BLH plantation up to 100 years. The annual net change (CO_{2e}) in year t (ΔC_t) can be computed by $\Delta C_t = \Delta Cs_t + \Delta Cb_t + \Delta Cr_t + \Delta Ch_t$, where ΔCs_t , ΔCb_t , ΔCr_t , and ΔCh_t represent the annual net change (CO_{2e}) in soil, live tree biomass, understory-deadwood-and-litter, and HWPs in year t, respectively.

The total cumulative amount of CO_{2e} sequestered and stored can be computed by $C_t = C_{t-1} + \Delta C_t$, where Ct and C_{t-1} are the total cumulative amount of CO_{2e} in years t and t-1, respectively. Some hardwood plantations may not be harvested. In this case, no carbon in HWPs will be included. We will also consider different options for managing the restored hardwood plantations, including both even-aged and uneven-aged management. We quantify the annual increment and cumulative amount of CO_{2e} under all these scenarios.

Act.3.3. Permanence, leakage, monitoring, verification, and uncertainties: BLH forests restored through this project are expected to remain as forests for a long period. This will especially be true as the landowners and other stakeholders in both the private and public sectors increasingly value climate-smart commodities as well as other products and ES of BLH forests. Additionally, given the nature and limited other potential uses of these low productive agricultural lands, carbon leakage associated with this project should be minimal. Hence, permanence and leakage are unlikely to be an issue for this project.

As described previously, we will quantify carbon based on per ha of restored hardwood plantation. This will make monitoring and verification straightforward and simple by just monitoring and verifying the area of hardwood plantation that is established and retained. The monitoring and verification of area (acreages) of new plantations under this project will be done by the Forestry Division of Arkansas Department of Agriculture. Co-PIs Cunningham and Pelkki, who are also Registered Foresters in Arkansas will coordinate with the Office of the State Forester, who leads the Forestry Division and will also serve on the Advisory Board of this project, to perform the monitoring, auditing, and verification tasks for this project.

We will use data from different sources, including published references and our field experiment and measurement data, to estimate and calibrate biomass and carbon equations. We will compare the estimates derived from different data sources and different approaches and models to identify the discrepancies and attributing factors, and then propose remedies accordingly. Methods and tools (equations, coefficients/parameters like specific gravity, carbon content, carbon density, etc.) for quantifying carbon in forests of different tree species including oaks and HWPs are fairly well developed (Jenkins 2004, Skog 2008, Miles et al. 2009, Woodall et al. 2011, USEPA 2015b, Woodall et al. 2015, IPCC 2016). We will use our field experiment and measurement data to calibrate and verify the existing models and coefficients/parameters. We will make our data and findings accessible to the public and connect with COMET (<u>http://comet-farm.com/</u>) to improve carbon quantification associated with BLH restoration. COMET will be one tool used to quantify carbon associated with BLH restoration; on the other hand, carbon calculation results using our field experiment and measurement data as well as other published data and tools will in turn provide suggestions for improving COMET.

We will examine uncertainties in carbon quantification associated with BLH restoration. We will identify the sources and magnitudes of uncertainties as well as their mitigations and remedies. Uncertainties can come from different sources including data, methods, models, and assumptions, among other factors. In addition to comparing our data, models, and results with existing ones, our field experiment and measurement data will be collected from several sites over multiple years where different soil and weather conditions and management alternatives are present. These types of data will allow us to estimate the means, variances, and confidence intervals of variables related to carbon quantification as well as the contribution of various factors to these statistic estimates. All these will help us better and more deeply understand the uncertainties and develop effective remedies.

Act.3.4. Carbon credit allocation: We will develop a scheme for allocating carbon credits among agents along the value chain associated with restored BLH forests. We will consider and evaluate several alternative allocation schemes based on efficiency, fairness, simplicity, and executability. One alternative is to allocate all the live tree and deadwood biomass, understory, litter, and soil carbon credits to the landowner and the HWP carbon credit to the logger, mill operator, and end-product consumers. We will also conduct a stakeholder survey to elicit their opinions on different carbon credit allocation schemes, and the survey results will be used to determine the carbon credit allocation scheme and provide suggestions for implementation.

Activity 4:

Act.4.1. Potential market development: The oak forests established on working lands will provide hardwood timber that has great market potential in Arkansas and the west gulf region as it can be used for producing a variety of value-added wood products/commodities. Flooring materials, trims, and furniture are traditionally important end-products manufactured using hardwood timber. Recently, new products like wine barrels have attracted investments to the region. Using low-grade and small-diameter hardwood for pulping and bioenergy production (e.g., wood pellets) is also promising. The manufacturers of these products are the buyers of hardwood timber, the primary climate-smart commodity of this project. We will contact potential hardwood timber buyers (see the lists below), conduct surveys of these buyers, use the data collected to perform market and economic analysis, and, based on the analysis outcomes, offer strategies/suggestions for improvements on timber production efficiency and market expansions. In addition, the established hardwood forests will yield supplementary benefits of ecosystem services such as increased carbon sequestration and storage in trees and soils, wildlife habitat, biodiversity, and hunting. We will also value and market these supplementary ecosystem services with an emphasis on carbon. We will assist the participating landowners with connecting with forest carbon programs currently operated in the state as well as sponsored by the American Tree Farm System and Finite Carbon.

The primary climate-smart commodity of this project is hardwood timber. The plantations are inevitable to yield different categories or grades of timber, including low-quality and small-diameter hardwoods, hardwood pulpwood, and high-quality timber. Different categories of timber are appropriate for different uses or markets. Thus, market developments will cover all three categories of timber with an emphasis on high-quality timber due to its dominance in quantity (timber volume) and economic value.

Markets for *low-quality and small-diameter hardwoods* for bioenergy (wood pellets) will be developed through existing wood pellet production firms (Highland, Drax, Dansons, and Royal Oak Charcoal), Arkansas Power and Light, Entergy, and the Arkansas Electric Cooperatives.

Markets for lower-quality sawtimber for flooring materials will be developed with Maxwell Hardwood Flooring in Monticello, Arkansas. The potential buyers of lower-quality sawtimber in Arkansas will also include Koppers in North Little Rock, Grossjanes in Crossett, Kerr-McGee in Texarkana, and Stella-Jones in Russellville.

For *hardwood pulpwood*, there are two large paper mills in Southeast Arkansas, Pactiv-Evergreen in Pine Bluff and Clearwater Paper in Arkansas City. Both operate hardwood pulp concentration yards and buy hardwood pulpwood from landowners.

For *high-quality timber* capable of being marketed for factory-grade lumber and other highvalue products such as oak staves and furniture, the project team will be coordinating with the newly formed Nashville Hardwood Lumber Exchange (NASHLX:

<u>https://www.nashlx.com/index.aspx</u>) and its member mills and buyers to help participating landowners obtain the highest possible prices for their timber. A hardwood forest Co-op in Arkansas will be developed to connect the hardwood timber producers (landowners) with userowned businesses (i.e., mills) using the Riceland Foods in Stuttgart, Arkansas as a model, which is one of the nation's leading agricultural cooperatives. The Co-op is expected to increase the negotiation power for the tree growers (participating landowners) and reduce marketing and transaction costs for individual landowners.

Carbon markets that are currently operating in Arkansas (NCX, Green Trees, and Core Carbon) will be contacted on behalf of landowners interested in exploring these markets. The longer rotations expected in bottomland hardwoods as well as the afforestation aspects of these projects lend well to marketing forest carbon. With the development of GHG markets, the forces of supply and demand of sequestration will play a greater role. So, suppliers of carbon offsets especially landowners will become competitive. For example, in Arkansas, linking landowners (suppliers) with Walmart (potential buyer) could be developed with a potential policy-level implementation of mandatory GHG emissions reductions. In this case, the producers such as landowners with connecting to and enrolling in local and regional carbon credit programs such as the Family Forest Carbon Program of the American Forest Foundation (https://www.forestfoundation.org/what-we-do/increase-carbon-storage/family-forest-carbon-

(https://www.forestfoundation.org/what-we-do/increase-carbon-storage/family-forest-carbonprogram/) and Finite Carbon (https://www.finitecarbon.com/clients/family/). We will ensure the adoption of the carbon accounting and verification methods and tools described in Act.3.3. to avoid double counting. Co-PIs Cunningham and Pelkki will lead in this task to ensure that the measurement, monitoring, reporting, and verification (MMRV) requirements are met.

The project personnel will produce and disseminate a marketing pamphlet and social media materials that will highlight the hardwood timber and other supplementary ecosystem services produced from the hardwood plantations. This will help reach to broad audiences or potential buyers in a more cost-effective way.

Finally, as part of the marketing plan, the participating landowners will have the opportunity to enroll their hardwood plantations in a forest certification program with either the American Tree Farm System, which is recognized by the Sustainable Forestry Initiative, or the Forest Stewardship Council. The project personnel will help facilitate the group certification of project participants with the certification providers to reduce the certification costs for the landowners. The certification is expected to gain recognition for these landowners and their hardwood forests, increasing their land values.

Act.4.2. Economic valuation and analysis: The benefits of BLH restoration include those derived from carbon credits; sales of wood, paper, and bioenergy products and hunting leases;

and other ES. The costs will include establishing and managing hardwood plantations, harvesting and transporting timber, and manufacturing and distributing end products. We will measure and estimate all these benefits and costs and incorporate them into the economic analysis of hardwood restoration. Specifically, we will calculate the land/soil expectation value (LEV) of BLH restoration, which is the net present value of all benefits and costs over an infinite time horizon, and compare it with the LEV under the current agricultural land use option.

Benefits: The carbon benefit will be calculated by multiplying the annual carbon increment (ΔC_i) by carbon price. A range of carbon price will be determined based on its market trends (https://carboncredits.com/) and social cost (GAO 2020) as well as local situations and used in our economic analysis. Similarly, the revenues from the sales of wood, paper, and bioenergy products will be determined based on their market prices and quantities of production/sales; and the revenue from hunting leases will be estimated based on the per-acre hunting lease price.

In addition to hunting, restored BLH forests will offer other ES (e.g., non-consumptive use of wildlife, biodiversity, soil and water conservation, etc.), which do not have market prices but are valued by landowners and the public alike. We will value these ES using both benefit transfer and discrete choice experiment (DCE) methods. While DCE will allow us to conduct an original valuation, benefit transfer will discover the general trends of ES valuation adjusted for local situations using the published valuation data. The use of both methods will enable us to leverage the existing rich information on ES valuation while addressing the variation issue in ES valuation, yielding more reliable estimates of ES values.

Benefit transfer determines ES values for the case at hand by transferring the estimates of their values in the similar cases published in existing studies after adjusted for the case differences. It has been widely used for valuing non-market ES and often involves meta-analysis (Costanza et al. 1987, de Groot et al. 2012). The meta-analysis will establish the statistical linkage between the ES valuation and attributes as well as case-specific characteristics, allowing for estimating the values of different attributes and for adjusting the value estimates for different cases. To collect data for the meta-analysis, we will conduct a comprehensive literature/data search and review to identify and record all previous related studies using the platforms/databases such as the Web of Science, Google Scholar, and the TEEB Valuation Database (van der Ploeg and de Groot 2010).

DCE on the other hand solicits the willingness-to-pay (WTP) for ES in the context of their attributes. We will identify key distinct and unique characteristics of restored BLH forests compared to the current agricultural land use and use them as attributes for designing the DCE. The current agricultural land use and a \$0 WTP will be considered as the reference option. We will use both visual and verbal descriptions of ES attributes to help respondents understand what they are asked to value. We will employ a web-based survey (using Qualtrics) to elicit ES valuation largely because it will allow for respondents to have more time to gather, access to, and understand the information about the ES attributes (Lindhjem and Navrud 2011) and partly because it may become more feasible during the post-pandemic period. We plan to obtain 1000 valid responses. The DCE data will be used to fit a choice model, $f(\pi_{ij}) = x'_{ij}\beta + \varepsilon_{ij}$, where π is the probability for individual/respondent *i* choose option *j* over other options including the reference option, *x* is the vector of regression coefficients, and ε is the random error. The estimated choice model will reveal the WTP for different levels of ES attributes (Train 2009).

Costs: Costs associated with establishing and managing the hardwood plantations, harvesting and transporting timber, and manufacturing and distributing end-products will be

gathered through our field experiments and measurements and our interviews with producers and practitioners in the study region as well as from secondary sources. Besides the original data collected by the personnel of this project in our field experiments, we will interview representative loggers, mill operators, and other practitioners in Arkansas, Texas, and Louisiana to solicit cost information. The secondary sources will include published references and other peer-reviewed sources (e.g., Donagh et al. 2019, Maggard 2021).

Economic analysis: We will compute the land expectation value (LEV) of BLH restoration: $LEV = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_t - C_t}{(1+r)^t}$, where *t* represents time (number of years from the current time); B_t and C_t are the benefit and cost in year *t*, respectively; and *r* is the annual real discount rate. We will compute LEVs under various scenarios representing different hardwood management strategies (e.g., even-aged and uneven-aged management, no timber harvest). LEVs will be computed for the landowners (including only the benefits accruing to and costs incurring to them) and for society as a whole (including all benefits and costs). The LEV of the existing agricultural land use will also be calculated and compared with the LEVs of hardwood restoration, demonstrating the economic consequences of restoring the bottomland to hardwood forests. Besides the infinite time horizon, we will consider shorter project durations relevant to the landowners, for example, one rotation of hardwood forests or 100 years. Finally, we will perform a sensitivity analysis by considering different levels of carbon and product prices, and the discount rate. These analyses will provide a more comprehensive and accurate picture of the economic outcomes of BLH restoration, guiding farmers' decision on adopting this climate-smart practice and policy-making to promote the adoption.

Activity 5:

Act.5.1. Outreach activities and efforts: Outreach activities will include multiple methods to reach a variety of audiences. Audiences will include family forest landowners, professional foresters, wildlife biologists, agency personnel, and the general public. Underserved family forest landowners will be a focal audience included in the project efforts. The University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, College of Forestry, Agriculture and Natural Resources at UAM, and UAPB Extension will work together to reach underserved landowners specifically. Outreach efforts will include publication development paired with professional videos, a BLH handbook and factsheets for landowners, website development, in-person and web-based workshops, field tours, and social media outreach. For example, one regional workshop and field tour for each of the three subregions (RRV, ORV, and BMW) will be conducted in year 2 for demonstrating the oak plantations that will be established by the participating small/underserved landowners in the pilot project. Outreach efforts will initially include introductory information related to the project (Year 2). A second phase will provide information on project development and methods employed in the project (workshop and field tour in Year 4). The third and final round of outreach will strive to present project results, new technologies, and practical management implications (workshop and field tour in Year 5). For example, the primary purpose of the workshop and field tour in year 5 is to provide instruction by project PIs, graduate students, wildlife professionals, and landowners regarding project findings as well as to demonstrate the planted forest stand condition. Additionally, all landowner education and outreach materials along with project activities and outcomes will be posted on the project website, always available to landowners and others, and the Extension personnel (led by Co-PI Kyle Cunningham) at the University of Arkansas System including the UAPB Extension and KIITF will assist all landowners who are interested in and need technical assistance.

Act.5.2. Survey design and conduction: To reach more private landowners, we will use a combination of electronic (Qualtrics) and mail survey instruments to gain an understanding of the potential and barriers to a larger-scale adoption of BLH restoration practices on private working land in the region. The first-round survey will be conducted in year 1 of this project. The target audience for the survey will be all landowners in this BLH region. The survey questions, timeline, and methodology for administering the survey instrument will be the product of discussions with focus groups, NRCS, select landowners, regional partners, practitioners, and Extension agents. The survey will be designed and administrated following the procedures described by Dillman (2014). The second round of surveys will be conducted during the three subregional workshops and field tours in year 3. The Third round of survey will be done in year 5. We will let those participants fill out the same survey before and after workshops/field tours, and the purpose is to examine whether any changes in their attitudes toward BLH restoration of oak planting due to the events. The survey ultimately will include questions concerning: a) ownership and forestland characteristics (e.g., tenure, land size, acquisition mode of land, ownership motivations), b) management and land use (e.g., ownership objectives, past and future management plans, available incentive programs), c) willingness to change the land use for climate-smart commodities of BLH restoration, and d) demographics (e.g., age, gender, income level, education level, occupation). The collected data will be analyzed using a variety of statistical techniques (e.g., multivariate regression models with both continuous and discrete dependent variables). To be specific, regression analysis will be employed for exploring the significant factors that influence landowners' interests and attitudes toward BLH restoration and estimate their willingness to pay/accept (WTP/A) compensation for doing so. The product of this survey will include recommended policy options (i.e., cost-share programs) and outreach strategies based on stakeholders' acceptance and perceptions regarding climate-smart activities on the demonstration sites. These survey results will be shared with the participants of our workshop and field trip in year 5.

Act.5.3. Professional videos and handbook: We will develop a BLH restoration handbook as well as factsheets for landowners. The handbook will consist of four modules: 1) plantation establishment and management, 2) hardwood growth and yield, 3) carbon accounting and credits, and 4) market and economics. Module 1) will include site preparation, tree planting, weed control, disease and pest control, thinning and pruning, and management of carbon and wildlife. Module 2) will cover hardwood growth and yield models for merchantable and total biomass, including diameter and height growth, annual and periodical increasement, and yields at different ages. Module 3) will include the estimates of carbon (incremental and cumulative) in above- and below-ground biomass, deadwood, litter, soil, and harvested wood products at different tree ages. Module 4) will describe market and price trends for commodities derived from BLH restoration; costs, benefits, and economic returns, including uncertainty and risk analysis, of BLH restoration; existing policies and incentive programs for BLH restoration. The factsheets will cover select topics that are critically important to landowners and other stakeholders. We also plan to develop professional videos and take photos related to the topics highlighted for each module above. The professional videos and highly relevant and selective photos will be incorporated into the handbook. The handbook, factsheets, videos, and photos will be posted on the project website for public access and used for conducting our landowner education and outreach workshops.

4. Expected Outcomes

Building markets for the climate-smart commodities produced from this project is the primary expected outcome. The main climate-smart commodity resulting from this project is hardwood timber, which is the focus for market development in this project. The wood grown from the plantations will include low- and high-grade timber, which can be used for producing a variety of end-products including staves, furniture, flooring materials, trims, wine barrels, pulp and paper, and wood pellets for energy. These end-products are not the focus of this project, but entail different emphases and approaches for developing markets for different categories/grades of timber, the primary commodity. As mentioned above (Act.4.1. Potential market development), our marketing plan will focus on the manufacturers and the buyers of hardwood timber and develop strategies for improvements on timber production efficiency and market expansions. The established forests can also sequester and store carbon in trees, soils, and harvested wood products, and wood pellets for bioenergy can offset greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from burning fossil fuels. We will quantify, value, and help the landowners market hardwood timber products as well as carbon sequestered and stored in the plantations and timber products and GHG offset by substituting wood pellets for fossil fuels. The established hardwood plantations will also yield other ecosystem services like wildlife habitat and biodiversity. These supplementary benefits are not our focus, but they can be indicative of climate resilience and broader impacts of the established hardwood forests. Additionally, these supplementary benefits along with the major product of timber can incentivize landowners to adopt and expand hardwood restoration. Hence, while focusing on timber products, we will also estimate the supplementary benefits, providing additional information on broader ecological, economic, and social consequences of hardwood restoration.

Activity 1: We expect to engage 10 or more small and underserved landowners in total with a minimum of 5 underserved landowners in adopting climate-smart tree planting on 500-600 acres of working lands. These landowners will in turn influence their neighboring and other landowners to do the same, and these plantations will serve as demonstration sites for the workshops and tours planned for this project and future use by the Extension personnel. We will quantify differences in total carbon sequestered as plantations age from 5 to 15 to 25 years and beyond post-planting. Demonstrating such accumulation of sequestered carbon will be valuable in establishing future carbon markets. We also expect to see increased use of oak plantations by waterfowl as these healthy forested systems provide a diversity of food resources and cover types to help meet daily energetic requirements subsequently improving individual and population fitness.

Activity 2: Inventory and growth of oak plantations at different geomorphic features and surfaces will help demonstrate the suitability, health, and sustainability of this practice. The models for predicting future use can be applied to FVS projections to measure potential future wildlife population impacts. The quantified use patterns and movements of transmitter marked mallards will demonstrate improved habitat quality and ecological health from the climate-smart afforestation practice. The outcomes of this work will be used to demonstrate the impact these practices have on waterfowl populations through presentation of data at field site visits, through an informational video series that we will produce, on a website visible to the public, at regional Conservation Delivery Network meetings with professionals that deliver on the ground practices and at scientific conferences.

Activity 3: The quantified results of carbon sequestration and storage in the restored hardwood forests and associated with timber production will help demonstrate the carbon

sequestration benefits of tree plantations not only to landowners but also to other stakeholders. Results of this study will provide the total carbon pool component for predicting/modeling the ability of afforested bottomland to sequester carbon and the timeline of sequestration after the conversion of agricultural land. This information could be utilized across the Mid-South of the United States as the Mississippi Alluvial Plain and Coastal Plain are the major land resource areas of the region. These results will also provide landowners with applicable information regarding carbon sequestration and inform future decision-making regarding land use change and the associated contribution to carbon sequestration.

Activity 4: Primary outputs from the economic and market analysis will demonstrate the economic efficiency of BLH restoration and the market potential of hardwood timber. The market and economic assessments are expected to evaluate the market capacity and develop new market segments for hardwood timber, estimate the costs and benefits of hardwood restoration including its contribution to land value, develop a carbon accounting and credit allocation system for the restored hardwood forests, and provide monetary estimates for supplementary ecosystem services. These outcomes will provide qualitative and quantitative information that will help increase the awareness and appreciation of economic benefits from BLH restoration and guide market and policy development and deployment of climate-smart commodities.

Activity 5: We expect to produce a handbook, several factsheets, 2 Extension videos, 9 workshops (3 workshops for 3 subregions), and extensive social media efforts. A webpage created to present project efforts and findings will be housed at the University of Arkansas System Division of Agriculture, Cooperative Extension Service – Forest Resources website. We aim to reach a minimum of 1,000 family forest landowners, 400 professional foresters and wildlife biologists, 80 agency personnel, and 5,000 in the general public audience. Often the most effective means of communication in rural communities is words-of-mouth. We anticipate the efforts of this project will help improve communication among landowners and between landowners and other stakeholders in the private and public sectors and will likely attract more landowners to participate in future BLH restoration. The training events (workshops and tours) and video production series will help expand the effectiveness of the technical assistance to assist small and underserved landowners in particular and family landowners in general.

5. Project Duration and Timeline

Key Activities	Project Milestones	Key Deliverables	Timeline
Landowner recruitment and plantation establishment	Successfully recruit 10 or more small/underserved landowners; complete tree planting on working lands and demonstration site	Establishment of all tree plantations	June, 2023 – June 2024
Modeling forest structure canopy and establishing covariates for modeling mallard transmitter data	Analyze mallard movements and benefits of climate-smart practices using experimental and inventory data	Publications including scientific paper, factsheets, reports; presentations at conferences, workshops, and field tours.	Jan. 2024 – Dec. 2025

The project will last for five years (June 1st, 2023 to May 31st, 2028). Major activities, milestones, and deliverables are shown in the following table.

Capture and deploy transmitters on mallards	Live capture 70 mallards per year and track use of forested and agricultural landscape. Mallard movements will demonstrate ecological benefits to wildlife	Publications demonstrating mallard selection of climate- smart forestry practices including WRP/WRE; presentations at conferences, workshops, and field tours.	Jan. 2024 – Dec. 2025
Soil sample collection and C quantification	Soil sampling and soil gas measurements will used to determine soil carbon sequestration and production differences between ag land and afforested land of differing ages	Publications including scientific papers, factsheets, reports; presentations at conferences, workshops, and field tours.	Jan. 2024 – Sept. 2027
Surveys of landowners and other stakeholders	Complete landowner and other stakeholder surveys using a combination of Qualtrics and mail format for understanding the constraints/concerns regarding hardwood forest restoration and the valuation of ecosystem services	Publications including scientific papers, factsheets, reports, and Master's theses; presentations at scientific conferences, workshops, and field tours.	Jan. 2024 – Dec. 2026
Workshop and field tours	Prepare landowner education materials; Conduct workshops to demonstrate ecological benefits of climate-smart forestry practices to local/regional landowners	Landowner handbook for BLH restoration; number of workshops and field trips completed; number of workshop/field trip participants	Jan. 2024 – Sept. 2027
Market development and economic analysis	Economic efficiency of BLH restoration; market development and analysis for climate-smart commodities	Publications including scientific papers, factsheets, reports and theses/dissertation; presentations at conferences, workshops, and field tours.	Jan. 2024 – May 2028



Fig. 1. (Up left) Proposed and current sites for forest soil and tree health inventories on the FOAgREC long-term research and education station in Humphrey, Arkansas.

Fig. 3. (Right) Soil carbon will be sampled from a subsample of these WRP/WRE sites in the Arkansas Delta and Red River Valley Regions that we previously measure forest inventory.



Fig. 2. (Up right) Study site and the layout of the measurement plots with all three oak species (CBO-Cherry bark oak, NUT-Nuttall oak, SHU-Shumard oak) located at the AgPTRS in the Arkansas Delta Region (Tian et al., 2022).



Fig. 4. (Right) Individual trees (red dots, other color represents a digital elevation model) on a BLH forest site at Five Oaks Ag Research and Education Center that were identified using remotely sensed and LIDAR data. These types of data can be quantified to estimate tree stem density, individual canopy area, and canopy openness of forested stands such as the afforested stand in the lower-left portion of this figure.



Itom		CY 202	3		CY 2024 CY 2025 CY 2026		2026			CY 2027			CY 2028								
Item	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2
Number of producers involved	0	2	2	8	10	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12	12
Number of underserved producers involved	0	2	2	4	5	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
Number of acres involved	0	60	60	420	540	660	660	660	660	660	660	660	660	660	660	660	660	660	660	660	660
Dollars provided to contractors for tree planting on producers' land	0	\$165, 600	\$165, 600	\$383, 400	\$456, 000	\$528, 600	\$528, 600	\$726, 600													
GHG Benefits (tons/year)	0	720	720	5040	6480	7920	7920	7920	7920	7920	7920	7920	7920	7920	7920	7920	7920	7920	7920	7920	7920
Number of new marketing channels established	0	0	0	1	1	Ĩ	I	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4
Number of marketing channels expanded	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4
Demonstrated engagement of major partners	0	0	1	2	2	-3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6
Outreach, training and other technical	0	0	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5

Timetable of project activities and milestones. The numbers representing the project milestones are cumulative over time.

1

assistance:			1L																		
Number of																					
field tours for																					
landowners																					
Outreach,																		1			
training and																					
other																					
technical			2	2	-	-			12		22			22			20	. 2	12	20	
assistance:	0	0	2	2	2.5	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	2	2	5	5
Number of																					
Extension																					
workshops																					
Number of	-	1		-							-									1	-
MS/PhD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	6	6	6
theses	10/05/2	5252.2	201	6816	~		1.25		00394	368200							20.0		5.79%		0.28
Number of						67 - C	di K		,		2 - 23	,					0 (S			(()	
post-doc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
associates	19625	19977	****	PAG 1.5	200.000		6.12241			202001	245.0.1				12040	0.20	140.51	COMP.	1.1.1		<u> </u>
Number of				·.						-										0	
research	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
technicians																					
Number of		1		91 																	
journal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	8	10
articles																					
Number of		n																		8	
Sci. & PI																					
conferences	0	0	1	1	5	6	10	12	14	14	15	17	25	27	30	32	34	35	36	38	40
/meetings																					
attended																					

Climate-Smart Practices and Limitations

NRCS Practice Code	Practice Name
314	Brush Management
315	Herbaceous Weed Control
490	Tree/Shrub Site Preparation
560	Access Road
612	Tree/Shrub Establishment
647	Development/Management
655	Forest Trails and Landings
666	Forest Stand Improvement

Climate-Smart practices under this grant shall be limited to the following practices:

All practices applied under this grant will follow NRCS practice standards unless noted below: N/A

ATTACHMENT - DATA DICTIONARY



Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients February 2023 Version 1.0

USDA is an equal opportunity lender, provider and employer.



Table of Contents

Overview of Reporting Requirements
Project Summary3
Partner Activities4
Marketing Activities
Producer Enrollment
Field Enrollment7
Farm Summary
Field Summary9
GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled10
GHG Benefits - Measured11
Additional Environmental Benefits12
Supplemental Data Submission13
Data Descriptions14
Unique IDs14
Project Summary15
Partner Activities20
Marketing Activities
Producer Enrollment
Field Enrollment
CSAF Practice Sub-questions44
Farm Summary45
Field Summary49
GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled57
GHG Benefits - Measured61
Additional Environmental Benefits65
CSAF Practice Sub-questions75
Appendix A: Climate-smart Agriculture and Forestry Practices
All NRCS Practice Standards (not limited to climate-smart practices)
Other CSAF Practices
Appendix B: Commodity List

Overview of Reporting Requirements

Grant recipients are required to submit reports to document their performance under the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodity funding opportunity. These submissions will be required to use the Microsoft Excel workbook templates provided by USDA. The workbooks contain a series of worksheets that collect data in a standardized format to ensure data quality and allow for aggregation and summary of this information. The entire workbook must be submitted quarterly, with updates to all applicable worksheets. This guide is divided into three sections. The Overview of Reporting Requirements section summarizes the layout of the reporting workbook and presents the data elements included in each worksheet. It also describes additional documents that must be submitted to supplement the performance reports. The Data Definitions section provides descriptions and allowable response options for each data element. The guide also indicates whether each data element is required, applicable at times, or optional; as well as how frequently each data element must be updated. Finally, the Appendices contain practice and commodity lists that will be used for these reports. Reporting is necessary for USDA oversight of this effort. The data elements required for inclusion in the quarterly performance reports allow USDA to conduct selected audits to review whether producers are receiving federal funds from multiple sources for the same purpose; to determine whether GHG benefits from implementation of climate-smart agriculture and forestry (CSAF) practices are being estimated accurately; and for other purposes deemed appropriate by USDA.

The reporting worksheets collect information at four levels: project, partner, producer, and field. Descriptions of each level:

Project level: Information about activities and impacts at a whole project/aggregate level (i.e., reflecting all activities under the grant agreement). Some project-level reporting is further subdivided by commodity type or a combination of commodity and CSAF practice(s) (commodity x practice). **Partner level:** Information about activities related to a single organization (recipient, subrecipient, contractor, or other partner) within a project.

Producer level: Information about individual producers who have one or more farms enrolled in a project. **Field level**: Information about individual fields enrolled in a project.

Certain data elements are required to be reported for each producer and field enrolled in a project. In order to minimize the burden associated with data collection and to enable USDA to match data to existing records, these producer- and field-specific records must use the producer's established FSA Farm, Tract and Field IDs, and report the State and County associated with the Farm ID. Associated data entered in conjunction with these data elements, such as Producer Name, must match the data contained in the customer's Business Partner record, and the Farm Operating Plan in Business File for that Farm ID. Disclosure of this information is protected under Section 1619 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (PL 110- 246), 7 U.S.C. 8791. Additionally, Departmental Regulation 4370-001 provides USDA's policies for collecting demographic data, including race, ethnicity and gender. Providing demographic information is voluntary and at the discretion of the customer. Demographic information is used by USDA for statistical purposes only and will not be used to determine an applicant's eligibility for programs or services for which they apply.

Note: For purposes of this guide, "farm" refers to the operation from which climate-smart commodities are produced and may represent farms, ranches, forests or other operations. Similarly, "field" refers to the individual land units at which climate-smart practices are being implemented to produce climate-smart commodities and may represent lots, farmsteads or other units, depending on the type of operation and commodity. The use of "Farm", "Tract" and "Field" align with the FSA definitions; for example, "A field is a part of a farm that is separated from the balance of the farm by a permanent boundary, such as; fences, permanent waterways, woodlands, croplines in cases where farming practices make it probable that this cropline is not subject to change, and other similar features."

The following tables list the data elements included in each reporting worksheet, along with a brief description of each item.

Project Summary

These data will be collected about each project. Cumulative results are reported each quarter. Report last quarter's entry if there has been no change in this quarter.

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Commodity type	Type of commodity(ies) incentivized by the project	Quarterly
Commodity sales	Indicates sales of the commodity(ies) related to the project occurred this quarter	Quarterly
Farms enrolled	Indicates enrollment activities occurred this quarter	Quarterly
GHG calculation methods	Methods used to calculate greenhouse gas (GHG) benefits	Quarterly
GHG cumulative calculation	Method used to calculate cumulative GHG benefits	Quarterly
Cumulative GHG benefits	Whole project estimate of total GHG (CO2e) emission reductions	Quarterly
Cumulative carbon stock	Whole project estimate of total carbon sequestration	Quarterly
Cumulative CO2 benefit	Whole project estimate of total CO2 emission reductions	Quarterly
Cumulative CH4 benefit	Whole project estimate of total CH4 emission reductions	Quarterly
Cumulative N2O benefit	Whole project estimate of total N2O emission reductions	Quarterly
Offsets produced	Amount of carbon offsets produced by project	Quarterly
Offsets sale	Name of marketplace where carbon offsets were sold	Quarterly
Offsets price	Price of carbon in offset sales	Quarterly
Insets produced	Amount of carbon insets produced by project	Quarterly
Cost of on-farm TA	Cost of on-farm technical assistance (TA) provided to producers	Quarterly
MMRV cost	Cost of measurement, monitoring, reporting, and verification (MMRV) activities	Quarterly
GHG monitoring method	Methods used by project to monitor GHG benefits (up to 5)	Quarterly
GHG reporting method	Methods used by project to report on GHG benefits (up to 5)	Quarterly
GHG verification method	Methods used to verify GHG benefits (up to 5)	Quarterly

Table 1. Project Summary elements

Partner Activities

These data will be collected at the project level. Each row in this worksheet will represent one organization involved in the project, including the recipient and all contributing partners. A partner is any organization that is receiving project funds or providing matching contributions (funds or in-kind contributions) to the project. While the recipient must complete one row for their own organization, not all data elements apply to the recipient. These exceptions are noted in the detailed descriptions of the specific elements in the *Data Definitions* section of this guide. Data are reported cumulatively each quarter. Report last quarter's entry if there has been no change in this quarter.

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Partner ID	Unique ID for each partner	One-time
Partner name	Name of partner organization	One-time
Partner type	Type of organization	One-time
Partner POC	Partner point of contact name	As applicable
Partner POC email	Partner point of contact email	As applicable
Partnership start date	Start of partnership on project	One-time
Partnership end date	End of partnership on project	As applicable
New partnership	Indicator for partner organizations that have no prior work with the recipient	As applicable
Partner total requested	Total amount requested to date by partner from recipient	Quarterly
Total match contribution	Total amount of match contribution by partner to date	Quarterly
Total match incentives	Total amount of match contribution by partner for incentives	Quarterly
Match type	Top 3 types of match contribution by partner, other than incentives	Quarterly
Match amount	Value of match contributions by type	Quarterly
Training provided	Top 3 types of training provided to the partner through project	Quarterly
Activity by partner	Top 3 types of activities provided by this partner to producers or other partners	Quarterly
Activity cost	Approximate cost per activity type provided by partner to producers or other partners	Quarterly
Products supplied	Names of products supplied to producers as part of project activities or incentives	Quarterly
Product source	Supplier or source of products supplied to producers as part of project activities or incentives	Quarterly

Table 2. Partner Activities elements

Marketing Activities

i.

....

1

-

These data will be collected at the project level. Each row in this worksheet will correspond to one commodity for which the project enrolls fields and one marketing channel used to sell that commodity by the project or producers enrolled in the project. Data are reported for the current quarter and are not cumulative. If no sales of the commodity were reported during a quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter.

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Commodity type	Type of commodity incentivized by the project	Quarterly
Marketing channel type	Type of marketing channels used	Quarterly
Number of buyers	Number of buyers per marketing channel	Quarterly
Names of buyers	Names of buyers in the marketing channel	Quarterly
Marketing channel geography	Geography of marketing channel	Quarterly
Value sold	Value of commodity sold by marketing channel	Quarterly
Volume sold	Volume of commodity sold by marketing channel	Quarterly
Price premium	Price premium of commodity by marketing channel	Quarterly
Price premium to producer	Percent of price premium that goes to the producer	Quarterly
Product differentiation method	Top 3 types of product differentiation methods used	Quarterly
Marketing method	Top 3 types of marketing methods used	Quarterly
Marketing channel identification method	Top 3 ways marketing channel was identified	Quarterly
Traceability method	Top 3 types of supply chain traceability methods used	Quarterly

Producer Enrollment

These data will be collected at the producer level about each farm enrolled in the project. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one farm that has at least one field enrolled in the project. Data are reported when a producer first enrolls one or more fields in the project. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the farm characteristics each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates. The quarterly submission should contain information about each farm initially enrolled in the project during that quarter and for updates to farms that have re-enrolled during that quarter, as applicable. If no farms are enrolled during that quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter.

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	
County of residence	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	
Producer data change	Indicator that producer data was updated at re-enrollment	As applicable
Producer start date	Contract start date	Enrollment
Producer name	Name of primary operator	Enrollment
Underserved status	Indicator the primary operator is considered underserved and/or a small producer	Enrollment
Total area	Total area of enrolled operation	Annual
Total crop area	Total crop area in enrolled operation enrolled	Annual
Total livestock area	Total livestock confinement, pasture and rangeland in enrolled operation	Annual
Total forest area	Total forest area in enrolled operation	Annual
Livestock type	Top 3 types of livestock on enrolled operation	Annual
Livestock head	Total livestock currently managed (by type)	Annual
Organic farm	Indicator that part of the farm is certified or transitioning organic	Annual
Organic fields	Indicator that any of the enrolled fields are certified or transitioning organic	Annual
Producer motivation	Motivation for participation	Annual
Producer outreach	Top 3 types of outreach provided to producer	Annual
CSAF experience	Indicator of prior implementation of CSAF practices at this farm	Annual
CSAF federal funds	Indicator of prior receipt of federal funds for CSAF practices	Annual
CSAF state or local funds	Indicator of prior receipt of state funds for CSAF practices	Annual
CSAF nonprofit funds	Indicator of prior receipt of nonprofit funds for CSAF practices	Annual
CSAF market incentives	Indicator of prior receipt of market incentives for CSAF practices	Annual

Table 4. Producer Enrollment elements

Field Enrollment

These data will be collected about each field enrolled in the project. In this worksheet, each row corresponds to one field x commodity combination enrolled in the project. Generally, data are reported once for each field, at its initial enrollment. The quarterly submission should contain information about each field initially enrolled in the project during that quarter. If no fields are enrolled during that quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter. If a field is enrolled for multiple years, any relevant changes, such as a new ID number or changes to the commodity or practice combinations should be entered in this worksheet during the quarter it is re-enrolled, or as applicable.

Data element name	Description
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA
State or territory of field	State name
Physical County of field	Physical county name must match FSA farm records
Prior Field ID	Previous Field ID when reconstitution of farm results in new Field IDs
Field data change	Indicator that field data has changed from initial enrollment
Contract start date	Start date of contract
Total field area	Size of enrolled field
Commodity category	Category of commodity(ies) produced
Commodity type	Type of commodity(ies) produced
Baseline yield	Average yield of commodity in 3 years prior to enrollment
Baseline yield location	Location for which baseline yield is provided
Field land use	Most common land use in field in past 3 years
Field irrigated	Most common irrigation type in field in past 3 years
Field tillage	Most common tillage in field in past 3 years
Practice past extent - farm	Extent of operation that implemented this practice prior to project enrollment
Field any CSAF practice	Indicator for prior CSAF practices in this field in past 3 years
Practice past use - this field	Indicator of prior use of this practice in this field in the past 3 years
Practice type	CSAF practice(s) that will be implemented in enrolled field (up to 7)
Practice standard	Organization that developed CSAF practice standard implemented in field
Planned practice implementation year	Year that practice is planned to be implemented
Practice extent	Area or number of animals for which practice is implemented
Follow-on questions	Follow-on questions by practice type (see Table 11)

Farm Summary

These data will be collected about each farm enrolled in the project. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one farm that has at least one field enrolled in the project. The quarterly submission should contain updates to any data elements that have changed for each farm enrolled in the project during that quarter. If there are no changes from the previous quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter. Data are not cumulative.

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory	State name	
County of residence	County name	
Producer TA received	Type of technical assistance provided to producer	Quarterly
Producer incentive amount	Total financial incentive provided to the producer	Quarterly
Incentive reason	Top 4 reason(s) for financial incentives provided to producer	Quarterly
Incentive structure	Top 4 units on which financial incentives are structured	Quarterly
Incentive type	Top 4 type(s) of financial incentives provided to producer	Quarterly
Payment on enrollment	Extent of payment provided to producer upon enrollment	Quarterly
Payment on implementation	Extent of payment provided to producer upon implementation of CSAF practices	Quarterly
Payment on harvest	Extent of payment provided to producer upon harvest or slaughter	Quarterly
Payment on MMRV	Extent of payment provided to producer upon reporting or verification	Quarterly
Payment on sale	Extent of payment provided to producer upon sale of commodity	Quarterly

Table 6. Farm Summary elements

Field Summary

These data will be collected about each field enrolled in the project for a commodity x practice(s) combination. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one field x commodity x practice(s) combination enrolled in the project. Data for each field will be reported quarterly and are not cumulative. Report data for any elements that have an update in that quarter. Greenhouse gas benefit estimates must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate. If there are no changes from the previous quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter. This worksheet includes a section to report the "official" estimate of GHG benefits – amounts of greenhouse gas emissions reduced and carbon sequestered – for the field. These quantities refer to the estimates that are used to calculate the project's aggregate impact (reported in Table 1). Tables 8 and 9 are used to report alternate estimates of the field-level GHG benefits when additional methods are used to model (Table 8) or measure (Table 9) these impacts. Any field that can use COMET-Planner must submit those results, either as the official or alternate model.

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA	
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory of field	State name	
County of field	County name	
Commodity type	Type of commodity produced from field	Quarterly
Practice type	Type of practice(s) incentivized in field (up to seven)	Quarterly
Date practice complete	Date that practice implementation is certified complete	Quarterly
Contract end date	End date of contract	Quarterly
MMRV assistance provided	Indicator that MMRV assistance is provided to field	Quarterly
Marketing assistance provided	Indicator that marketing assistance provided for commodity from field	Quarterly
Incentive per acre or head	Indicator that a per acre/head incentives is provided for the CSAF practice(s) on this field	Quarterly
Field commodity value	Value of commodity produced from field	Quarterly
Field commodity volume	Volume of commodity produced from field	Quarterly
Cost of implementation	Total cost of practice implementation in field	Quarterly
Cost coverage	Percent of total cost of implementation of practice covered by project incentives	Quarterly
Field GHG monitoring	Methods used to monitor GHG benefits in field (up to 3)	Quarterly
Field GHG reporting	Methods used to report on GHG benefits for field (up to 3)	Quarterly
Field GHG verification	Methods used to verify GHG benefits for field (up to 3)	Quarterly
Field GHG calculations	Methods used to calculate GHG benefits for field	Quarterly
Field official GHG calculation	Method used to calculate official GHG benefits for field	Quarterly
Field official GHG ER	Official estimate of total GHG emission reductions for field	Quarterly
Field official carbon stock	Official estimate of total carbon sequestration for field	Quarterly
Field official CO2 ER	Official estimate of total CO2 emission reductions for field	Quarterly
Field official CH4 ER	Official estimate of total CH4 emission reductions for field	Quarterly
Field official N2O ER	Official estimate of total N2O emission reductions for field	Quarterly
Field offsets produced	Amount of carbon offsets produced in field	Quarterly
Field insets produced	Amount of carbon insets produced in field	Quarterly
Other field measurements	Indicator that field data was collected for reasons other than GHG benefit estimation	Quarterly

Table 7. Field Summary elements

GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled

If greenhouse gas benefits are modeled for the same field using multiple methods, the results for the alternate models are reported in this worksheet. The "alternate" models refer to those model results that were not used in the calculation of the project's aggregate impact (as reported in Table 1). Any field that can use COMET-Planner must submit those results, either as the official or alternate model. These data will be collected about the modeled GHG benefits for each field x commodity x practice(s) combination. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one field enrolled in the project. Data are not cumulative. Each quarterly submission should include information for all fields that have new modeled data. Greenhouse gas benefit estimates must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate.

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA	
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory of field	State name	
County of field	County name	
Commodity type	Type of commodity(ies) produced from the field (up to 6)	Annual
Practice type	Type of practice(s) incentivized in field (up to 7)	Annual
GHG model	Model used to calculate GHG benefits	Annual
Model start date	Start date of model run	Annual
Model end date	End date of model run	Annual
Total GHG benefits estimated	Estimate of total GHG benefits for field	Annual
Total carbon stock estimated	Estimate of total change in carbon stock for field	Annual
Total CO2 estimated	Estimate of total CO2 emission reductions for field	Annual
Total CH4 estimated	Estimate of total CH4 emission reductions for field	Annual
Total N2O estimated	Estimate of total N2O emission reductions for field	Annual

Table 8. GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled elements
GHG Benefits - Measured

Projects must report the results of any carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this worksheet. These data will be collected at the field level. Each row will represent a separate measurement method used to calculate GHG benefits for a given field. Data are reported once per year of measurement and are not cumulative. Each quarterly submission should include information for any field for which there are new soil samples or new calculations of annual GHG benefits based on actual measurements.

Data element name Description Frequency Farm ID Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA Tract ID Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA Field ID Unique Field ID assigned by FSA State name State County County name GHG measurement method Method of measurement Annual Lab name Entity that conducted analysis Annual Measurement start date Start date of measurements Annual Measurement end date End date of measurements Annual Total CO2 reduction calculated Calculation of total CO2 reduction Annual Total carbon stock change calculated Calculation of change in carbon stock Annual Total CH4 reduction calculated Calculation of total CH4 reduction Annual Total N2O reduction calculated Calculation of total N2O reduction Annual Numeric result from soil sample Annual Soil sample result Type of analysis conducted Annual Measurement type

Table 9. GHG Benefits - Measured data elements

Additional Environmental Benefits

Projects that track additional environmental benefits (e.g., water quality improvements) from enrolled fields report results in this worksheet. These data will be collected about each field. Each row in this worksheet will correspond to an enrolled field. Data are not cumulative. Estimates of environmental benefits must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate.

Table 10. Additional Environmental Benefits elements

Data element name	Description	Frequency
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA	
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA	
State	State name	
County	County name	
Environmental benefits	Indicator that project tracks other environmental benefits	Annual
Reduction in nitrogen loss	Indicator that project tracks reductions in nitrogen loss	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Reduction in phosphorus loss	Indicator that project tracks reductions in phosphorus loss	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Other water quality	Indicator that project tracks other water quality improvements	Annual
Туре	Type of water quality metric being tracked	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Water quantity	Indicator that project tracks reduced water use	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Reduced erosion	Indicator that project tracks reductions in soil erosion	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Reduced energy use	Indicator that project tracks reductions in energy use	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Avoided land conversion	Indicator that project tracks reductions in land conversion	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual
Improved wildlife habitat	Indicator that project tracks improvements in wildlife habitat	Annual
Amount	Amount	Annual
Purpose	Purpose of tracking those co-benefits	Annual

Supplemental Data Submission

Project MMRV Plan

Definition of MMRV elements:

Measurement: Quantification of the greenhouse gas benefits (reduction or capture) using mathematical models and/or direct physical measurements in the field

Monitoring: Ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time

Reporting: Documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization

Verification: Independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable.

Projects must submit an MMRV plan that includes details about how each of the following are addressed:

- Quantification approach, including:
 - o GHG models used
 - o GHG measurement plan (if applicable)
 - Approach to quantifying additional environmental benefits, if applicable (e.g., water quality, habitat)
- Verification approach:
 - o Compliance criteria
 - Verification plan/methodology
- Approach to ensuring:
 - o Additionality
 - o Permanence
 - o Leakage
 - Impacts of weather
- Plan for non-compliance

If the project is using a specific MMRV methodology or approach developed by the recipient, a project partner, or an outside organization, the project can submit documentation associated with the methodology as long as the documentation addresses each of the above categories.

If the project is tracking other environmental benefits (as reported in the *Additional Environmental Benefits* worksheet), include a description of the methodology and tools used to track and report on these benefits.

Field modeled GHG benefit reports

Results from any models besides COMET-Planner used to estimate GHG benefits must also be submitted as a separate report. This includes projects running COMET-Farm. The full results of any model can be submitted in the native/standard format generated by the modeling tool and must include the following Unique IDs in the report or in the file name: State, County, Farm ID, Tract ID, Field ID.

Field direct measurement results

For any direct physical measurements in the field, measurement results must be submitted as a separate report and must include the following Unique IDs in the report or in the file name: State, County, Farm ID, Tract ID, Field ID. Measurement results reports must include the name of the equipment used for sampling or data collection, the name of the lab that analyzed the data, and the analytical method used.

Sample report types include soil analysis reports, summarized results of portable emissions analyzers or flux towers, water quality analyses, and plant species counts. These could be collected for the purposes of determining GHG emission reductions or carbon sequestration amounts, for calibration of tools or models, for tracking other environmental benefits, or for other reasons.

Data Descriptions

This section provides descriptions and allowable response options for each data element. The guide also indicates whether each data element is required, applicable at times, or optional; as well as how frequently each data element must be updated.

Unique IDs

Project ID: Unique ID at the project level – "Award Identifying Number" shown on award documentation Partner ID: Unique ID at the partner level – use EIN; if no EIN, a unique ID will be assigned for use in these reports State or territory of operation: State or territory name County of operation: Physical county name Farm ID: Unique ID at the operation level assigned by Farm Service Agency (ESA)

Farm ID: Unique ID at the operation level assigned by Farm Service Agency (FSA)

Tract ID: Unique ID at the tract level assigned by FSA

Field ID: Unique ID at the field level assigned by FSA

Project Summary

Commodity type	
Data element name: Commodity type	Reporting question: What climate-smart commodity types are produced by this project?
Description: Type of commodity incentiviz	ed by the project. These commodities include those for whom
farmers are directly receiving incentives of	r other types of marketing support. See full list of commodity options
in Appendix B. List one commodity per rov	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: FSA commodity list
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Commodity sales	
Data element name: Commodity sales	Reporting question: Did project activities result in sales this quarter of the commodity(ies) produced by this project?
Description: Indicator of sales of commod	ity(ies) related to project activities. If sales are reported, complete the
Marketing Activities worksheet (Table 3) a	s part of the quarterly performance report.
Massurement unit: Catagan:	Allewed values. No
weasurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	• No
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Farms enrolled	
Data element name: Farms enrolled	Reporting question: Did the project enroll any producers or fields this quarter?
Description: Indicator that the project enr complete the <i>Producer Enrollment</i> and <i>Fie</i> performance report.	olled producers or fields. If enrollment activities occurred this quarter, Id Enrollment worksheets (Tables 4 and 5) as part of the quarterly
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Yes
	• No
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
GHG calculation methods	
Data element name: GHG calculation	Reporting question: What methods is the project using to
methods	calculate GHG benefits?
Description: List the way(s) that GHG bene	Soloot multiple velues No.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
weasurement unit: Category	Allowed Values:
	Direct field measurements
	Both
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

GHG cumulative calculation	
Data element name: GHG cumulative	Reporting question: What method(s) was used to calculate the
calculation	total cumulative GHG benefits reported here?
Description: List the method(s) that was us	ed to calculate the total cumulative GHG benefits reported by the
project this quarter.	· I · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Models
	Direct field measurements
Logic: None - all respond	BOIN BOIN
Data collection level. Project	Required. Tes
Cumulative CHC honefits	Data collection frequency: Quarteny
Data element name: Cumulative GHG	Penerting question: What are the project's estimated total GHG
benefits	emission reductions (CO2en) to date?
Description: Total cumulative estimated gr	eenhouse gas emission reductions from practice implementation.
This is updated guarterly. If there are no ch	anges, enter the same number as the previous quarter.
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂ eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Cumulative carbon stock	
Data element name: Cumulative carbon	Reporting question: How much carbon has the project
stock	sequestered to date?
Description: Estimated total cumulative cha	ange in carbon stock based on practice implementation. This is
updated quarterly. If there are no changes,	enter the same numbers as the previous guarter. Conversion rate is
one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO ₂ eq.	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO2eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Cumulative CO2 benefit	
Data element name: Cumulative CO2	Reporting question: What are the project's estimated total
benefit	cumulative CO2 emission reductions to date?
Description: Estimated total cumulative car	rbon dioxide emission reductions based on practice implementation.
This is updated quarterly. If there are no ch	anges, enter the same number as the previous quarter.
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO2	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Cumulative CH4 benefit	
Data element name: Cumulative CH4 bene	fit Reporting question: What are the project's estimated total
Bernley Fallen dated	CH4 emission reductions to date?
Description: Estimated total cumulative me	strane reduction based on practice implementation. This is updated
quarterry. If there are no changes, enter the of CH ₄ = 25 tons of CO ₂ and	e same numbers as the previous quarter. Conversion rate is one ton
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons CH4 reduc	ed in Allowed values: 0-10 000 000
CO2eq	a in Allowed Values, 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Cumulative N20 benefit	
Data element name: Cumulative N2O benefit	Reporting question: What are the project's estimated total N2O emission reductions to date?
Description: Estimated total cumulative nitro	us oxide reduction based on practice implementation. This is
updated quarterly. If there are no updated nu	umbers enter the same number as the previous quarter.
Conversion rate is one ton of $N_2O = 298$ tons	of CO ₂ eq.
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons N2O reduced CO ₂ eq	I in Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Offsets produced	
Data element name: Offsets produced	Reporting question: How many carbon offsets have been produced in the project?
Description: Total carbon offsets produced by	y enrolled project fields during the quarter. Offsets are defined as
having been verified and certified using an ac	cepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace.
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO2eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Offsets sale	
Data element name: Offsets sale	Reporting question: To what marketplace(s) were carbon offsets sold?
Description: Marketplaces to which carbon o defined as having been verified and certified List each marketplace name. Separate names	ffsets produced by enrolled project fields were sold. Offsets are using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace. with commas.
Data type: Text	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: Name	Allowed values: Text
Logic: Respond if >0 to 'Offsets produced'	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Offsets price	a the state of the state
Data element name: Offsets price	Reporting question: What was the average price of carbon received for offsets?
Description: Average price per metric ton pai	d for carbon offsets produced by enrolled project fields. Offsets are
defined as having been verified and certified Data type: Decimal	using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Dollars per metric ton	Allowed values: 0-500
Logic: Respond if >0 to 'Offsets produced'	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Insets produced	
Data element name: Insets produced	Reporting question: How many carbon insets have been produced in the project?
Description: Total carbon insets produced by been verified and certified using an accepted Data type: Decimal	enrolled fields during the quarter. Insets are defined as having standard and accounted for within Scope 3 emissions for a firm. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO2eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Cost of on-farm TA	
Data element name: Cost of on-farm TA	Reporting question: What is the total amount that has been spent to provide on-farm TA?
Description: Total cost of any field- or pract or partners) to any producers. This is updat previous guarter.	ice-specific technical assistance provided by the project (by recipient ed quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$0-\$50,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
MMRV cost	
Data element name: MMRV cost	Reporting question: What is the total amount that has been spent on MMRV activities?
Descriptions Total cost of all MANADY anti-iti	and the base of the second s

Description: Total cost of all MMRV activities paid for by the project (recipient or partners). MMRV components are defined as measurement (calculations or estimations of GHG emissions), monitoring (ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practices have been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time), reporting (documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization), and verification (independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable). This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter.

Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$0-\$50,000,000	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes	
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly	
GHG monitoring method		

Data element name: GHG monitoring 1-5 Reporting question: How did the project monitor GHG benefits?

Description: Up to the five most common forms of monitoring GHG benefits used this quarter as part of MMRV requirements. Monitoring is defined as ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time. Include up to 5 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides five columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 5 GHG monitoring methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG monitoring methods as free text.

Data type: List Select multiple values: No Allowed values: Measurement unit: Category Drones . Ground-level photos and videos . **On-farm visit** Plot-based sampling Producer records or attestation Satellite monitoring or remote sensing Soil metagenomics Soil sensors Water sensors Other (specify) Logic: None - all respond Required: Yes Data collection level: Project Data collection frequency: Quarterly

GHG reporting method

Data element name: GHG reporting 1-5

Reporting question: How did the project track and report implementation of practices to reduce GHG emissions?

Description: Up to the five most common forms of tracking and reporting on practice implementation used this year as part of MMRV requirements. Reporting is defined as documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization. Include up to 5 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides five columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 5 GHG reporting methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG reporting methods as free text.

Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Required: Yes
 Other (specify)
Website
 Third-party actors
Paper
Mobile app
• Email
 Automated devices
Allowed values:
Select multiple values: No

Data element name: GHG verification method 1-5

Reporting question: How did the project verify implementation of practices to reduce GHG emissions?

Description: Up to the five most common forms of verifying practice implementation used this year as part of MMRV requirements. Verification is defined as independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable. Include up to 5 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides five columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 5 GHG verification methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG verification methods as free text.

Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	 Artificial intelligence
	 Audit by recipient
	Computer modeling
	Photos
	Record audit
	Satellite imagery
	Site or field visit
	 Third-party audit
	Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Partner Activities

Unique IDs

Partner ID

Unique Project ID for each partner

Partner name	
Data element name: Name of partner organization	Reporting question: What is the official name of the recipient or partner organization?
Description: Legal name of recipient or partner organized	zation
Data type: Text	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: NA	Allowed values: Text
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation
Partner type	¥ ¥ 100
Data element name: Type of partner organization	Reporting question: What type of organization is this?
Description: Legal/financial structure of recipient or pa	artner organization
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: Commodity groups (501c5) For-profit Individual Nonprofit State or local agency Tribal agency University
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation
Partner POC	אינער איז
Data element name: Partner POC Description: Name of a point of contact for the recipie	Reporting question: Who is the point of contact for this project at the recipient or partner organization? ent or partner organization
Data type: Text	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: NA	Allowed values: Text
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation; update as necessary
Partner POC email	- 6
Data element name: Partner POC email	Reporting question: What is the point of contact's email address?
Description: Email of the point of contact for the recip	pient or partner organization
Data type: Text	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: NA	Allowed values: Text
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation; update as necessary

Partnership start date	
Data element name: Partnership start date	Reporting question: When did the partnership start?
Description: Date that the partner organization and	the recipient began formally partnering on the project
Data type: Date	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY	Allowed values: 01/01/2023 - 12/31/2030
Logic: No response for recipient	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation
Partnership end date	
Data element name: Partnership end date	Reporting question: When did the partnership end?
Description: Date that the partner organization and	the recipient stopped formally partnering on the project
Data type: Date	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY	Allowed values: 01/01/2023 - 12/31/2030
Logic: No response for recipient	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership end quarter
New partnership	
Data element name: New partnership	Reporting question: Is this a new partnership?
Description: A new partnership means that the rec working relationship (under contract or on a grant) Data type: List	ipient and the partner organization have not had a formal prior to the start of the project. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	• Yes
	• No
For the Alexandra strategy for the state of	I don't know
Logic: No response for recipient	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation
Partner total requested	
Data element name: Partner total requested	Reporting question: What is the total amount of funding the partner has requested to date from this project?
Description: Cumulative (total) amount of funds that recipient from the start of the partnership to the envalue must be the sum of all previous entries plus the there are no changes, report the value from the pre Data type: Decimal	at the partner has requested reimbursement for from the id of the reporting quarter. For each quarter's data entry, the ne amount of funds requested in the reporting quarter. If evious quarter. Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$0-\$100,000,000
Logic: No response for recipient	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Total match contribution	
Data element name: Total match contribution	Reporting question: What is the total match value the organization has contributed to the project to date?
Description: Cumulative (total) value of funds and in	n-kind contributions (e.g., staff time, inputs, equipment
rental, marketing support) that the partner has prov	vided as a project match contribution from the start of the
partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. For	each quarter's data entry, the value must be the sum of all
previous entries plus match contributions in the rep	orting quarter. If there are no changes, report the value
from the previous quarter.	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$0-\$100,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Fotal match incentives	
Data element name: Total match incentives	Reporting question: What is the total value of match provided by this organization for producer incentives
Description: Cumulative (total) value of funds for in provided as a project match contribution from the s	centive payments directly to producers that the partner has tart of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter.
For each quarter's data entry, the value must be the reporting quarter. If there are no changes, report th	e sum of all previous entries plus match incentives in the evalue from the previous guarter.
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$0-\$100,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Match type	
Data element name: Match type 1-3	Reporting question: What types of match
	contributions has the organization provided to the project?
Description: Types of match contributions other the	an incentives provided directly to producers by the
organization from the start of the partnership to the	e end of the reporting quarter. Enter up to the top three (in
dollar value) types of match contributions provided. marketing assistance, or other support to producers	In-kind staff time could be used for technical assistance, Production inputs include seed, fertilizer, pesticides,
equipment and other inputs for use in the field. The	worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of
the allowed values. Choose one value for each colur columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the addition	nn. If fewer than 3 match types are used, leave unnecessary

Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	 Equipment rental or use
	 In-kind staff time
	 Production inputs (reduced cost or free)
	Program income
	Software
	 Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

USDA	Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients
	February 2023

Match amount		
Data element name: Match amount 1-3	Reporting question: What is the value of the match contributions the organization provided to the project?	
Description: Cumulative (total) value of funds for project match contribution from the start of the p for up to the top three (in dollar value) match typ	each match type that the organization has provided as a artnership to the end of the reporting quarter. Enter amounts is. The worksheet provides three columns for this data	
element. Enter one value for each column. If fewe blank.	er than 3 match types are used, leave unnecessary columns	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: NA	
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$0-\$100,000,000	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes	
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Quarterly	
Training type provided		
Data element name: Training type 1-3 provided	Reporting question: What types of training has the organization provided to project partners?	
of their own organization, or an outside organizat training provided. The worksheet provides three o one value for each column. If fewer than 3 trainin is chosen, use the additional column to enter othe Data type: List	tion. Enter up to the top three (in dollar value) types of partner columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose og types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" er training types as free text. Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:	
	Data collection	
	Grant reporting	
	Marketing opportunities	
	Providing financial assistance Providing technical assistance	
	Writing producer contracts	
	Other (specify)	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes	
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Quarterly	
Activity by partner		
Data element name: Activity 1-3 by partner	Reporting question: What types of activities has the organization provided to the project?	
Description: Types of activities that the recipient quarter. Enter up to the top three (in dollar value columns with a drop-down list of the allowed value types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank.	or partner organization has provided during the reporting) types of activities undertaken. The worksheet provides three ues. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 activity . If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:	
	Marketing support	
	MMRV support	
	Producer outreach for enrollment Technical assistance to producers	
	Training to other partner organizations	
	Other (specify)	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes	
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Quarterly	

USDA	Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients
	February 2023

Activity cost	
Data element name: Activity cost 1-3	Reporting question: What is the value of the activities this organization has provided to the project?
Description: Cumulative (total) cost of each activity typ	be that the organization has undertaken or offered from
the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting	quarter. Enter amounts for up to the top three (in dollar
value) activity types. The worksheet provides three colu	umns for this data element. Enter one value for each
column. If fewer than 3 activity types are provided, leave	ve unnecessary columns blank.
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$0-\$100,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Products supplied	
Data element name: Products supplied	Reporting question: What products or supplies were provided to enrolled fields?
Description: Name(s) of products supplied to enrolled p	producers as incentives or matching contributions. Enter
the name of each product, including its brand. Separate	e each product name with a comma. If no products or
supplies were provided by the organization, leave the c	olumn blank.
Data type: Text	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: Name	Allowed values: Text
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Product source	
Data element name: Product source	Reporting question: Which companies provided the supplies?
Description: Name of firm or company from which sup	plies were obtained.
Data type: Text	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: Name	Allowed values: Text
Logic: Respond if text entered for 'Products supplied'	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Partner	Data collection frequency: Quarterly



Marketing Activities

Commodity type	
Data element name: Commodity type	Reporting question: What type of commodity is produced by the farmers enrolled in this project?
Description: List a single commodity prod commodities are produced by the project, the FSA commodity list in Appendix B and	uced or marketed through incentives from this project. If multiple use additional rows of the worksheet to report each commodity. Use choose the commodity from the list.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: FSA commodity list
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Marketing channel type	
Data element name: Marketing channel type	Reporting question: What type of marketing channel is used to sell this commodity?

Description: List a single type of marketing channel used to sell the commodity produced by farmers enrolled in the project. If a single commodity is marketed through multiple channels, use additional rows of the worksheet to report each combination of commodity and marketing channel. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the other marketing channel type(s) as free text.

Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	 Agricultural marketing board
	Biorefinery
	Commodity broker
	Direct to consumer
	Direct to institution
	Direct to restaurant
	 Distributor (including grain elevators)
	 Food hub or cooperative
	Food processor
	 Non-food byproducts processor
	Retailer
	• USDA
	Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Number of buyers	
Data element name: Number of buyers	Reporting question: How many buyers are there in this marketing channel?
Description: List the number of individual firms or buyers in this marketing channel.	
Data type: Integer	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Count	Allowed values: 1-500
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Names of buyers	
Data element name: Names of buyers	Reporting question: What are the names of all of the buyers in this marketing channel?
Description: Provide the names of all buye	rs in this marketing channel. Separate each name with a comma.
Data type: Text	Select multiple values: NA
Measurement unit: Name	Allowed values: Text
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Marketing channel geography	
Data element name: Marketing channel geography	Reporting question: What is the primary geography of the marketing channel?
Description: The primary geography of the	type of marketing channel. Primary geography means the scale at
neighboring states. Regional means within International means specific locations outs specific international location.	a five-to-ten state area. National means across the United States. ide of the United States. Global means across the world or not to a
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Local
	Kegional National
	Global
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Value sold	jun esterna constituita esterna intrastrutura esterna esterna esterna esterna esterna esterna esterna esterna e E
Data element name: Value sold	Reporting question: What is the value of the commodity sold in this marketing channel?
Description: The dollar value of the commo	odity sold in this marketing channel this quarter (non-cumulative).
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$1-\$100,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Volume sold	
Data element name: Volume sold	Reporting question: What is the volume of the commodity sold in this marketing channel?
Description: The volume of the commodity	sold in this marketing channel this quarter (non-cumulative).
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Number	Allowed values: 1-100,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Volume sold unit	
Data element name: Volume sold unit	Reporting question: What is the unit of volume?
Description: The unit associated with the	volume of the commodity sold in the marketing channel. If "other" is
chosen, use the additional column to ente	r the appropriate unit as free text.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	Bales (500 pounds)
	Bushels
	Carcass pounds
	Gallons
	Kilograms
	Linear board feet
	 Liveweight pounds
	Metric tons
	Pounds
	Short tons
	Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Price premium	
Data element name: Price premium	Reporting question: What price premium is received for the
	commodity sold in this marketing channel?
Description: The price premium received	for the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter. Price
premium is the amount received above a	'business as usual' price.
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$0.01-\$10,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Price premium unit	
Data element name: Price premium unit	Reporting question: What is the unit for the price premium?
Description: The unit associated with the	price premium for the commodity sold in the marketing channel. If
"other" is chosen, use the additional colur	nn to enter the appropriate unit as free text.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	 Per bale (500 pounds)
	Per bushel
	Per carcass pound
	Per gallon
	Per kilogram
	Per linear board foot
	Per live pound
	Per metric ton
	Per ounce
	Per short ton
	Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Price premium to producer	
Data element name: Price premium to producer	Reporting question: What percent of the price premium is provided to the producer for the commodity sold in this marketing channel?
Description: The percent of the price prem marketing channel this quarter. Price prem Data type: Decimal	ium provided to the producer for the commodity sold in this num is the amount received above a 'business as usual' price. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Percent	Allowed values: 0-100
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Product differentiation method	

Data element name: Product differentiation method 1-3

Reporting question: What methods are used to differentiate climate-smart commodities in this marketing channel?

Description: Provide the methods used to differentiate the climate-smart commodity in this market channel. Product differentiation methods are ways to distinguish or differentiate the climate-smart commodity in the marketplace. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 product differentiation methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other product differentiation methods as free text.

Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	 Allowed values: Certification/verification for internal insetting Farm certification Label or badge used on packaging or marketing Third party certification/verification Trademark Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Marketing method	

Data element name: Marketing method 1-3 Reporting questi

Reporting question: What methods are used to market climate-smart commodities in this marketing channel?

Description: Provide the method(s) used to market this commodity in this market channel. Marketing method is the way that potential buyers of the climate-smart commodity are engaged by the project partners as the sellers or facilitators of sale. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 marketing methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other marketing methods as free text

Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	 Label or badge used on packaging or marketing materials
	 Marketing partnership (e.g., promotion by buyer)
	Print marketing campaign
	 Social media and digital marketing campaign
	 Verbal marketing campaign (e.g., radio, word of mouth)
	Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Marketing channel identification method		
Data element name: Marketing channel	Reporting question: What methods are used to generate	
identification method 1-3	interest in climate-smart commodities in this marketing channel?	

Description: Provide the marketing channel identification method(s) used for this commodity in this market channel. Market channel identification methods are the ways that producers and project partners generate interest in purchasing the climate-smart commodity. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 marketing channel identification methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other marketing channel identification methods as free text

Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: Educational tours for buyers In-person lead generation Negotiated contracts with buyers Partnership network or project partner
Logic: None – all respond Data collection level: Project	Other (specify) Required: Yes Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Traceability method	
Data element name: Traceability method	Reporting question: What traceability methods are used for

1-3 climate-smart commodities in this channel?

Description: Provide the traceability method(s) used for the climate-smart commodity in this market channel. Traceability methods are ways to trace the climate-smart commodity or the climate-smart claims through the supply chain. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 traceability methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other traceability methods as free text. Data type: List Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Logic: None - all respond

Allowed values:

- Barcode or unique ID
- Blockchain
- Book and claim
- Chain of custody
- Mass balance
- Recordkeeping
- Registry with certification
- Segregation
- Supply shed
- Volume proxy
- Other (specify)
- Required: Yes

1749-1 (1) (20) (449) 51 (2 676- 66) 56	1076 TH 3/216 10/21 10/24 10/21
Data collection level: Project	Data collection froquency: Quarterly
Data conection level. Froject	Data conection nequency. Quarterly
승규가 같은 것을 잘 못 못 하는 것을 만들었다. 이 것을 것을 것을 수 있는 것을 하는 것을 다 가지 않는 것을 다 나라 가지 않는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있는 것을 하는 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있다. 것을 하는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있다. 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있다. 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있다. 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있다. 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있다. 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있다. 것을 수 있는 것을 수 있다. 것을 것을 수 있는 것을 것을 수 있는 것을 것 같이 않았다. 것을 것 같이 것 같이 것 같이 것 같이 같이 것 같이 같이 않았다. 것 같이 것 같이 것 같이 않았다. 것 같이 것 같이 것 같이 같이 않았다. 것 같이 것 같이 같이 것 같이 않았다. 것 같이 것 같이 않았다. 것 같이 것 같이 것 같이 않았다. 것 같이 것 같이 것 같이 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 같이 같이 않았다. 것 같이 것 같이 같이 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 같이 것 같이 않았다. 것 같이 것 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 같이 것 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 같이 것 않았다. 것 않 않았다. 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 같 않 않 않 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 않았다. 것 않았다.	

Producer Enrollment

Farm ID	Unique Farn	n ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory	State name	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	
County of residence	County nam	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	
Producer data change			
Data element name: Producer	data change Reporting question: Is there new/updated information for a producer who is re-enrolling ir project?		
Description: Indicates that the	ere is new or updated	d information for a producer who had previously enrolled in	
the project and is re-enrolling.		Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Category		Allowed values:	
Weasurement unit. category		Yes	
		• No	
Logic: None – all respond		Required: Yes	
Data collection level: Produce	r	Data collection frequency: Re-enrollment	
Producer start date			
Data element name: Producer	start date	Reporting question: When did the producer enroll i the project?	
Description: Date that the pro	ducer enrolled in the	e project by signing their first contract.	
Data type: Date		Select multiple values: NA	
Measurement unit: MM/DD/Y	YYY	Allowed values: 01/01/2023 - 12/31/2030	
Logic: None – all respond		Required: Yes	
Data collection level: Produce	r	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment	
Producer name			
Data element name: Producer	name	Reporting question: What is the name of producer enrolled in the project?	
Description: Name of the prod customer's Business Partner re	ducer enrolled in the cord and the Farm C	project; the name must match the name contained in the Operating Plan in FSA Business File for that Farm ID.	
Data type: Text		Select multiple values: NA	
Measurement unit: NA		Allowed values: Text	
Logic: None – all respond		Required: Yes	
Data collection level: Produce	ţ	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment	



Underserved status	
Data element name: Underserved st	tatus Reporting question: Is this producer considered an
where the state of the state of the state	underserved and/or a small producer?
Description: Underserved status of t	he primary operator of the enrolled operation. Underserved producers
generally include beginning farmers,	socially disadvantaged farmers, veteran farmers, and limited resource
farmers; women farmers and produc	cers growing specialty crops are generally also included in these categories.
Small farms are generally those with	less than \$350,000 in annual gross cash farm income. Indicate whether this
know" if the producer declines to an	, a small producer, or both underserved and a small producer. Ose Tuon t
collecting demographic data includi	ng race, ethnicity and gender. Providing demographic information is
voluntary and at the discretion of the	e customer. Demographic information is used by USDA for statistical
purposes only and will not be used to	o determine an applicant's eligibility for programs or services for which they
apply.	anna an an an 18 Bhanna an an 18 ann 18 an 18
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Yes, underserved
	 Yes, small producer
	 Yes, underserved and small producer
	• No
	I don't know
Logic: None – all respond	Required: No
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment
fotal area	
Data element name: Total area	Reporting question: What is the total area of the farm?
Description: Total area of the farm a	issociated with the Farm ID. Report total area of the farm, even if only a
portion of the farm is enrolled in the	project. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review
the total area each time a new contr	act is signed and provide any necessary updates.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Less than 1 acre
	• 1 to 9 acres
	• 10 to 49 acres
	• 50 to 99 acres
	 100 to 139 acres 100 to 139 acres
	 140 to 179 acres
	 180 to 219 acres
	• 220 to 259 acres
	 260 to 499 acres
	 500 to 999 acres
	 1,000 to 1,999 acres
	 2,000 to 4,999 acres
	5,000 or more acres
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent
	enrollment(s), if applicable

Total crop area	
Data element name: Total crop area	Reporting question: What percent of the current operation is cropland?
Description: Area of the total farm that	is currently used as cropland. If a producer is enrolled in the project for
multiple years, review the total crop are updates.	a each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary
Data type: Integer	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Acres	Allowed values: 0-100,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable
Total livestock area	
Data element name: Total livestock area	Reporting question: What amount of the current operation is used for livestock (by area)?
Description: Area of the total farm that feeding or milking. If a producer is enro time a new contract is signed and provide	is currently used for pasture, grazing, rangeland; or animal housing, lled in the project for multiple years, review the total livestock area each de any necessary updates.
Data type: Integer	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Acres	Allowed values: 0-100,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable
Total forest area	
Data element name: Total forest area	Reporting question: What amount of the current operation is forested (by area)?
Description: Area of the total farm that least 10% of the land area is covered in enrolled in the project for multiple year provide any necessary updates.	is currently considered forest land use. Forest land use means that at trees that will be at least 13 feet tall when mature. If a producer is s, review the total forest area each time a new contract is signed and
Data type: Integer	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Acres	Allowed values: 0-100,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

livestock type		
Data element name: Livestock type 1-3	Reporting question: What types of livestock are raised on the farm?	
Description: Up to top three types of livestock (b columns with a drop-down list of the allowed val 3 livestock types, leave unnecessary columns bla other livestock types as free text. If a producer is type each time a new contract is signed and prov	by head count) on the farm. The worksheet provides three lues. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer that nk. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the livestock vide any necessary updates.	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:	
	Alpacas	
	Beef cows	
	Beefalo	
	Buffalo or	
	bison	
	Chickens	
	(broilers)	
	Chickens	
	(layers)	
	Dairy cows	
	• Deer	
	Ducks	
	• Elk	
	Emus	
	Equine	
	Geese	
	Goats	
	Honeybees	
	Llamas	
	Reindeer	
	Sheep	
	Swine	
	Turkeys	
	Other	
	(specify)	
Logic: Respond if 'Total livestock area' >0	Required: Yes	
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable	
ivestock head		
Data element name: Livestock head 1-3	Reporting question: How many livestock (by type) and this expectation 2	

Description: Average annual head count for each type of livestock. Enter amounts for up to the top three livestock types by number. The worksheet provides three columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 livestock types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the average annual head count each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.
Data type: Integer
Select multiple values: NA

1 0	
Measurement unit: Head count	Allowed values: 1-10,000,000
Logic: Respond if 'Total livestock area' >0	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and
	subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

		Contractory and
Orga	nic	farm

Data element name: Organic farm

Reporting question: Is any part of the farm currently USDA-certified organic or transitioning to USDA-certified organic?

Description: USDA-certified organic means that the farm has been certified by an accredited organic certifying agent or is transitioning to USDA-certified organic by not using any of the prohibited substances. Yes means that some or all of the farm is certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. No means that no part of the farm is certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the organic certification status of the farm each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: • Yes • No • I don't know
Logic: None – all respond	Required: No
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable
Organic fields	
Data element name: Organic fields	Reporting question: Are any of the fields enrolled in the project currently USDA-certified organic or transitioning to USDA-certified organic?
Description: USDA-certified organic means that certifying agent or is transitioning to USDA-cert means that some or all of the fields enrolled in organic. No means that no part of the fields er certified organic. If a producer is enrolled in the of the enrolled fields each time a new contract Data type: List	at the operation has been certified by an accredited organic rtified organic by not using any of the prohibited substances. Yes in the project are certified organic or transitioning to certified molled in the project are certified organic or transitioning to ne project for multiple years, review the organic certification status t is signed and provide any necessary updates. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Organic operation' Data collection level: Producer	 Yes No I don't know Required: No Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable
Producer motivation	
Data element name: Producer motivation Description: Primary operator's motivation for	Reporting question: Which of the following was the primary reason the producer enrolled in this project? renrolling in the project.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	 Allowed values: Financial benefit Environmental benefit New market opportunity Partnerships or networks Other
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

Producer outreach	
Data element name: Producer outreach 1 3 Description: Up to three most common but	 Reporting question: What types of outreach were provided to producers?
activities are those focused on identifying recipient or project partners. The workshe	and enrolling producers in the project. Outreach can come from the et provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed
values. Choose one value for each column	. If there are fewer than 3 outreach types, leave unnecessary columns
blank. If "other" is chosen, use the addition	nal column to enter other outreach types as free text.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: Yes
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Commodity organizations
	Conferences
	Cooperative extension
	 Digital communications and resources
	 Education workshops, field days, and town halls
	 Existing partner networks
	 Farm visits and one-on-one meetings
	General advertising
	 Peer referrals and producer groups
	Phone calls
	 Print communications and resources
	Retailers
	State agencies
	 Targeted messaging using proprietary data
	 Technical service providers
	Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment
CSAF experience	
Data element name: CSAF experience	Reporting question: Has the primary operator implemented
	CSAF practices in the last ten years anywhere on the farm?
Description: Has this farm implemented cl	imate-smart agriculture or forestry (CSAF) practices anywhere on the
farm in the past 10 years or since the curre	ent primary operator took control (whichever time period is shorter)?
CSAF practices are included in a list in App	endix A.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Yes
	• No
	 I don't know
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

Data collection level: Producer

USDA	Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients
	February 2023

CSAF federal funds	
Data element name: CSAF federal funds	Reporting question: Were prior CSAF practices supported by federal funds?
Description: If this farm (under the primary or implementation supported by federal funds? not limited to, those from the Natural Resour Quality Incentives Program (EQIP), Conservat Program (RCPP), or related programs), the Fa funds from other USDA programs or other fee	perator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was Federal funds are defined as being from programs including, but reces Conservation Service ((NRCS), including through Environmental ion Stewardship Program (CSP), Regional Conservation Partnership rm Service Agency Conservation Reserve Program (CRP), as well as deral agencies.
Massurement unit: Catagony	Allowed values. No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	• No
	 I don't know
Logic: Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment
CSAF state or local funds	
Data element name: CSAF state or local funds	Reporting question: Were prior CSAF practices supported by state or local funds?
Description: If this farm (under the primary o implementation supported by state funds? St or other state agencies, local water quality di Data type: List	perator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was rate or local funds are those from state departments of agriculture stricts and other local agencies. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
include content and correspond	Yes
	• No
	I don't know
Logic: Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment
CSAF nonprofit funds	
Data element name: CSAF nonprofit funds	Reporting question: Were CSAF practices supported by nonprofit funds?
Description: If this farm (under the primary o implementation supported by nonprofit fund organization to a producer.	perator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was s? Nonprofit funds are those offered directly from a nonprofit
Mana type. List	All services no
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	• No
	I don't know
Logic: Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

CSAF market incentives	
Data element name: CSAF market incentives	Reporting question: Were CSAF practices supported by market incentives?
Description: If this farm (under the primary operimplementation supported by market incentive buyer or by a consumer based on branding or l	erator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was es? Market incentives include premiums paid by a commodity abeling as a climate-smart commodity.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	• Yes
	• No
	I don't know
Logic: Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

Field Enrollment

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
Tract ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
Field ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA	
State or torritory of field	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory of field	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	
County of field	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	
Prior Field ID, if applicable	Prior Field ID assigned by FSA if there has been reconstitution of the farm resulting in a new Field ID during the field's enrollment in the project	
Field data change		
Data element name: Field data c	hange Reporting question: Has the information previously reported for this field changed?	
Description: Indicator that this en number or changes to the common the project.	ntry is being used to report any relevant changes, such as a new Field ID odity or practice combinations, for a field that has previously been enrolled in	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:	
	• Yes	
	• No	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes	
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Re-enrollment	
Contract start date		
Data element name: Contract sta	art date Reporting question: What is the start date of the contract with the producer that includes this field?	
Data type: Date	Select multiple values: NA	
Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYY	Y Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes	
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment	
Total field area		
Data element name: Total field a	rea Reporting question: What is the total size of the enrolled field?	
Description: Total size of the field	d enrolled with the project.	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Acres	Allowed values: .01-500	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes	

USDA Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary	for Recipients
February 2023	

Commodity category	
Data element name: Commodity category	Reporting question: What category of
Description: Catagony of commodity/ios) produced in fig	commodity(les) is (are) produced from this field.
Description. Category of commodity(les) produced in ne	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Crops
	Livestock
	Irees Crons and livestack
	Crops and trees
	Livestock and trees
	Crops livestock and trees
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment
Commodity type	
Data element name: Commodity type	Reporting question: What type of commodity is produced from this field?
Description: Type of commodity produced in field enroll worksheet provides a drop-down list of the allowed valu commodities in subsequent rows.	ed in the project. See full list in Appendix B. The es. Choose the appropriate value. Enter additional
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: FSA commodity list
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment
Baseline yield	
Data element name: Baseline yield	Reporting question: What is the baseline yield of this field?
Description: Average annual yield of commodity in 3 year field if possible. If not at field level, provide average annual yield service average average annual yield service average av	rs prior to enrollment. Provide yield for the enrolled ual yield for the specific commodity for the operation.
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Production per acre or animal	Allowed values: .01-100,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment



Baseline yield unit	
Data element name: Baseline yield unit	Reporting question: Baseline yield unit
Description: Unit of average annual yield worksheet provides a drop-down list of ch column to enter the appropriate yield uni	of commodity in enrolled field in 3 years prior to enrollment. The noices for this data element. If "other" is chosen, use the additiona it as free text.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Animal units per acre
	Bushels per acre
	 Carcass pounds per animal
	Head per acre
	 Hundred-weights (or pounds) per head
	 Linear feet per acre
	 Liveweight pounds per animal
	Pounds per acre
	Tons per acre
i	Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment
Baseline yield location	
Data element name. Dasenne yield locati	baseline yield being reported?
Description: Location of the reported ave	rage annual yield of commodity in 3 years prior to enrollment. If
"other" is chosen, use the additional colu	mn to enter the appropriate location as free text.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Enrolled field
	Whole operation
	Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment
Field land use	
Data element name: Field land use	Reporting question: What is this field's land use history?
Description: Prior to enrollment, what wa	is the most common land use for this field in the past 3 years?
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Crop land
	Forest land
	Non-agriculture
	Other agricultural land
	Pasture
	Range
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

Field irrigated	
Data element name: Field irrigated	Reporting question: What is this field's irrigation history?
Description: Prior to enrollment, what w	vas the most common irrigation practice on this field the past 3 years?
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	No irrigation
	Center pivot
	Drip-subsurface
	Drip-surface
	Flood/border
	Furrow/ditch
	Lateral/linear sprinklers
	Micro-sprinklers
	Seepage
	Side roll
	 Solid set sprinklers
	Supplemental
	Surface
	Traveling gun/towline
	Wheel Line
	Other
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment
ield tillage	<u> </u>
Data element name: Field tillage	Reporting question: What is this field's tillage history?
Description: Prior to enrollment, what w	as the most common tillage approach during the past 3 years?
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	None
	Conventional, inversion
	Conventional, vertical
	 No-till, direct seed
	Reduced till, inversion
	Reduced till, vertical
	Strip till
	• Other
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

USDA	Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients
	February 2023

Practice past extent - farm	
Data element name: Practice past extent - farm Description: Prior to enrollment, on what por used by the primary operator? If multiple prac that best corresponds to the farm's prior expe Data type: List	Reporting question: What percent of the farm has implemented this CSAF practice (combination) previously? tion of the whole farm had this (these) CSAF practice(s) ever been ctices are planned to be implemented in this field, enter the value erience with the planned set of practices. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
incusurement unit category	Never used
	 Used on less than 25% of operation
	 Used on 25-50% of operation
	 Used on 51-75% of operation
	 Used on more than 75% of operation
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment
Field any CSAF practice	
Data element name: Field any CSAF practice	Reporting question: What is this field's prior experience with CSAF practices?
Description: Prior to enrollment, have any CS	AF practice or practices been used in this field in the past 3 years?
CSAF practices are included in a list in Append	lix A.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	• Yes
	• No
	I don't know
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment
Practice past use - this field	
Data element name: Practice past use - this	Reporting question: Have this CSAF practice (combination)
field	been implemented previously in this field?
years? Enter yes if all of the practices had bee being implemented and one or more, but not enter no if none of the practices had been use	se) CSAF practice(s) been used in this field in the in the past 3 in used previously in this field; enter some if multiple practices are all of the practices had been used previously in this field; and ed previously in this field.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	• Yes
	Some
	• No
	I don't know
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

Practice type	
Data element name: Practice type 1-7	Reporting question: What CSAF practice is being implemented in this field through the project?
Description: Which CSAF practice or practices project? CSAF practices are included in a list i element. Enter one value for each column. If through enrollment in the project, leave unner Data type: List	s will be implemented on this field as part of enrollment in the n Appendix A. The worksheet provides seven columns for this data there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field ecessary columns blank. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Categony	Allowed values: See list in Appendix A
Logic: None - all respond	Benuired: Ves
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment
Practice standard	
Data element name: Practice standard 1-7	Reporting question: What standard does the CSAF practice follow?
Description: Is the CSAF practice being imple defined practice standard? The worksheet pr each column, corresponding to the practice t practices being implemented on this field thr Data type: List	mented on the field as part of enrollment in the project following a ovides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for ypes entered in the previous columns. If there are fewer than 7 ough enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	NRCS
	Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment
Planned practice implementation year	
Data element name: Practice 1-7	Reporting question: What year is the CSAF practice planned to
Description: Year that the CSAF practice is pla defined as fields that have the practice active project). The worksheet provides seven colur corresponding to the practice types entered i implemented on this field through enrollmen Data type: Integer	anned to be implemented on the field. Use 2022 for early adopters, ily implemented in 2022 (prior to contract being signed for this nns for this data element. Enter one value for each column, in the previous columns. If there are fewer than 7 practices being t in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Year	Allowed values: 2022-2030
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment
Practice extent	
Data element name: Practice 1-7 extent	Reporting question: To what extent is the practice implemented?
Description: Total area, length, or head wher contract.	e the practice is being implemented in the field specified by the
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Extent	Allowed values: .01- 100,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

Practice extent unit	
Data element name: Practice 1-7 extent unit	Reporting question: Unit for extent of practice implementation
Description: Unit for extent of practic	ce implementation on the field specified by the contract. If "other" is
chosen, use the additional column to	enter the appropriate unit.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Acres
	 Head of livestock
	Linear feet
	Square feet
	Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

CSAF Practice Sub-questions

For certain practices, additional questions are asked that provide information necessary to estimate greenhouse gas benefits from implementation of the practice. See Table 11 in the *CSAF Practice Sub-questions* section for descriptions of individual questions to be answered depending on the CSAF practices selected.

Farm Summary

Unique IDs

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	
County of residence	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	

Producer TA received

Data element name: Producer TA received Reporting question: What types of technical assistance were 1-3 provided to this producer?

Description: Did the recipient or any partner provide technical assistance (TA) to the producer this year? Technical assistance is any training, education, capacity building or other support provided by any project partner(s) directly to producers enrolled in the project. List up to the top three most common types of TA provided to this producer. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 TA types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other TA types as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:	
	Demonstration plots	
	Equipment demonstrations	
	 Group field days or in-person field workshops 	
	Hotline	
	One-on-one enrollment assistance	
	One-on-one field visits	
	One-on-one producer mentorship	
	 Producer networks and peer-to-peer groups 	
	Retailer consultation	
	 Social media/digital tools 	
	Train-the-trainer opportunities	
	 Virtual meetings or field days 	
	Webinars and videos	
	Written materials	
	None	
	Other (specify)	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes	
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Quarterly	
Producer incentive amount		
Data element name: Producer incentive	Reporting question: What is the total value of financial	
amount	incentives provided to this producer?	
Description: Total incentive payment received	ed by the producer from USDA project funds for the year (non-	
cumulative). Do not include incentive payme	ents made with partner match funds.	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: NA	
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$0-\$5,000,000	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes	
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Quarterly	

ncentive reason	
Data element name: Incentive reason 1-4	Reporting question: Why were incentives provided to this producer?
Description: List up to four reasons for procincentive for each reason. The worksheet p	lucer incentive payments. List the top 4 based on total value of the rovides four columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values.
Choose one value for each column. If there	are fewer than 4 reasons, leave unnecessary columns blank. If
"other" is chosen, use the additional column	n to enter other reasons as free text.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Avoided conversion
	Conference or training attendance
	 Demographics/equity payment
	Enrollment
	Foregone revenue
	Historic data collection
	 Identity preservation (supply chain tracing)
	 Implementation of practices
	 MMRV (e.g., data collection, reporting)
	Passing audit
	Price premium on output
	Yield change
	Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
ncentive structure	
Data element name: Incentive structure 1-4	Reporting question: What are the units for the financial
	incentives provided to this producer?
Description: List the structures (units) corre	sponding to the top 4 (by dollar value) incentive payments to
producers. Production unit is weight or volu	me (bushel, kilogram, ton). The worksheet provides four columns
with a drop-down list of the allowed values.	. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 4
structure types, leave unnecessary columns	blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter othe
structure types as free text	
an accure types as nee tont.	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	Select multiple values: No Allowed values:
Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Flat rate
Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Flat rate • Per animal head
Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	Select multiple values: No Allowed values: Flat rate Per animal head Per area
Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	Select multiple values: No Allowed values: Flat rate Per animal head Per area Per length
Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	Select multiple values: No Allowed values: Flat rate Per animal head Per area Per length Per production unit
Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	Select multiple values: No Allowed values: Flat rate Per animal head Per area Per length Per production unit Per ton GHG
Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	Select multiple values: No Allowed values: Flat rate Per animal head Per area Per length Per production unit Per ton GHG Per tree
Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	Select multiple values: No Allowed values: Flat rate Per animal head Per area Per length Per production unit Per ton GHG Per tree Other (specify)

 Data collection level: Producer
 Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Incentive type	
Data element name: Incentive type 1-4	Reporting question: What type of incentives were provided to each producer?
Description: List the top 4 types of incent provides four columns with a drop-down are fewer than 4 incentive types, leave ur column to enter other incentive types as	ive payments to producers (based on dollar value). The worksheet list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there nnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional free text.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
3 /	Cash payment
	Equipment loan
	 Guaranteed commodity premium payment
	 Inputs and supplies
	Land rental
	• Loan
	Paid labor
	Post-narvest transportation Tuition or foos for training
	Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Payment on enrollment	Dua concenton nequency (quartery
Data element name: Payment on	Reporting question: What portion of the financial incentive is
Description: Any incentive payment prov related to any implementation, MMRV or contract held by the producer is paid upo incentive amount for any contract held by of the full incentive amount for any contr Data type: List	ided to the producer upon enrollment/signing a contract, and not sales activities. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any n enrollment. Partial payment means that only part of the full y the producer is paid upon enrollment. No payment means that none act held by the producer is paid upon enrollment. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Full payment
	Partial payment
	No payment
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Payment on implementation	
Data element name: Payment on implementation Description: Any incentive payment provi contract. Full payment means the full inco implementation. Partial payment means the producer is avid upon implementation.	Reporting question: What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon implementation of the practices? ided to the producer upon implementing the practices included in the entive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the
producer is paid upon implementation. N	o payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: • Full payment • Partial payment • No payment
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Data element name: Payment on harvest	Reporting question: What portion of the financial incentive is
Description: Any incentive payment provide included in the contract. Full payment mean paid upon harvest. Partial payment means the the producer is paid upon harvest. No payment held by the producer is paid upon harvest.	d to the producer upon harvest of the commonly? d to the producer upon harvesting or slaughtering the commodity is the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is hat only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by ent means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Full paymentPartial payment
	No payment
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Producer	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Payment on MMRV	
Data element name: Payment on MMRV	Reporting question: What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon completing MMRV requirements?
Description: Any incentive payment provide included in the contract. Full payment mean paid upon MMRV being complete. Partial pa	d to the producer upon completing the annual MMRV requirements is the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is syment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List	MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values:
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Full payment
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Full payment • Partial payment
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	 MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full me producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: Full payment Partial payment No payment
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category Logic: None – all respond	 MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: Full payment Partial payment No payment Required: Yes
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category Logic: None – all respond Data collection level: Producer	 MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full me producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: Full payment Partial payment No payment Required: Yes Data collection frequency: Quarterly
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category Logic: None – all respond Data collection level: Producer Payment on sale	 MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full me producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: Full payment Partial payment No payment Required: Yes Data collection frequency: Quarterly
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category Logic: None – all respond Data collection level: Producer Payment on sale Data element name: Payment on sale	MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Full payment • Partial payment • No payment Required: Yes Data collection frequency: Quarterly Reporting question: What portion of the financial incentive is provided to producer upon cale of the commodity?
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category Logic: None – all respond Data collection level: Producer Payment on sale Data element name: Payment on sale	MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Full payment • Partial payment • No payment Required: Yes Data collection frequency: Quarterly Reporting question: What portion of the financial incentive is provided to producer upon sale of the commodity? d to the producer upon sale of the commodity included in the
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category Logic: None – all respond Data collection level: Producer Payment on sale Data element name: Payment on sale Description: Any incentive payment provide contract. Full payment means the full incent	MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Full payment • Partial payment • No payment Required: Yes Data collection frequency: Quarterly Reporting question: What portion of the financial incentive is provided to producer upon sale of the commodity? d to the producer upon sale of the commodity included in the ive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon sale.
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category Logic: None – all respond Data collection level: Producer Payment on sale Data element name: Payment on sale Description: Any incentive payment provide contract. Full payment means the full incent Partial payment means that only part of the	MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Full payment • Partial payment • No payment Required: Yes Data collection frequency: Quarterly Reporting question: What portion of the financial incentive is provided to producer upon sale of the commodity? d to the producer upon sale of the commodity included in the tive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon sale. full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category Logic: None – all respond Data collection level: Producer Payment on sale Data element name: Payment on sale Description: Any incentive payment provide contract. Full payment means the full incent Partial payment means that only part of the upon sale. No payment means that none of	MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Full payment • Partial payment • No payment Required: Yes Data collection frequency: Quarterly Reporting question: What portion of the financial incentive is provided to producer upon sale of the commodity? d to the producer upon sale of the commodity included in the tive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category Logic: None – all respond Data collection level: Producer Payment on sale Data element name: Payment on sale Description: Any incentive payment provide contract. Full payment means the full incent Partial payment means that only part of the upon sale. No payment means that none of paid upon sale.	MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Full payment • Partial payment • No payment Required: Yes Data collection frequency: Quarterly Reporting question: What portion of the financial incentive is provided to producer upon sale of the commodity? ed to the producer upon sale of the commodity included in the cive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category Logic: None – all respond Data collection level: Producer Payment on sale Data element name: Payment on sale Description: Any incentive payment provide contract. Full payment means the full incent Partial payment means that only part of the upon sale. No payment means that none of paid upon sale. Data type: List	MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Full payment • Partial payment • No payment Required: Yes Data collection frequency: Quarterly Reporting question: What portion of the financial incentive is provided to producer upon sale of the commodity? ed to the producer upon sale of the commodity included in the tive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is Select multiple values: No
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category Logic: None – all respond Data collection level: Producer Payment on sale Data element name: Payment on sale Description: Any incentive payment provide contract. Full payment means the full incent Partial payment means that only part of the upon sale. No payment means that none of the paid upon sale. Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Full payment • Partial payment • No payment Required: Yes Data collection frequency: Quarterly Reporting question: What portion of the financial incentive is provided to producer upon sale of the commodity? d to the producer upon sale of the commodity included in the tive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is Select multiple values: No Allowed values:
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category Logic: None – all respond Data collection level: Producer Payment on sale Data element name: Payment on sale Description: Any incentive payment provide contract. Full payment means the full incent Partial payment means the full incent Partial payment means that only part of the upon sale. No payment means that none of paid upon sale. Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Full payment • Partial payment • No payment Required: Yes Data collection frequency: Quarterly
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category Logic: None – all respond Data collection level: Producer Payment on sale Data element name: Payment on sale Description: Any incentive payment provide contract. Full payment means the full incent Partial payment means that only part of the upon sale. No payment means that none of the paid upon sale. Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Full payment • Partial payment • No payment Required: Yes Data collection frequency: Quarterly Reporting question: What portion of the financial incentive is provided to producer upon sale of the commodity? ed to the producer upon sale of the commodity included in the tive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon sale. full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Full payment • Partial payment
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category Logic: None – all respond Data collection level: Producer Payment on sale Data element name: Payment on sale Description: Any incentive payment provide contract. Full payment means the full incent Partial payment means that only part of the upon sale. No payment means that none of the paid upon sale. Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Full payment • Partial payment • No payment Required: Yes Data collection frequency: Quarterly Reporting question: What portion of the financial incentive is provided to producer upon sale of the commodity? d to the producer upon sale of the commodity included in the tive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon sale. full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Full payment • Partial payment • No payment
contract held by the producer is paid upon N incentive amount for any contract held by th Data type: List Measurement unit: Category Logic: None – all respond Data collection level: Producer Payment on sale Data element name: Payment on sale Description: Any incentive payment provide contract. Full payment means the full incent Partial payment means that only part of the upon sale. No payment means that none of paid upon sale. Data type: List Measurement unit: Category Logic: None – all respond	MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full ne producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: • Full payment • Partial payment • No payment Required: Yes Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Field Summary	
Unique IDs	
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA
State or territory of field	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
County of field	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)
Commodity type	
Data element name: Commodity type	Reporting question: What type of commodity is produced from this field?
Description: Type of commodity produ- worksheet provides multiple columns v column. Leave unnecessary columns bla Data type: List	ced in field enrolled in the project. See full list in Appendix B. The vith a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each ank. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: FSA commodity list
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Practice type	
Data element name: Field practice type Description: Which climate-smart agric this project? CSAF practices are include	e 1-7 Reporting question: What CSAF practice is being implemented in this field through the project? ulture or forestry (CSAF) practice or practices are being implemented in d in a list in Appendix A. The worksheet provides seven columns for this
data element. Enter one value for each field through enrollment in the project, Data type: List	column. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this leave unnecessary columns blank. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: See list in Appendix A
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Date practice complete	
Data element name: Date practice com	nplete Reporting question: When did the project certify CSAF practice implementation as complete?
Description: Date that the project certi Use January of the year prior to contract implemented in the year prior to a cont seven columns for this data element. En entered in the previous columns. If the enrollment in the project, leave unnece Data type: Date	fies that implementation of the CSAF practice is complete on the field. ct year for early adopters, defined as fields that have the practice actively tract associated with this project is signed). The worksheet provides neter one value for each column, corresponding to the practice types re are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through essary columns blank. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY	Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Contract end date	
Data element name: Contract end date	Reporting question: Contract end date
Description: End date listed on the contract that enr submit updated end date during the next quarter's re	olls the field in the project. If contract end date changes, eporting.
Data type: Date	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY	Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
MMRV assistance provided	
Data element name: MMRV assistance provided	Reporting question: Was MMRV assistance provided?
Description: Was any MMRV assistance provided to t includes in-field support for the use of technologies, a support related to MMRV. MMRV is defined a measu monitoring (ongoing review and confirmation that the to the agreed upon standard and documentation of a impacts over time), reporting (documenting and shar partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification confirmation that measurement, monitoring and report Data type: List	the primary operator for this field? MMRV assistance consultation on data collection and input, and other rement (calculations or estimations of GHG emissions), e climate-smart practice has been implemented according iny changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions ing monitoring and measurement results with project on organization), and verification (independent orting information are complete, accurate and reliable). Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	• Yes
	• No
1 - 4 - N I	I don't know
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Marketing assistance provided	
Data element name: Marketing assistance provided	Reporting question: Was marketing assistance provided?
Description: Was any marketing assistance provided	to the primary operator for the commodity(ies) produced
from this field? Marketing assistance includes guaran	teeing the sale of the commodity(ies), providing a platform
for the sale of the commodity(ies), providing a label,	branding, or other support related to marketing.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Yes
	 Iden't know
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
	Duta concerton nequency. Quarterly
Data element name: Incentive per acre or head	Poporting question: Is this field receiving a per acro or
Data element name: incentive per acre or nead	per-head incentive?
Description: Is this field receiving an incentive payme	int to implement a specific CSAF practice or set of practices
on a per-acre or per-nead (livestock) basis?	Salast multiple values: No
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	• res
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection levels Field	Data collection fragmanais Orientachi
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Field commodity value	
Data element name: Field commodity value	Reporting question: What is the value of the commodity produced on the enrolled field?
Description: The dollar value of the commodity	produced on the enrolled field.
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$1-\$10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
ield commodity volume	
Data element name: Field commodity volume	Reporting question: What is the volume of commodity produced on the enrolled field?
Description: The volume of the commodity pro	duced on the enrolled field
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Number	Allowed values: 1-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Field commodity volume unit	
Description: The unit associated with the volur chosen, enter the appropriate value in the add Data type: List Measurement unit: Category	ne of the commodity produced on the enrolled field. If "other" is itional column. Select multiple values: No Allowed values: Bushels Carcass weight pounds
	 Gallons Head Linear feet Liveweight pounds Pounds Tons Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Cost of implementation	
Data element name: Cost of implementation	Reporting question: What is the cost of practice implementation in the field?
Description: Total annual estimated cost per un	nit of implementing the practice(s) in the enrolled field.
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Dollars	Allowed values: \$1-\$10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Cost unit	
Data element name: Cost unit	Reporting question: What is the unit for cost?
Description: The unit associated with the enter the appropriate value in the additional statement of the additional statement of the stateme	e cost of implementing CSAF practices in the field. If "other" is chosen, ional column.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
Weasurement unit. Category	Per acre
	Per bushel
	Per head
	Per linear foot
	Per pound
	Per ton
	Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Cost coverage	
Data element name: Cost coverage	Reporting question: What percent of the practice cost is
	covered by the incentive?
incentives.	tal annual cost of implementing the practice(s) that is covered by project
Data type: Integer	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Percent	Allowed values: 0-100
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Field GHG monitoring	
Data element name: Field GHG monitor 1-3	ing Reporting question: How were GHG impacts monitored in this field?
Description: Up to the top three forms of	of monitoring GHG benefits as part of MMRV requirements. Monitoring
is defined as ongoing review and confirm	nation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according
to the agreed upon standard and docum	entation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions
impacts over time. Include up to 3 meth	ods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this field.
The worksheet provides three columns v	with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each
column. If fewer than 3 GHG monitoring	methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is
chosen, use the additional column to en	ter other GHG monitoring methods as free text.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Drones
	Ground-level photos and videos
	On-farm inspection
	Prot-based sampling (e.g., soli, water) Producer records or attestation
	 Satellite monitoring or remote sensing
	Soil metagenomics
	Soil sensors
	Water sensors
	 Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Other (specify) Required: Yes

USDA	Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients
	February 2023

Field GHG reporting	
Data element name: Field GHG reporting 1-3 Description: Up to the top three forms of rep is defined as documenting and sharing monit recipient, and any third-party verification org most commonly used for this field. The work values. Choose one value for each column. If columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the a	Reporting question: How were GHG benefits reported for this field? borting on GHG benefits as part of MMRV requirements. Reporting toring and measurement results with project partners, the ganization. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are sheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed fewer than 3 GHG reporting methods are used, leave unnecessary additional column to enter other GHG reporting methods as free
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: • Automated devices • Email • Mobile app • Paper • Third-party actors • Website • Other (maniful)
Logic: None – all respond	Other (specify) Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
	but concerton nequency. Quartery
Data element name: Field GHG verification 1-3 Description: Up to the top three of verification defined as independent confirmation that m accurate and reliable. Include up to 3 method The worksheet provides three columns with column. If fewer than 3 GHG verification met chosen, use the additional column to enter of Data type: List	Reporting question: How was implementation of practices to reduce GHG emissions verified for this field? on of GHG benefits as part of MMRV requirements. Verification is easurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, ds, based on which methods are most commonly used for this field a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each chods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is ther GHG verification methods as free text. Select multiple values : No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: • Artificial intelligence • Computer modeling • Recipient audit • Photos • Record audit • Satellite imagery • Site or field visit • Third-party audit • Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Kequirea: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Field GHG calculations	
Data element name: Field GHG	Reporting question: What methods are used to calculate GHG
calculations	benefits in this field?
Description: List the method(s) used to calc	ulate GHG benefits in this field. If yes to direct physical
measurements, submit result reports (see S	upplemental Data Submission – Field direct GHG measurement
results).	Select multiple values: No
Moncurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
Weasurement unit: Category	Models
	Direct field measurements
	Both
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Field official GHG calculation	
Data element name: Field official GHG	Reporting question: What method was used to calculate the
calculation	official GHG benefits in this field?
Description: List the method used to calcula	ate the official GHG benefits in this field that are reported as part of
the project's aggregate impact.	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Models
Lesie Negal all second	Direct field measurements
Logic: None – an respond	Required: Tes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Field official GHG ER	
Data element name: Field official GHG	Reporting question: What are the estimated total GHG emission
emission reductions	reductions (CO2eq) in this field?
reported as part of the project's aggregate	impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion
or annually, as appropriate.	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO2eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Field official carbon stock	
Data element name: Field official carbon	Reporting question: How much carbon has been sequestered in
stock	this field?
Description: Estimated total change in carb	on stock based on practice implementation in this field. This data
element can be reported in any quarter and	is cumulative for the year. Conversion rate is one ton of carbon =
3.67 tons of CO ₂ eq.	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂ eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Field official CO2 ER	
Data element name: Field official CO2 emission reductions Description: Estimated total carbon dioxide e that are reported as part of the project's aggin completion or annually, as appropriate.	Reporting question: What are the estimated total CO2 emission reductions in this field? emission reductions based on practice implementation in this field regate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Field official CH4 ER	
Data element name: Field official CH4 emissi reductions Description: Estimated total methane emission are reported as part of the project's aggregat	on Reporting question: What are the estimated total CH4 emission reductions in this field? on reductions based on practice implementation in this field that te impact. This data element must be entered upon practice
completion or annually, as appropriate. Conv	version rate is one ton of $CH_4 = 25$ tons of CO_2eq .
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons CH4 reduced	in Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
CO ₂ eq	Populized: Voc
Data collection levels Field	Data collection from one of the least
	Data collection frequency: quarterly
Data element name: Field official N2O emissi reductions Description: Estimated total nitrous oxide em that are reported as part of the project's aggi completion or annually, as appropriate. Conv Data type: Decimal	ion Reporting question: What are the estimated total N2O emission reductions in this field? nission reductions based on practice implementation in this field regate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice version rate is one ton of N ₂ O = 298 tons of CO ₂ eq. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons N2O reduced CO2eq	d in Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Field offsets produced	
Data element name: Field offsets produced	Reporting question: How many carbon offsets have been produced in this field?
Description: Total carbon offsets produced in as having been verified and certified using an Data type: Decimal	n the field during the quarter (not cumulative). Offsets are defined accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO2eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Field insets produced	
Data element name: Field insets produced	Reporting question: How many carbon insets have been produced in this field?
Description: Total carbon insets produced in	the field during the quarter (not cumulative). Insets are defined as
having been verified and certified using an a firm.	ccepted standard and accounted for within Scope 3 emissions for a
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO2eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly
Other field measurement	
Data element name: Other field	Reporting question: Were data collected from the field for
measurement	reasons other than GHG benefit estimation?
Description: Direct physical measurements of	or data collection taken in the field for any reason other than GHG
benefits estimation. These reasons could inc environmental benefits (see Field environme	lude calibration of GHG estimation tools or models, tracking other ental benefits report), and other reasons. If yes, submit
corresponding reports (see Supplemental da	ta submission - Field direct measurement results).
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	• Yes
	• No
	I don't know
Logic: None – all respond	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Quarterly

GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled

Unique IDs		
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA	
Field ID	Jnique Field ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory of field	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	
County of field	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	
Commodity type		
Data element name: Commodity type 2	L-6 Reporting question: What type of commodity(ies) is produced from this field?	
Description: Type of commodity(ies) print in Appendix B. The worksheet provides one value for each column. Leave unner	roduced in field enrolled in the project. See full list of commodity options multiple columns with drop-down lists of the allowed values. Choose cessary columns blank	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: FSA commodity list	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods	
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual	
Practice type		
Data element name: Practice type 1-7	Reporting question: What CSAF practice is being implemented by this project?	
Description: Which CSAF practice or pra- included in a list in Appendix A. The wo for each column. If there are fewer than columns blank.	actices are being implemented in this project? CSAF practices are rksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value n 7 practices being implemented by the project, leave unnecessary	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values: See list in Appendix A	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods	
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual	

Data element name: GHG model	Reporting question: What model was used for alternate calculation of GHG benefits?
Description: Select the model used	for the alternate calculation of the field's GHG benefits.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	ACC Calculator
	 Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) Carbon Calculator
	AIRES
	APEX
	Bowen Ratio Energy Balance
	Carat-Calculator
	CArPE
	CDFA web-based calculator
	COMET-Farm
	COMET-Planner
	CoolFarm
	Cover Crop Explore
	CropTrak
	CultivateAl's FMIS
	DayCent-CR
	DNDC
	• DSSAT
	Earth Optics
	EcoPractices
	EPIC
	 Extrapolation based on literature
	FieldPrint
	Granular
	• GREET
	• gTIR
	IFSM
	 IPCC default emissions factors & models
	itree
	Nitrogen Balance
	 Nutrient Tracking Tool (NTT)
	RCD Project Tracker
	 Revised Universal Soil Loss equation 2 (RUSLE2)
	RuFaS
	SAFE-Link
	SALUS (CIBO)
	SNAPGRAZE
	SquareRoots
	• SWAT-C
	SYMFONI
	Truterra Sustainability Tool
	Verra
	WEPP
	YardStick
	Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual

Model start date		
Data element name: Model start date	Reporting question: For what time period are the GHG benefits modeled (model start date)?	
Description: Date that the model parameter	s begin.	
Data type: Date	Select multiple values: NA	
Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY	Allowed values: 01/01/1950 – 12/31/2030	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods	
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual	
Model end date		
Data element name: Model end date	Reporting question: For what time period are the GHG benefits modeled (model end date)?	
Description: Date that the model parameters	s end.	
Data type: Date	Select multiple values: NA	
Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY	Allowed values: 01/01/2023-12/31/2030	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods	
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual	
Total GHG benefits estimated		
Data element name: Total GHG benefits estimated	Reporting question: What is the alternate estimate of the field's total GHG emission reductions?	
Description: Total greenhouse gas emission using an alternate model.	reductions from practice implementation in the field estimated	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO2eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods	
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual	
Total carbon stock estimated		
Data element name: Total carbon stock	Reporting question: What is the alternate estimate of how much	
estimated	carbon has the field has sequestered?	
alternate model. Conversion rate is one ton	of carbon = 3.67 tops of COreg	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO2eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods	
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual	
Total CO2 estimated	2 11	
Data element name: Total CO2 estimated	Reporting question: What is the alternate estimate of the field's total CO2 emission reductions?	
Description: Total carbon dioxide emission reusing an alternate model	eductions based on practice implementation in the field estimated	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods	
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual	



Total CH4 estimated	
Data element name: Total CH4 estimated	Reporting question: What is the alternate estimate of the field's total CH4 emission reductions?
Description: Total methane emission reductions based on pra- an alternate model. Conversion rate is one ton of CH ₄ = 25 ton	ctice implementation in the field estimated using s of CO₂eq.
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons CH4 reduced in CO2eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual
Total field N20 estimated	
Data element name: Total N2O estimated	Reporting question: What is the alternate estimate of the field's total N2O emission reductions?
Description: Total nitrous oxide emission reductions based on	practice implementation in the field estimated
Data type: Decimal	= 298 tons of CO ₂ eq. Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons N2O reduced in CO2eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual

GHG Benefits - Measured

Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA	
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory of field	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	
County of field	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	

GHG measurement method

Data element name: GHG measurement method	Reporting question: What measurement method is used to calculate GHG benefits?
Description: Field-based measurement method used to appropriate value as free text in the additional column.	calculate GHG benefits. If "other" is chosen, enter the
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	 Allowed values: Emissions measurement unit Flux towers Litterbags Plant measurements Portable emissions analyzers Soil flux chambers
Logic: None – all respond	 Soil nux chambers Soil samples Soil sensors Vehicle-mounted sensors Other (specify) Required: If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this
Data collection level: Field	field Data collection frequency: Annual
Lab name	
Data element name: Lab name Description: Name of entity that received data and cond	Reporting question: What is the name of the lab that processed the measurement samples?
Data type: Text	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: NA	Allowed values: Free text
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If applicable

Data collection frequency: Annual

Data collection level: Field



Measurement start date		
Data element name: Measurement start date	Reporting question: On what date did the measurement start?	
Description: Date that the measurements began. If it was a single point in time, use the same date for start		
and end date. If multiple measurements took place over	r a time period, use the date that the measurements first	
began.	Sensitive and approximate the sense of the sense of the	
Data type: Date		
Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY	Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field	
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual	
Measurement end date		
Data element name: Measurement end date	Reporting question: On what date did the measurement end?	
Description: Date that the measurements began. If it was	as a single point in time, use the same date for start date	
and end date. If multiple measurements took place over were completed.	r a time period, use the date that the measurements	
Data type: Date	Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY	Allowed values: 01/01/2023- 12/31/2030	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field	
Data collection level: Field	vel: Field Data collection frequency: Annual	
Total CO2 reduction calculated		
Data element name: Total CO2 reduction calculated Description: Total annual CO2 emission reductions base	Reporting question: What are the total measured CO2 emission reductions? d on practice implementation in the field calculated	
from in-field measurements.		
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000	
Logic: None – all respond Data collection level: Field	Required: If a project takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field Data collection frequency:	
	Annual	
Total field carbon stock measured	Penerting question: What is the total amount of	
measured	carbon sequestered based on repeat measurements in this field?	
Description: Change in carbon stock based on practice in sampling in this field. (Results for initial field soil sample 'Measurement type" columns.) Conversion rate is one to Data type: Decimal	mplementation in the field calculated from repeat soil s should be reported in the 'Soil sample result' and on of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO ₂ eq. Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Metric tons CO2eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000	
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock measurements in this field	
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual	

Total CH4 reduction calculated	
Data element name: Total CH4 reduction calculated	Reporting question: What are the total measured CH4 emission reductions?
Description: Total annual methane emission reductions b	ased on practice implementation in the field calculated
from in-field measurements. Conversion rate is one ton o	of $CH_4 = 25$ tons of CO_2eq .
Data type: Decimal Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Metric tons CH4 reduced in CO2eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual
Total N20 reduction calculated	
Data element name: Total N2O reduction calculated	Reporting question: What are the total measured N2O emission reductions?
Description: Total annual nitrous oxide emission reduction	ns based on practice implementation in the field
calculated from in-field measurements. Conversion rate i	s one ton of N_2O = 298 tons of CO_2eq .
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Metric tons N2O reduced in CO2eq	Allowed values: 0-10,000,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual
Soil sample result	
Data element name: Soil sample result	Reporting question: What is the numeric result from this soil sample?
Description: Results of measurement(s) taken to determi	ne the carbon stock of a soil (the tons of carbon found
in a specified volume of soil).	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Amount	Allowed values: .00001-100,000
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If a project conducts soil samples in this field
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual

Soil sample result unit	
Data element name: Soil sample result unit	Reporting question: What is unit for the soil sample result?
Description: Unit for the corresponding soil s for this data element. If "other" is chosen, us text.	ample result. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of choices e the additional column to enter the appropriate yield unit as free
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Percent
	• Ppm
	Grams
	 Grams per cubic centimeter
	Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If a project conducts soil samples in this field
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual
Measurement type	
Data element name: Measurement type	Reporting question: What type of analysis was conducted for this soil sample?
Description: Type of soil analysis conducted.	The worksheet provides a drop-down list of choices for this data
element. If "other" is chosen, use the additio	nal column to enter the appropriate yield unit as free text.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Organic matter
	Total organic carbon
	Bulk density
	Other (specify)
Logic: None – all respond	Required: If a project conducts soil samples in this field
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual

Additional Environmental Benefits

Unique ibs	Un	iqu	Je	IDs
------------	----	-----	----	-----

personal and personal states and the		
Farm ID	Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA	
Tract ID	Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA	
Field ID	Unique Field ID assigned by FSA	
State or territory of field	State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	
County of field	County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data)	

Environmental benefits Data element name: Environmental Reporting question: Are environmental benefits other than benefits GHGs being tracked in the field? Description: Tracking of environmental benefits other than greenhouse gas emission reductions and carbon sequestration in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits. Select multiple values: No Data type: List Allowed values: Measurement unit: Category Yes No I don't know Logic: None - all respond Required: Yes Data collection level: Field Data collection frequency: Annual **Reduction in nitrogen loss** Reporting question: Are reductions in nitrogen losses being Data element name: Reduction in nitrogen loss tracked in the field? Description: Tracking reductions in nitrogen losses in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits. Data type: List Select multiple values: No Allowed values: Measurement unit: Category Yes No I don't know Logic: Respond if yes to 'Environmental Required: Yes benefits' Data collection level: Field Data collection frequency: Annual **Reduction in nitrogen loss amount** Reporting question: How much reduction in nitrogen losses Data element name: Reduction in nitrogen loss amount have been measured in the field? Description: Total amount of reduction in nitrogen losses that is measured and reported in the enrolled field. Data type: Decimal Select multiple values: No Allowed values: 0-1,000,000 Measurement unit: Amount Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduction in **Required:** Yes nitrogen loss' Data collection level: Field Data collection frequency: Annual

Reduction in nitrogen loss amount unit	
Data element name: Reduction in nitrogen	Reporting question: What is the unit for how much reduction in
loss amount unit	nitrogen losses have been measured in the field?
Description: Unit for the total amount of red	uction in nitrogen losses that is measured and reported in the
enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the	appropriate value as free text in the additional column.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Kilograms
	Metric tons
	Pounds
	Other (specify)
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduction in nitrogen loss'	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Appual
Poduction in nitrogen loss numero	
Reduction in nitrogen loss purpose	Departing succeives: What is the surpose of tracking radiustics in
bata element name: Reduction in hitrogen	Reporting question: what is the purpose of tracking reduction in
Description: Durness of tracking reduction in	nitrogen losses?
Description: Purpose of tracking reduction in	al column
appropriate value as free text in the addition	al column.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Commodity marketing
	Producing insets
	Producing offsets
	I don't know
	Other (specify)
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduction in nitrogen loss'	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Project	Data collection frequency: Annual
Reduction in phosphorus loss	
Data element name: Reduction in	Reporting question: Are reductions in phosphorus losses being
phosphorus loss	tracked in the field?
Description: Tracking of reductions in phosp	horus losses in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum
using some form of monitoring and reporting	g that can quantify benefits.
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:
	Yes
	• No
	I don't know
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Environmental	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Appual
Data conection level. Held	Data conection nequency. Annual
Pate element name: Reduction in	Penerting question: How much reduction in abornhouse losses
bata element name: Reduction in	have been measured in the field?
Description: Total amount of reduction in ph	have been measured in the field
Description: Total amount of reduction in ph	osphorus losses that is measured in the field.
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No
Measurement unit: Amount	Allowed values: 0-1,000,000
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduction in phosphorus loss'	Required: Yes
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual

USDA	Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients
	February 2023

Reduction in phosphorus loss amount unit			
Data element name: Reduction in	Reporting question: What is the unit for the reduction in		
phosphorus loss amount unit	phosphorus losses measured in the field?		
Description: Unit for the total amount of re	duction in phosphorus losses that is measured in the enrolled field. If		
"other" is chosen, enter the appropriate val	ue as free text in the additional column.		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
	Kilograms		
	Metric tons		
	Pounds		
	Other (specify)		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduction in phosphorus loss'	Required: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		
Reduction in phosphorus loss purpose			
Data element name: Reduction in	Reporting question: What is the purpose of tracking reductions		
phosphorus loss purpose	in phosphorus losses?		
Description: Purpose of tracking reduction i	n phosphorus losses in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter		
the appropriate value as free text in the add	fitional column.		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
	Commodity marketing		
	 Producing insets 		
	Producing offsets		
	 I don't know 		
	Other (specify)		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduction in phosphorus loss'	Required: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		
Other water quality			
Data element name: Other water quality	Reporting question: Are other water quality metrics being		
	tracked in the field?		
Description: Project tracking of other water	quality metrics in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum		
using some form of monitoring and reportir	ng that can quantify benefits.		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
	• Yes		
	• No		
	I don't know		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'	Required: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		

Other water quality type		
Data element name: Other water quality type Description: Type of other water quality me measured in the field. If "other" is chosen, e	Reporting question: What type of other water quality metric have been measured in the field? etric (besides nitrogen loss and phosphorus loss reductions) that is enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:	
	Sediment load reduction	
	Temperature	
	Other (specify)	
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Other water quality'	Required: Yes	
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual	
Other water quality amount		
Data element name: Other water quality amount	Reporting question: How much reduction in other water quality metrics have been measured in the field?	
Description: Total amount of reduction in o	Calest en Males Ne	
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Amount	Allowed values: 0-1,000,000	
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Other water quality'	Required: Yes	
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual	
Other water quality amount unit		
Data element name: Other water quality amount unit	Reporting question: What is the unit for the reduction in other water quality metrics measured in the field?	
Description: Unit for the total amount of re	duction in other water quality metrics that is measured in the	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No	
Macaura to the Catalogue	Allowed uplices	
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:	
	Kilograms	
	Kilograms per liter	
	Metric tons	
	Pounds	
	Other (specify)	
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Other water quality'	Required: Yes	
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual	

USDA	Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients
	February 2023

Other water quality purpose			
Data element name: Other water quality	Reporting question: What is the purpose of tracking other water		
purpose	quality benefits?		
Description: Purpose of tracking other water	quality benefits in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the		
appropriate value as free text in the additiona	al column.		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
	Commodity marketing		
	Producing insets		
	Producing offsets		
	I don't know		
10 D. Held (1220/201 // 144/984/20) //	Other (specify)		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Other water quality'	Required: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		
Water quantity			
Data element name: Water quantity	Reporting question: Is water conservation being tracked in the field?		
Description: Tracking of water conservation of	or reduction in use in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a		
minimum using some form of monitoring and	reporting that can quantify benefits.		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
	Yes		
	• No		
	I don't know		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'	Required: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		
Water quantity amount			
Data element name: Water quantity amount	Reporting question: How much water conservation has been measured in the field?		
Description: Total amount of water conserva-	tion or reduction that is measured in the field.		
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Amount	Allowed values: 0-1,000,000		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Water quantity'	Required: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		
Water quantity amount unit			
Data element name: Water quantity	Reporting question: What is the unit for the amount of water		
amount unit	conservation measured in the field?		
Description: Unit for the total amount of wat	er conservation or reduced use that is measured and reported in		
the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter t	the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
	Acre-feet		
	Cubic feet		
	• Other (specify)		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Water quantity'	Requirea: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		

Water quantity purpose			
Data element name: Water quantity	Reporting question: What is the purpose of tracking water		
purpose	conservation?		
Description: Purpose of tracking water conse	rvation or reductions in water use in the enrolled field. If "other" is		
chosen, enter the appropriate value as free t	ext in the additional column.		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
	Commodity marketing		
	Producing insets		
	Producing offsets		
Logic: Personal if yes to 'Water quantity'	Other (specify) Poquired: Yos		
Logic: Respond in yes to water quantity	Required: res		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		
Reduced erosion			
Data element name: Reduced erosion	Reporting question: Is reduced soil erosion being tracked in the field?		
Description: Tracking of reduced soil erosion	in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some		
form of monitoring and reporting that can qu	iantify benefits.		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
	• Yes		
	• No		
	 I don't know 		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits'	Required: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		
Reduced erosion amount			
Data element name: Reduced erosion	Reporting question: How much erosion reduction has been		
amount	measured in the field?		
Description: Total amount of erosion reducti	on that is measured in the enrolled field.		
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Amount	Allowed values: 0-1,000,000		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduced erosion'	Required: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		
Reduced erosion amount unit			
Data element name: Reduced erosion unit	Reporting question: What is the unit for the amount of erosion reduction measured?		
Description: Unit for the total amount of ero	sion reduction from enrolled fields that is measured and reported		
by the project. If "other" is chosen, enter the	appropriate value as free text in the additional column.		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
12	• Tons		
	Other (specify)		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduced erosion'	Required: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		

Reduced erosion purpose			
Data element name: Reduced erosion	Reporting question: What is the purpose of tracking reduced		
purpose	erosion in the field?		
Description: Purpose of tracking reduced ero	osion the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate		
value as free text in the additional column.			
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
	 Commodity marketing 		
	 Producing insets 		
	 Producing offsets 		
	I don't know		
10 D. WAS STREEDS AS STREEDS IN 1999 14	Other (specify)		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduced erosion'	Required: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		
Reduced energy use			
Data element name: Reduced energy use	Reporting question: Is reduced energy use being tracked in the field?		
Description: Tracking of reduced energy use	in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some		
form of monitoring and reporting that can qu	uantify benefits.		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
	• Yes		
	• No		
	 I don't know 		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Environmental	Required: Yes		
benefits'			
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		
Reduced energy use amount	212 82 72 67 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
Data element name: Reduced energy use	Reporting question: How much energy use reduction has been		
amount	measured in the field?		
Description: Total amount of energy use red	uction that is measured in the enrolled field.		
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Amount	Allowed values: 0-1,000,000		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduced energy use'	Required: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		
Reduced energy use amount unit			
Data element name: Reduced energy use	Reporting question: What is the unit for the energy use		
unit	reduction measured in the field?		
Description: Unit for the total amount of end	ergy use reduction that is measured in the enrolled field. If "other"		
is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free	e text in the additional column.		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
	Kilowatt hours		
	Other (specify)		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduced energy use'	Required: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		

Reduced energy use purpose			
Data element name: Reduced energy use	Reporting question: What is the purpose of tracking reduced		
purpose	energy use in the field?		
Description: Purpose of tracking reduced er	ergy use in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the		
appropriate value as free text in the addition	nal column.		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
	Commodity marketing		
	 Producing insets 		
	 Producing offsets 		
	I don't know		
x x x: 1702 € 1102 € 1	Other (specify)		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduced energy use'	Required: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		
Avoided land conversion			
Data element name: Avoided land	Reporting question: Is avoided land conversion being tracked in the field?		
Description: Tracking of avoided land conve	ersion in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some		
form of monitoring and reporting that can a	uantify benefits. Land conservation means land use changing from		
agricultural uses to non-agricultural uses.	anna, ann ann ann ann ann ann ann ann an		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
incusurement unit, cutegory	Yes		
	• No		
	Idon't know		
Logic: Respond if ves to 'Environmental	Required: Yes		
benefits'	ouse include the		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		
Avoided land conversion amount			
Data element name: Avoided land	Reporting question: How much avoided land conversion has		
conversion amount	been measured in the field?		
Description: Total amount of avoided land of	conversion that is measured in the enrolled field.		
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Amount	Allowed values: 0-1,000,000		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Avoided land	Required: Yes		
conversion'			
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		
Avoided land conversion amount unit			
Data element name: Avoided land	Reporting question: What is the unit for the amount of avoided		
conversion unit	land conversion measured in the field?		
Description: Unit for the total amount of av	oided land conversion that is measured in the enrolled field. If		
"other" is chosen, enter the appropriate val	ue as free text in the additional column.		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
	Acres		
	Other (specify)		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Avoided land conversion'	Required: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		

USDA Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipient	S
February 2023	

Avoided land conversion purpose			
Data element name: Avoided land	Reporting question: What is the purpose of tracking avoided		
conversion purpose	land conversion in the field?		
Description: Purpose of tracking avoided lan	d conversion in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the		
appropriate value as free text in the addition	al column.		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
	Commodity marketing		
	 Producing insets 		
	Producing offsets		
	I don't know		
	Other (specify)		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Avoided land conversion'	Required: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		
Improved wildlife habitat			
Data element name: Improved wildlife	Reporting question: Are improvements to wildlife habitat being		
habitat	tracked in the field?		
Description: Tracking of improvements to wi	Idlife in and around the enrolled field. Tracking means at a		
minimum using some form of monitoring and	d reporting that can quantify benefits.		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
	Yes		
	• No		
	I don't know		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Environmental	Required: Yes		
Denetits	Data collection from ones: Appual		
	Data collection frequency. Annual		
Improved wildlife habitat amount	Particular contacts as (International Second sector) differ in the part		
babitat amount	Reporting question: How much improved wildlife habitat has		
Description: Total amount of improved wildl	ife habitat that is measured in and around the enrolled fields		
Data type: Decimal	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Amount	Allowed volves: 0.1.000.000		
	Allowed values: 0-1,000,000		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Improved wildlife	Required: Yes		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Appual		
	Data concettori ricquency: Aintan		
Data alement name: Improved wildlife	Departing quarties. What is the unit for the execut of improved		
babitat unit	wildlife habitat measured in the field?		
Description: Unit for the total amount of imr	widine habitat measured in the neid?		
fields. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropr	iate value as free text in the additional column.		
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No		
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:		
incusar cinent anti-category	Acres		
	Linear feet		
	Other (specify)		
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Improved wildlife	Required: Yes		
habitat'	- And and a set of the set of		
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual		

USDA	Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients
	February 2023

mproved wildlife habitat purpose		
Data element name: Improved wildlife	Reporting question: What is the purpose of tracking improved	
habitat purpose	wildlife habitat in the field?	
Description: Purpose of tracking improved v appropriate value as free text in the addition	wildlife habitat in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the nal column.	
Data type: List	Select multiple values: No	
Measurement unit: Category	Allowed values:	
	Commodity marketing	
	 Producing insets 	
	 Producing offsets 	
	I don't know	
	Other (specify)	
Logic: Respond if yes to 'Improved wildlife habitat'	Required: Yes	
Data collection level: Field	Data collection frequency: Annual	

CSAF Practice Sub-questions

For some CSAF practices, there is an additional set of questions that are unique to each practice. Responses to these questions are needed to verify estimated GHG benefits of these practices. If a field is implementing a CSAF practice with an NRCS CPS code in Table 11, answer the follow-up questions listed next to the relevant practice name in the table. Use the *Supplemental Reporting Workbook – CSAF Practice Sub-questions* to report the required information.

Table 11. Follow-on questions for select CSAF practices

Practice name and code	Follow-up question	Options (select one)
Alley Cropping (CPS 311)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Shrubs
	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000
Anaerobic Digester (CPS 366)	Waste storage system prior to installing anaerobic digester	Aerobic lagoon Anaerobic digester (complex mix) with energy generation Anaerobic digester (plug flow) with energy generation Anaerobic lagoon Composting Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring) Covered lagoon with energy generation Covered lagoon with flaring Daily spread Deep bedding pack Deep pit Dry lot Dry stacking/solid storage Pasture/range/paddock Poultry with bedding Poultry without bedding (e.g., high rise) Slurry tank/basin
	Digester type	Covered lagoon with energy generation Covered lagoon with flaring Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring) Complex mix with energy generation Plug flow with energy generation Other (specify)
	Additional feedstock source (select most common if using more than one)	Food waste Straw or bedding Wastewater Other (specify)

		Coal
		Diesel
		Electricity
		Gasoline
		Kerosene
	Fuel type before installation	Liquified petroleum gas (LPG)
		Natural gas
		Propane
		Wood
		Other (specify)
	Fuel amount before installation	0-1,000,000
		Cubic feet (natural gas)
		Gallons (diesel, gasoline, propane, LPG, kerosene
	Fuel amount unit before	Kilowatt-hours (electricity)
	Installation	Pounds (wood, coal)
Combustion System Improvement (CPS 372)		Other (specify)
		Coal
		Diesel
		Electricity
		Gasoline
	C I	Kerosene Liquified petroleum gas (LPG)
	Fuel type after installation	
	21	Natural gas
		Propane
		Wood
		Other (specify)
	Fuel amount after installation	0-1,000,000
		Cubic feet (natural gas)
	Eucl amount unit after	Gallons (diesel, gasoline, propane, LPG, kerosene
	Fuel amount unit after installation	Kilowatt-hours (electricity)
		Pounds (wood, coal)
		Other (specify)
	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Brassicas
Conservation Cover		Grasses
Conservation Cover (CPS 327)		Legumes
		Non-legume broadleaves
		Shrubs

USDA Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients	
February 2023	

		Brassica
Conservation Crop Rotation (CPS 328)		Broadleaf
		Cool season
	Conservation crop type	Grace
		Logumo
		Legume
		Warm season
		Added perennial crop
Conservation Cron Botation	Change implemented	Reduced fallow period
(CDS 328)		Both
(CF3 528)		Conventional (plow, chisel, disl
		No-till, direct seed
		Reduced till
	Conservation crop rotation tillage type	Strip till
		None
		Other (specify)
	Total conservation crop rotation length in	outer (speeny)
	davs	1-120
	Strip width (feet)	1-100
Contour Buffer Strips (CPS	nana Reference Augustation	Grasses
332)	Species category	Forbs
5527	Species category	Mix
		IVIIX
	- Revenue - Hand Linzonan, en attente version (Poster Linzers of the States of the	Brassicas
	Species category (select most	Forbs
	common/extensive type if using more	Grasses
	than one)	Legume
		Non-legume broadleaves
		Grazing
Course Crop (CBS 240)	Cover crop planned management	Haying
Cover Crop (CPS 340)		Termination
(ದರ್ಶನದ ಶಂಶ ರ ಂಗಿರು) ಪ್ರದೇಶಕರಿ		Burning
		Herbicide application
	1044 IN 14 OCT 1034 IG	Incorporation
	Cover crop termination method	Mowing
		Bolling/crimping
		Winter kill/frost
		Grace
		Grass logumo Hash min
	Species category (select most	Grass legume/forb Mix
Critical Area Planting (CPS	common/extensive type if using more	Herbaceous woody mix
342)	than one)	Perennial or reseeding
	annaanna atalata 📢	Shrubs
		Trees
	Crude protein (percent)	0-100
	Fat (percent)	0-100
Feed Management (CPS 592)	0	Chemical
	Final addition from the	Edible oils/fats
	Feed additives/supplements	Seaweed/kelp
		Other (specify)
	15252 421 00141 00 0221 1.200 Kmm 1414	Forbs
	Species category (select most	Grasses
Field Border (CPS 386)	common/extensive type if using more	Mix
	than one)	Chauba
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SITUDS

	Strip width (feet)	20-1,000
Filter Strip (CPS 393)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Forbs Grasses Mix Shrubs
Forest Farming (CPS 379)	Land use in previous year	Forest Multi-story cropping Pasture/grazing land Row crops Other agroforestry
Forest Stand Improvement (CPS 666)	Purpose for implementation	Maintain or improve forest carbon stocks Maintain or improve forest health and productivity Maintain or improve forest structure and composition Maintain or improve wildlife, fish, and pollinator habitat Manage natural precipitation more efficiently Reduce forest pest pressure Reduce forest wildfire hazard
Grassed Waterway (CPS 412)	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Flowering Plants Forbs Grasses
Hedgerow Planting (CPS	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Grasses Shrubs Trees
422)	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000
Herbaceous Wind	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Forbs Grasses Mix Shrubs
barriers (Cr5 003)	Barrier width (feet)	1-1,000
	Number of rows	1-100
Mulching (CPS 484)	Mulch type	Gravel Natural Synthetic Wood
	Mulch cover (percent of field)	0-100

USDA Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients	5
February 2023	

		Biosolids
		Commercial fertilizers
		Compost
		FEE (nitrification inhibitor)
		EEE (slow or controlled release)
		EEF (urpass inhibitor)
	Nutrient type with CPS 590	EEF (urease minibitor)
	Call	Green manure
		Liquid animal manure
		Organic by-products
		Organic residues or materials
		Solid/semi-solid animal manure
		Wastewater
		Banded
		Broadcast
		Injection
	Nutrient application method with CPS 590	Irrigation
		Surface application
		Surface application with tillage
		Variable rate
	e	Pandod
Nutrient management (CPS 590)	Nutrient application method in the previous year	Banded
		Broadcast
		Injection
		Irrigation
		Surface application
		Surface application with tillage
		Variable rate
		Single pre-planting
	Nutrient application timing with CPS 590	Single post-planting
		Split pre- and post-planting
		Split post-planting
	2	Single pre-planting
	Nutrient application timing in the previous year	Single post-planting
		Solit pre- and post-planting
		Split pice and post planting
	Nutrient application rate with CPS 590	0-20,000
		Gallons per acre
	Nutrient application rate unit with CPS 590	Pounds per acre
		Decrease compared to previous
		year
	Nutrient application rate change	Increase compared to previous
	n yan de meneral meneral new yang di kerikan di kerikan di kerikan di kerikan di kerikan di kerikan di kerikan National	vear
		No change
	8266 75 131 00 85 40 00	Cool-season broadleaf
Pasture and Hay Planting (CPS 512)	Species category (select most	Cool-season grass
	common/extensive type if using more than	Warm-season broadlast
	one)	Warm soosen gross
		warm-season grass
		Grazing
	Termination process	Haying (i.e., cutting and baling)
		Other (specify)
		Cell grazing
Prescribed Grazing (CPS	Grazing tuno	Deferred rotational
528)	Grazing type	Management intensive
8		Rest-rotation

		Forbs
	Species category (select most	Grasses
Range Planting (CPS 550)	common/extensive type if using more than	Legumes
hange hanning (er 5 550)	one)	Shrubs
	oney	Trees
Posiduo and Tillago		nees
Management No till	Surface disturbance	None
(CPS 329)	Surface disturbance	Seed row only
		None
Residue and Tillage		Seed row/ridge tillage for
Management - Reduced	Surface disturbance	planting
	Surface disturbance	Shallow across most of the soil
111 (CF3 545)		surface
		Vertical/mulch
	Species category (select most	Coniferous trees
	common/extensive type if using more than	Deciduous trees
Riparian Forest Buffer	one)	Shrubs
(CPS 391)	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000
		Ferns
		Forbs
Riparian Herbaceous	Species category (select most	Grasses
Cover (CPS 390)	common/extensive type if using more than one)	Legumes
cover (er 5 556)		Ruchos
		Sodges
		Seuges
	Roof/cover type	Concrete
Roofs and Covers (CPS		Flexible geomembrane
367)		Metal
		limber
		Other (specify)
	Species category (select most	Coniferous trees
	common/extensive type if using more than	Deciduous trees
Silvonasture (CPS 381)	one)	Forage
Sintopusture (er 5 361)		Shrubs
	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000
	Strip width (feet)	1-1,000
	Crop category (select most common/extensive	Erosion resistant crops
Stripcropping (CPS 585)	type if using more than one)	Fallow
		Sediment trapping crops
	Number of strips	2-100
	Species category (select most	Coniferous trees
Tree/Shrub Establishment	common/extensive type if using more than	Deciduous trees
(CPS 612)	one)	Shrubs
	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000
	Species category (select most	Grasses
Vegetative Barrier (CPS	common/extensive type if using more than	Grass forb mix
601)	one)	Grass legume mix
जन्म ग	Barrier width (feet)	3-1 000
	Barrier width (feet)	3-1,000

Waste Separation Facility	Separation type	Chemical (e.g., salts, polymers) Mechanical (e.g., screens, presses) Settling basin
(CPS 632)	Most common use of solids	Bedding Field applied Other (specify)
Waste Storage Facility (CPS 313)	Waste storage system prior to installing your waste storage facility	Aerobic lagoon Anaerobic digester (complex mix) with energy generation Anaerobic digester (plug flow) with energy generation Anaerobic lagoon Composting Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring) Covered lagoon with energy generation Covered lagoon with energy generation Covered lagoon with flaring Daily spread Deep bedding pack Deep pit Dry lot Dry stacking/solid storage Pasture/range/paddock Poultry with bedding Poultry without bedding (e.g., high rise Slurry tank/basin
Waste Treatment (CPS 629)	Treatment type	Biological Chemical Mechanical
Waste Treatment Lagoon (CPS 359)	Waste storage system prior to installing waste treatment lagoon	Aerobic lagoon Anaerobic digester (complex mix) with energy generation Anaerobic digester (plug flow) with energy generation Anaerobic lagoon Composting Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring) Covered lagoon with energy generation covered lagoon with energy generation Covered lagoon with flaring Daily spread Deep bedding pack Deep pit Dry lot Dry stacking/solid storage Pasture/Range/Paddock Poultry with bedding Poultry without bedding (e.g., high rise Slurry tank/basin
	Is there a lagoon cover/crust?	Yes No
	Is there lagoon aeration?	No

Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and	Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one)	Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Shrubs	
Renovation (CPS 380)	Species density (number of trees planted per acre)	1-10,000	
Appendix A: Climate-smart Agriculture and Forestry Practices

All NRCS Practice Standards (not limited to climate-sma	art practices)
309, Agrichemical Handling Facility	390, Riparian Herbaceous Cover
311, Alley Cropping	391, Riparian Forest Buffer
313, Waste Storage Facility	393, Filter Strip
314, Brush Management	394, Firebreak
315, Herbaceous Weed Treatment	395, Stream Habitat Improvement and Management
316. Animal Mortality Facility	396. Aquatic Organism Passage
317. Composting Facility	397. Aguaculture Pond
318. Short Term Storage of Animal Waste and By-Products	398. Fish Raceway or Tank
319. On-Farm Secondary Containment Facility	399. Fishpond Management
320. Irrigation Canal or Lateral	400. Bivalve Aquaculture Gear and Biofouling Control
324. Deep Tillage	402. Dam
325. High Tunnel System	410. Grade Stabilization Structure
326 Clearing and Snagging	412 Grassed Waterway
327 Conservation Cover	420 Wildlife Habitat Planting
328 Conservation Cron Botation	422, Hedgerow Planting
329 Residue and Tillage Management, No Till	423 Hillside Ditch
220, Contour Farming	425, Iniside Ditch Lining
221 Contour Orchard and Other Perennial Crons	428, Irrigation Water Conveyance, Ditch and Canal Lining
222 Contour Dichard and Other Perennial Crops	426A, Imgation water conveyance, Ditch and Canal Lining,
222 Amonding Soil Dranarting with Curroum Draduate	A288 Invigation Water Conveyance, Ditch and Conal Lining
224 Controlled Traffic Forming	4286, Imgation water conveyance, Ditch and Canal Lining,
334, Controlled Traffic Farming	Flexible Membrane
236, Soli Carbon Amendment	428C, Irrigation water Conveyance, Ditch and Canal Lining,
338, Prescribed Burning	Galvanized Steel
340, Cover Crop	430, Irrigation Pipeline
342, Critical Area Planting	432, Dry Hydrant
345, Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till	436, Irrigation Reservoir
348, Dam, Diversion	441, Irrigation System, Microirrigation
350, Sediment Basin	442, Sprinkler System
351, Well Decommissioning	443, Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface
353, Monitoring Well	447, Irrigation and Drainage Tailwater Recovery
355, Groundwater Testing	449, Irrigation Water Management
356, Dike and Levee	450, Anionic Polyacrylamide (PAM) Application
359, Waste Treatment Lagoon	453, Land Reclamation, Landslide Treatment
360, Waste Facility Closure	455, Land Reclamation, Toxic Discharge Control
362, Diversion	457, Mine Shaft and Adit Closing
366, Anaerobic Digester	460, Land Clearing
367, Roofs and Covers	462, Precision Land Forming and Smoothing
368, Emergency Animal Mortality Management	464, Irrigation Land Leveling
371, Air Filtration and Scrubbing	466, Land Smoothing
372, Combustion System Improvement	468, Lined Waterway or Outlet
373, Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces	472, Access Control
374, Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation	484, Mulching
375, Dust Management for Pen Surfaces	490, Tree/Shrub Site Preparation
376, Field Operations Emissions Reduction	500, Obstruction Removal
378. Pond	511, Forage Harvest Management
379. Forest Farming	512. Pasture and Hay Planting
380. Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation	516. Livestock Pipeline
381. Silvopasture	520. Pond Sealing or Lining. Compacted Soil Treatment
382 Fence	521 Pond Sealing or Lining, Geomembrane or
383. Fuel Break	Geosynthetic Clay Liner
384 Woody Residue Treatment	521A Pond Sealing or Lining Elevible Membrane
386 Field Border	5218 Pond Sealing or Lining Soil Dispersant
388 Irrigation Field Ditch	5210, Pond Sealing or Lining, Son Dispersant
soo, migation ricit bittin	Sere, rond sealing of Linning, benconne sedidit

- 521D, Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment
- 522, Pond Sealing or Lining Concrete
- 527, Sinkhole Treatment
- 528, Prescribed Grazing
- 533, Pumping Plant
- 543, Land Reclamation, Abandoned Mined Land
- 544, Land Reclamation, Currently Mined Land
- 548, Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment
- 550, Range Planting
- 554, Drainage Water Management
- 555, Rock Wall Terrace
- 557, Row Arrangement
- 558, Roof Runoff Structure
- 560, Access Road
- 561, Heavy Use Area Protection
- 562, Recreation Area Improvement
- 566, Recreation Land Improvement and Protection
- 570, Stormwater Runoff Control
- 572, Spoil Disposal
- 574, Spring Development
- 575, Trails and Walkways
- 576, Livestock Shelter Structure
- 578, Stream Crossing
- 580, Streambank and Shoreline Protection
- 582, Open Channel
- 584, Channel Bed Stabilization
- 585, Stripcropping
- 587, Structure for Water Control
- 588, Crosswind Ridges
- 589, Cross Wind Trap Strips
- 590, Nutrient Management
- 591, Amendments for Treatment of Agricultural Waste
- 592, Feed Management
- 595, Pest Management Conservation System
- 600, Terrace
- 601, Vegetative Barrier
- 602, Equitable Relief
- 603, Herbaceous Wind Barriers
- 604, Saturated Buffer
- 605, Denitrifying Bioreactor
- 606, Subsurface Drain
- 607, Surface Drain, Field Ditch
- 608, Surface Drain, Main or Lateral
- 609, Surface Roughening
- 610, Salinity and Sodic Soil Management
- 612, Tree/Shrub Establishment
- 614, Watering Facility
- 620, Underground Outlet
- 629, Waste Treatment
- 630, Vertical Drain

Version 1.0

- 632, Waste Separation Facility
- 633, Waste Recycling
- 634, Waste Transfer
- 635, Vegetated Treatment Area
- 636, Water Harvesting Catchment
- 638, Water and Sediment Control Basin
- 640, Waterspreading
- 642, Water Well
- 643, Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities
- 644, Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management
- 645, Upland Wildlife Habitat Management
- 646, Shallow Water Development and Management
- 647, Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt
- 649, Structures for Wildlife
- 650, Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation
- 654, Road/Trail/Landing Closure and Treatment
- 655, Forest Trails and Landings
- 656, Constructed Wetland
- 657, Wetland Restoration
- 658, Wetland Creation
- 659, Wetland Enhancement
- 660, Tree-Shrub Pruning
- 666, Forest Stand Improvement
- 670, Energy Efficient Lighting System
- 672, Energy Efficient Building Envelope
- 736, Crop By-Product Transfer, interim
- 724, Water Treatment Facility, interim
- 735, Waste Gasification Facility, interim

737, Reduced Water and Energy Coffee Conveyance System, interim

- 740, Pond Sealing and Lining, Soil Cement, interim
- 751, Individual Terrace, interim
- 753, Infiltration Ditch, interim
- 755, Well Plugging, interim
- 770, Livestock Confinement Facility, interim
- 775, Drainage Ditch Covering, interim
- 782, Phosphorus Removal System, interim
- 800, Controlling Existing Flowing Wells, interim
- 803, Water Well Disinfection, interim
- 805, Amending Soil Properties with Lime, interim
- 808, Soil Carbon Amendment, interim
- 809, Conservation Harvest Management, interim
- 810, Annual Forages for Grazing Systems, interim
- 812, Raised Beds, interim
- 815, Groundwater Recharge Basin or Trench, interim

Page 84 of 87

- 817, On-Farm Recharge, interim
- 818, Water Conservation System, interim
- 821, Low Tunnel Systems, interim
- 823, Organic Management, interim

Other CSAF Practices Traditional or cultural practices Microbial products Solar power generation Grain bin construction Pre-season drainage

Appendix B: Commodity List CROPS ALFALFA ALMONDS AMARANTH GRAIN APPLES APRICOTS ARONIA (CHOKEBERRY) ARTICHOKES **ASPARAGUS** ATEMOYA **AVOCADOS BAMBOO SHOOTS** BANANAS BARLEY BEANS BEETS **BIRDSFOOT/TREFOIL BLUEBERRIES** BREADFRUIT BROCCOFLOWER BROCCOLI BROCCOLINI **BRUSSEL SPROUTS** BUCKWHEAT CABBAGE CACAO CACTUS CAIMITO CALABAZA MELON CALALOO CAMELINA CANARY MELON CANARY SEED CANEBERRIES CANISTEL CANOLA CANTALOUPES CARAMBOLA (STAR FRUIT) CARROTS CASHEW CASSAVA CAULIFLOWER CELERIAC CELERY CHERIMOYA CHERRIES CHESTNUTS CHICORY/RADICCHIO CHINESE BITTER MELON CHRISTMAS TREES CHUFAS

CINNAMON CLOVER COCONUTS COFFEE CORN COTTON ELS COTTON UPLAND CRANBERRIES **CRENSHAW MELON** CRUSTACEAN **CUCUMBERS** CURRANTS DASHEEN DATES DURIAN EGGPLANT EINKORN **ELDERBERRIES** EMMER FIGS FINFISH FLAX **FLOWERS** FORAGE SOYBEAN/SORGHUM GAILON GARLIC GENIP GINGER GINSENG GOOSEBERRIES GOURDS GRAPEFRUIT GRAPES GRASS GREENS **GROUND CHERRY GUAMABANA/SOURSOP** GUAR **GUAVA GUAVABERRY GUAYULE** HAZEL NUTS HEMP HERBS **HESPERALOE** HONEY HONEYBERRIES HONEYDEW HOPS HORSERADISH HUCKLEBERRIES

HYBRID POPLAR TREES IDLE INDIGO **ISRAEL MELONS** JACK FRUIT JERUSALEM ARTICHOKES JICAMA JOJOBA JUJUBE JUNEBERRIES KENAF **KHORASAN KIWIBERRY** KIWIFRUIT KOCHIA (PROSTRATA) KOHLRABI KOREAN GOLDEN MELON **KUMQUATS** LAMBS EAR LEEKS LEMONS LENTILS LESPEDEZA LETTUCE LIMES LONGAN LOQUATS LYCHEE MANGOS MANGOSTEEN MAPLE SAP MAYHAW BERRIES MEADOWFOAM MILKWEED MILLET MIXED FORAGE MOHAIR MOLLUSK MORINGA **MULBERRIES MUSHROOMS** MUSTARD NECTARINES NIGER SEED NONI OATS OKRA OLIVES ONIONS ORANGES PAPAYA

Version 1.0



PARSNIP PASSION FRUITS PAWPAW PEACHES PEANUTS PEARS PEAS PECANS PENNYCRESS PEPPERS PERENNIAL PEANUTS PERIQUE TOBACCO PERSIMMONS **PINE NUTS** PINEAPPLE PISTACHIOS PITAYA/DRAGONFRUIT PLANTAIN PLUMCOTS PLUMS POMEGRANATES POTATOES POTATOES SWEET PRUNES PSYLLIUM PUMMELO PUMPKINS QUINCES QUINOA RADISHES RAISINS RAMBUTAN RAPESEED RHUBARB RICE RICE SWEET **RICE WILD** RUTABAGA RYE SAFFLOWER SAPODILLA SAPOTE SCALLIONS SESAME SHALLOTS SORGHUM SORGHUM DUAL PURPOSE SORGHUM FORAGE SOYBEANS SPELT SQUASH STAR GOOSEBERRY

STRAWBERRIES SUGAR BEETS SUGARCANE **SUNFLOWERS** SUNN HEMP TANGELOS TANGERINES TANGORS TANGOS TANNIER TARO TEA TEFF TL **TOBACCO CIGAR WRAPPER TOBACCO BURLEY TOBACCO BURLEY 31V TOBACCO CIGAR BINDER TOBACCO CIGAR FILLER** TOBACCO CIGAR FILLER BINDER **TOBACCO DARK AIR CURED TOBACCO FIRE CURED TOBACCO FLUE CURED** TOBACCO MARYLAND **TOBACCO VIRGINIA FIRE CURED** TOMATILLOS TOMATOES TREES TIMBER TRITICALE TRUFFLES TURNIPS VETCH WALNUTS WAMPEE WASABI WATERMELON WAX JAMBOO FRUIT WHEAT WILLOW SHRUB WINTER MELON WOLFBERRY/GOJI YAM

LIVESTOCK ALPACAS **BEEF COWS** BEEFALO **BUFFALO OR BISON** CHICKENS (BROILERS) CHICKENS (LAYERS) DAIRY COWS DEER DUCKS ELK EMUS EQUINE GEESE GOATS HONEYBEES LLAMAS REINDEER SHEEP SWINE TURKEYS

Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Additional Specific Terms and Conditions February 2023

I. Overarching Statement

The following award terms and conditions are applicable to Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities agreements and are in addition to the USDA FPAC General Terms and Conditions. The award recipient must abide by all terms of this grant including, but not limited to, the General Terms and Conditions, the terms in the Funding Opportunity and associated Frequently Asked Questions, and this addendum. The recipient must also deliver on the planned objectives in the project narrative and budget narrative associated with this grant.

II. Eligibility and Highly Erodible Lands and Wetlands Compliance

In order to be eligible for an incentive payment as a part of the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities, a producer must:

- Establish Farm Records with the Farm Service Agency (FSA) (have farm, tract, and field numbers in place);
- Complete an AD-2047 (Customer Data Worksheet to facilitate the collection of customer data for Business Partner Record);
- Certify highly erodible land conservation (HEL) and wetland conservation (WC) compliance via Form AD-1026, Highly Erodible Land Conservation (HELC) and Wetland Conservation (WC) Certification; and
- Certify that they are not a foreign person or entity.

Farm, tract, and field numbers are required for the producer, and ultimately the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities recipient, to report climate-smart practice implementation to USDA, as well as to certify and maintain HELC/WC compliance. This will require that some producers who do not already have these numbers, like perennial crop growers or feedlots, establish these records with USDA's FSA. Farm, tract, field numbers, producer name, and Core Customer I.D. (CCID) will be provided by the recipient to the National Program Officer as a part of routine grant reporting. Recipients must ensure that producers receiving financial assistance or incentives through this project use the same name as is included in the relevant FSA Business File for that Farm ID in any contracts or similar documentation kept by the recipient.

Producers are not bound by the payment limitations and the adjusted gross income (AGI) limitations that are in place for other USDA programs.

In order to demonstrate HELC/WC compliance for Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities incentive payments, producers will need to request a copy of their subsidiary print from their

Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Additional Specific Terms and Conditions Page 1 of 6 February 2023 USDA FSA field office. The Subsidiary Print includes print year specific eligibility related information about a selected producer. The producer will then provide this documentation to the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities recipients as proof of compliance. A current year subsidiary print will be required for each crop year that the producer receives a payment, and HELC/WC eligibility information is provided under the AD-1026 and Conservation Compliance sections of subsidiary (determined by year, which can change at any time during the year or in a subsequent year). As is the case already, field offices will not be expected to provide documentation to anyone besides the producer themselves (and must always comply with Section 1619 limitations if they ever do provide documentation to third parties). Producers must have control of the land for the term of their beneficiary contract.

Recipients are responsible for determining producer eligibility within the funding opportunity requirements. Recipients must inform producers of eligibility requirements and direct them to local USDA offices for requested information as necessary, including but not limited to, farm and tract establishment and Highly Erodible Land and Wetland Compliance determinations. Privacy of producers is a priority throughout this process, and recipients are responsible for maintaining producer privacy in the process.

At minimum, the recipient will collect and review subsidiary reports from participating producers. They will ensure that the producer is listed as "compliant" in all sections of the conservation compliance portion of subsidiary and "certified" for AD-1026 before an incentive payment is made. If payments to a producer span more than one Federal fiscal year, the recipient will review an updated subsidiary print each fiscal year to ensure that the status is still compliant.

III. Other Environmental and Cultural Resources Reviews

A Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) was signed by USDA NRCS on August 26, 2022. A copy of the Programmatic Environmental Assessment for Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities is available at <u>www.usda.gov/climate-smart-commodities</u>. USDA may determine that additional environmental and cultural resources review is needed for any particular action under Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities. The recipient must not execute any beneficiary contracts under this grant agreement prior to receipt of a letter from USDA that specifically details:

- further procedures deemed appropriate by the Agency to ensure a completed National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review and all appropriate consultation requirements are met, and
- 2) additional instructions for any unanticipated discoveries or conditions.

A resolution of support is required for projects on Tribal lands from the governing body of the Tribe with jurisdiction over that land, if the applicant is not the Tribe nor an entity owned or operated by that Tribe. USDA may approve alternative documentation for resolutions when USDA deems necessary and legally sufficient.

IV. Producer Benefits

USDA encourages the recipient to disclose to participating producers the manner and amount for which any market premiums derived from the development of the relevant climate-smart commodity will be shared between participating parties, including producers. USDA will be monitoring producer benefits, in particular those to small and underserved producers, throughout the grant period. Recipients agree that their project(s) will implement a plan for engaging small and underserved producers as laid out in this agreement.

V. Producer Data Protection and Disclosure

Recipients must ensure each producer has convenient access to any data collected from that producer or the producer's land and any associated modeling as part of the project. The recipient must provide each producer applying for benefits under this grant a description in writing of how their information, including but not limited to data about their farm and commodities, will be utilized, protected and shared as applicable.

VI. Other Data and Reporting Requirements

In addition to the reporting information provided in the statement of work and General Terms and Conditions, USDA will provide a template for the Detailed Progress Report, also known as the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities (PSCS) Project Reporting Workbook. Within 30 calendar days of execution of this grant, a copy of this workbook will be posted at <u>www.usda.gov/climate-smart-commodities</u> or an alternative location provided to the recipient by the National Program Officer. USDA may provide updates to the PCSC Project Reporting Workbook or submission methods to streamline the data collection process and/or reduce the burden on the recipient throughout the grant period. Generally, these updates will be provided at least 3 months in advance of any required changes. The recipient must not transfer any data to foreign governments or foreign entities without prior approval from USDA.

USDA will provide a Technical Contact for this grant. The Technical Contact will have the responsibility of technical oversight for USDA for the project. The recipient is responsible for providing the technical assistance required to successfully implement and complete the project. The recipient must comply with any requests for information from the Technical Contact. The Technical Contact for this award is the National Program Officer assigned to this grant.

Prior to execution of this grant, the recipient must provide a shapefile depicting the project boundary for enrollment under this grant. Producer enrollment may not occur outside this boundary without modification of this grant. Within 30 calendar days of execution of this grant, the recipient must provide to the National Program Officer a website address where enrollment information will be posted for producers for the project associated with this grant. Recipients will be responsible for the following reports:

- Submit quarterly performance reports that include a written progress report, as well as additional reporting on specific data elements contained in the most up-to-date version of the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Project Reporting Workbook. Additional information about each reported element is described in the Data Dictionary.
- Submit supplemental reports required to validate greenhouse gas (GHG) benefit data, including: (1) an initial project MMRV plan, (2) field-modeled GHG benefit reports, and (3) field-direct GHG measurement results, as applicable. Additional information about these reports is in included in the Data Dictionary.
- Submit copies of project outputs and deliverables (e.g., fact sheets, reports) as attachments in ezFedGrants along with quarterly performance reports.
- Report the version of COMET-Planner used to estimate GHG benefits of the project within each quarterly performance report. As COMET-Planner is updated, recipients must adopt the latest version of the tool as directed by USDA for use in performance reports.

Recipients must designate an individual as a member of the USDA Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Learning Network (Partnerships Network); this representative should be identified in the Project Narrative for this grant. Each project includes a plan for up to two Partnerships Network virtual meetings and two in-person meetings a year during the project duration. Dates and other details on events will be posted at <u>www.usda.gov/climate-smartcommodities</u> or an alternative location provided to the recipient by the National Program Officer.

The Partnerships Network will be co-chaired by representative from the USDA Office of the Chief Economist and the Farm Production and Conservation Mission Area. The Partnerships Network will inform synthesis reports to be assembled by USDA on a range of topics related to the implementation of Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities projects, including:

- Lessons-learned as projects are implemented;
- Options for providing technical assistance;
- Procedures for measurement/quantification, monitoring, reporting, and verifying GHG benefits;
- Options for tracing climate-smart commodities through the supply chain;
- Mechanisms for reducing costs of implementation;
- A forum for discussion and learning regarding approaches to climate-smart agriculture and forestry implementation (including but not limited to deployment and

measurement/quantification, monitoring, reporting, tracking, and verification of associated greenhouse gas benefits and marketing of climate-smart commodities).

- Synthesis of outcomes; and
- Opportunities for USDA and others to inform future approaches to generating new and expanded markets for climate-smart commodities.

The Partnerships Network topics to be discussed will cover at minimum the areas described in previous FAQs and will evolve with USDA's ongoing project data analysis efforts and with input from the project recipients on the kinds of sessions that will be most helpful to them in building the diverse climate-smart markets associated with their projects. Participation may include at least one interview a year and include questions related to the following areas:

- Technical assistance approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Producer outreach approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Monitoring, measurement, reporting, and verification (MMRV) approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Marketing approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Partnership approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Data collection and storage approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Supply chain approaches, methods and successes and/or challenges, including approaches to traceability
- Supply chain benefits and demand for climate-smart commodities
- Perspectives on program design, climate-smart commodity definitions, and future approaches or opportunities
- Project successes and stories

USDA may also request producer exit reports at a later date. Additional marketing and branding-related requirements may be provided by USDA, including signage related to Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities.

VII. Competition and Anti-Competitive Practices

In connection with this grant, recipients may not prohibit or otherwise limit a producer from changing the provider of other services or materials not included as part of this grant. Recipients may not condition, limit, steer, or discriminate in their provision or sale of non-project business functions or products to producers based on their participation or non-participation in or use of any services provided as part of this grant. Additionally, funds in this agreement shall not be used for purposes or activities related to mergers or acquisitions.

VIII. Suspension and Disbarment

The provisions governing Suspension and Disbarment in subsection 1.a.8 shall also apply to fraud, embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification, or destruction of records, making false statements, or violations of the Federal civil antitrust or unfair trade practice laws.

IX. Special provisions for awards to for-profit entities as recipients

This section contains provisions that apply to awards to for-profit entities. These provisions are in addition to other applicable provisions of these terms and conditions, or they make exceptions from other provisions of the terms and conditions for awards to for-profit entities. For-profit entities that receive awards have two options regarding audits:

- A financial related audit of a particular award in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, in those cases where the for-profit entity receives awards under only one USDA program; or, if awards are received under multiple USDA programs, a financial related audit of all awards in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; or
- 2) An audit that meets the requirements contained in 2 CFR 200 subpart F.

For-profit entities that receive annual awards totaling less than the audit requirement threshold in 2 CFR 200 subpart F are exempt from USDA audit requirements for that year, but records must be available for review by appropriate officials of Federal agencies or the Government Accountability Office.

X. Non-Disparagement

Recipients may not engage in any advertising deemed by USDA as disparaging to another agricultural commodity or competing product, or in violation of the prohibition against false and misleading advertising. Disparagement is defined as anything that depicts other commodities in a negative or unpleasant light via overt or subjective video, photography, or statements. Comparative advertising is allowable, provided the presentation of facts is truthful, objective, not misleading, and supported by a reasonable basis.