



NOTICE OF GRANT AND AGREEMENT AWARD

| | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| 1. Award Identifying Number NR243A750004G002 | 2. Amendment Number | 3. Award /Project Period Date of Final Signature - 09/30/2028 | 4. Type of award instrument: Grant Agreement |
| 5. Agency (Name and Address) USDA Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities c/o FPAC-BC Grants and Agreements Division 1400 Independence Ave SW, Room 3236 Washington, DC 20250 Direct all correspondence to FPAC.BC.GAD@usda.gov | | 6. Recipient Organization (Name and Address) THE AMERICAN FARMLAND TRUST 1150 CONNECTICUT AVENUE NW WASHINGTON DC 20036-2524 UEI Number / DUNS Number: MT1SDM1Y5R33 / 038993390 EIN: | |
| 7. NRCS Program Contact Name: JOHN ANDERSON | 8. NRCS Administrative Contact Name: SUNDII JOHNSON | 9. Recipient Program Contact Name: Beth Sauerhaft | 10. Recipient Administrative Contact Name: Anelkis Royce |
| (b)(6) | | | |
| 11. CFDA 10.937 | 12. Authority 15 USC 714 et seq | 13. Type of Action New Agreement | 14. Program Director Name: BIANCA MOEBIUS-CLUNE <div style="background-color: yellow; width: 100px; height: 20px; margin-top: 5px;">(b)(6)</div> |
| 15. Project Title/ Description: Expands markets for climate-smart beef in AL, GA, MD, MS, OK, PA, TX, VA and supports farmer and rancher implementation and monitoring of climate-smart practices. | | | |
| 16. Entity Type: M = Nonprofit with 501C3 IRS Status (Other than Institution of Higher Education) | | | |
| 17. Select Funding Type | | | |
| Select funding type: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Federal | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-Federal | |
| Original funds total | \$30,000,000.000 | \$12,061,924.08 | |
| Additional funds total | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | |
| Grand total | \$30,000,000.000 | \$12,061,924.08 | |
| 18. Approved Budget | | | |

| | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Personnel | \$4,642,370.94 | Fringe Benefits | \$2,154,060.41 |
| Travel | \$568,850.72 | Equipment | \$0.00 |
| Supplies | \$69,901.77 | Contractual | \$0.00 |
| Construction | \$0.00 | Other | \$22,564,816.16 |
| Total Direct Cost | \$27,690,751.21 | Total Indirect Cost | \$2,309,248.79 |
| | | Total Non-Federal Funds | \$12,061,924.08 |
| | | Total Federal Funds Awarded | \$30,000,000.00 |
| | | Total Approved Budget | \$42,061,924.08 |

This agreement is subject to applicable USDA NRCS statutory provisions and Financial Assistance Regulations. In accepting this award or amendment and any payments made pursuant thereto, the undersigned represents that he or she is duly authorized to act on behalf of the awardee organization, agrees that the award is subject to the applicable provisions of this agreement (and all attachments), and agrees that acceptance of any payments constitutes an agreement by the payee that the amounts, if any, found by NRCS to have been overpaid, will be refunded or credited in full to NRCS.

| | | |
|--|--|------|
| Name and Title of Authorized Government Representative Katina Hanson Acting Senior Advisor for Climate-Smart Commodities | Signature KATINA HANSON Digitally signed by KATINA HANSON Date: 2023.11.02 15:48:28 -05'00' | Date |
| Name and Title of Authorized Recipient Representative John Piotti President & Chief Executive Officer | Signature John Piotti Digitally signed by John Piotti Date: 2023.11.02 10:03:16 -04'00' | Date |

NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT

The above statements are made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. Section 522a).

Statement of Work

Purpose

The purpose of this agreement, between the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) and American Farmland Trust, is to build markets for climate-smart commodities and invest in America's climate-smart producers to strengthen U.S. rural and agricultural communities.

Objectives

The objectives of this project are to support the production and marketing of climate-smart commodities by providing voluntary incentives to producers and landowners, including early adopters, to implement climate-smart agricultural production practices, activities, and systems on working lands; measure/quantify, monitor and verify the carbon and greenhouse gas (GHG) benefits associated with those practices; and develop markets and promote the resulting climate-smart commodities.

Budget Narrative

The official budget summarized below and described in the attached Budget Narrative will be considered the total budget as last approved by the Federal awarding agency for this award.

Amounts included in this budget narrative are estimates. Reimbursement or advance liquidations will be based on actual expenditures, not to exceed the amount obligated.

TOTAL BUDGET \$42,061,924.08

TOTAL FEDERAL FUNDS \$30,000,000

PERSONNEL \$3,728,812

FRINGE BENEFITS \$1,730,169

TRAVEL \$456,908

EQUIPMENT \$0

SUPPLIES \$56,146

CONTRACTUAL \$0

CONSTRUCTION (usually n/a) \$0

OTHER \$21,718,716 (includes PRODUCER INCENTIVES \$2,755,750)

TOTAL DIRECT COSTS \$27,690,751

INDIRECT COSTS \$2,309,249

TOTAL NON-FEDERAL FUNDS \$12,061,924.08

PERSONNEL \$603,281.98

FRINGE BENEFITS \$279,922.84

TRAVEL \$0

EQUIPMENT \$0

SUPPLIES \$0

CONTRACTUAL \$0

CONSTRUCTION (usually n/a) \$0

OTHER \$10,579,900

TOTAL DIRECT COSTS \$11,463,105.10

INDIRECT COSTS \$598,818.98

Recipient has an approved Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA) with a rate of 24.5 percent and a base of \$9,425,505.

Responsibilities of the Parties:

If inconsistencies arise between the language in this Statement of Work (SOW) and the General Terms and Conditions attached to the agreement, the language in this SOW takes precedence.

RECIPIENT RESPONSIBILITIES

Perform the work and produce the deliverables as outlined in this Statement of Work and attachments.

Ensure Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) clearance is obtained prior to conducting data collection from producers or other project participants, including data collection performed by subrecipients.

Comply with the applicable version of the General Terms and Conditions.

Submit reports and payment requests to the ezFedGrants system as outlined in the applicable version of the General Terms and Conditions. Reporting frequency is as follows:

Performance Reports: Quarterly

SF425 Financial Reports: Quarterly

Detailed Progress Report: Quarterly

(The detailed progress report is in addition to the performance and financial reports referenced above and described in the general terms and conditions)

Expected Accomplishments and Deliverables

See attached Benchmarks Table and associated Project Narrative.

Resources Required

See the Responsibilities of the Parties section for required resources, if applicable.

Milestones

See attached Benchmarks Table and associated Project Narrative.

GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Please reference the below link(s) for the General Terms and Conditions pertaining to this award:
<https://www.fpacbc.usda.gov/about/grants-and-agreements/award-terms-and-conditions/index.html>

Link to GT&C

Attachments:

Budget Narrative

Project Narrative

Benchmarks Table

Climate-Smart Practices List and Limitations

Data Dictionary

Climate-Smart Specific Terms and Conditions

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

Withheld pursuant to exemption

(b)(4)

of the Freedom of Information and Privacy Act

farmland at the regional and national scale. AFT has expertise in advanced soil health and farmland protection training, grassroots farmer mentor and peer network facilitation, economic analysis, project evaluation, and impact assessment. In 2019, AFT launched the successful Sustainable Grazing Project in Virginia to increase the number of farms using sustainable grazing practices and improve the viability of that region's local farm and food economy. Its network of livestock producers serves as mentors and advisors to other producers in the region.

The Integrity Beef Alliance (IB), a 501(c)5, combines cutting edge technology, regenerative ranching principles, and robust market drivers to deliver climate-smart beef to consumers. "IB Legacy" is the first producer-developed program in the nation recognized by the US Roundtable for Sustainable Beef. Because IB Legacy instills traceability throughout the entire conventional beef supply chain, consumers can make informed CS choices at their local grocery stores or farmers markets. This approach incentivizes regenerative production for producers of all scales within the nation's beef supply chain.

Indigo Ag (Indigo) improves farmer profitability and environmental sustainability through efficient and credible digital technologies for GHG outcomes. Indigo is a leading expert in measurement/quantification, monitoring, reporting, and verification (MMRV) platforms for delivering registry-verified carbon credits.

Freedmen Heirs Foundation (FHF) is dedicated to promoting and enhancing the prominence, profitability, and sustainability of Black farmers across all sectors and at every level. FHF is organized to support farmers through land acquisition, market access, and supplier diversity initiatives in the Mid-Atlantic and Southeast regions.

Earth Optics is an innovative soil sampling and mapping company that employs cutting-edge sensors and machine learning to efficiently generate high-accuracy soil carbon maps.

AgriWebb is the leading global livestock business management software, working to transform ranching operations and grazed livestock supply chains through software that is offline-capable and focused on advancing producer profitability and sustainability. The holistic digital platform enables data-driven insights and reporting to facilitate verification of practices and economic viability.

Regenified works with diversified livestock operations in addition to row crop and specialty crops producers, alongside food manufacturers and retailers to promote regenerative farming practices and the Regenified verified label.

Additional Partners: OpenTEAM, U.S. Biochar Initiative, and a long list of regional and community-based partners focusing on underserved producers, listed below.

C. List of underserved/minority-focused project partners

In addition to core project partners AFT, FHF and IB, the following partners will provide focused outreach, engagement, and TA to underserved and minority producers: Virginia Forage and Grasslands Council, Maryland Grazers Network, Pennsylvania Grazing Lands Coalition, Mountains to Bay Grazing Alliance, Black Family Land Trust, Minority & Veteran Farmers of the Piedmont, and Farmer Veteran Coalition, among others.

D. Compelling need for project

This project team boldly asserts that with an industry-wide transition to verified CS regenerative grazing systems, US beef production can become climate neutral or better by sequestering carbon and reducing GHG emissions. Our project uses an intensive data-driven approach to MMRV, combined with a grassroots early adopter-led process to successfully

transition beef producers to CS practices. The project will directly address the confusion, due to lack of verified information, on whether cattle and grazing can help solve the climate challenge and whether consumers can confidently buy CS beef. If successful, the fundamental transition of the US beef supply to carbon neutral will reduce US driven pressures on tropical rainforests and domestic pressures on grassland conversion to cropland¹. At the same time, it will significantly increase carbon sequestration, grassland biodiversity, productivity, and farm viability and decrease currently significant GHG emissions from livestock production^{2,3} on the nation's 528 million⁴ acres of private grazing and pasture lands.

Beef can be raised using carefully adapted soil-, climate-, topography-, and local context-adjusted CS practices to become climate neutral or better. It has been estimated that US grazing lands, including managed pasturelands, have the potential to remove an additional 198 million tons of CO₂ from the atmosphere per year for 30 years⁵. For soil carbon sequestration, rotational grazing, in particular intensive rotational grazing or adaptive multi-paddock (AMP) grazing, is particularly effective^{6,7,8,9,10,11}. Many additional practices can be additive or synergistic. Targeted management of soil conditions, plant cover, and legume growth can reduce nitrous oxide emissions from pastures^{12,13,14,15}, and improved feeding approaches can decrease methane emissions^{16,17}. Adding soil carbon amendments can sequester carbon and decrease emissions^{18,19,20,21}. While commercial availability of biochar is still limited, the substantial climate benefits of biochar amendments from appropriately sourced feedstocks are estimated at about 0.4-1.2T CO₂e per tonne of dry feedstock²². At application rates of 1-10 T/ac, that would equate to about 0.4-12T CO₂e/ac of highly stable carbon.

Grazing management impacts on C sequestration vary between 0 to 4.9 T CO₂e/ac/yr for easily scaled practices with sequestration rates in successful systems remaining high for about 20-100 years when soil sequestration capacities are reached²³. Notably, the impact in any given area can vary considerably based on the specific practices, appropriate layering of multiple practices as synergistic systems, level of context-specific adaptation of the system used, and inherent soil and climate factors. For example, G Bar C Ranch in North Central Texas has been using numerous regenerative practices on 3,000 acres for the past 40 years. Analysis by the Ecosystem Service Markets Consortium showed that the operation's continued use of regenerative ranching principles still sequesters an average net carbon benefit of 0.86T CO₂e/ac/year.

Misinformation arises from incomplete analyses comparing feedlots with pasture-raised beef without accounting for C sequestration in pasture-raised systems. A Midwestern study revealed that net GHG emissions of 5.2 lb CO₂e/lb live weight for cows finished in feedlot systems were reduced to a net sequestration rate of 3.0 lb CO₂e/lb in pasture-raised systems²⁴. Suffice it to say, stronger and more complete data and whole system impacts for tracking verified outcomes are needed to help producers, their advisors, and consumers make the best possible decisions on how to more consistently raise or purchase CS beef based on the complete lifecycle of various beef production systems, which include grazing lands.

In summary, turning US beef production into a tool to solve the climate challenge requires developing and implementing a holistic approach that combines the following:

1. Networks of peers on the transition journey and trusted advisors with the understanding of producers' context as well as the technical knowledge to catalyze the transition, especially for diverse underserved communities, including black, veteran, women, beginning, and small-scale farmers.

2. A scientifically backed rigorous-but-economical system to consistently quantify and verify impacts to document net GHG outcomes from CS grazing practices.
3. A growing market—local, regional, and national, and available to the full diversity of producers—for CS beef in which consumers make informed choices. The goal of this project is to re-define the existing beef supply chain, creating market-based incentives for CS practices and shifting the entire industry into one that becomes a verified climate solution. To fully transform how we raise beef in the US, we need to engage large producers as well as the numerous small scale and underserved farmers.

The potential benefits of CS practices can be greatly enhanced when land managed with these practices is permanently protected with an agricultural conservation easement. According to a Nicholas Institute for Environmental Policy Solutions Report,²⁵ “Farmland preservation, more than any other management activity, will likely have the single greatest impact in stabilizing and reducing future emissions.” At emission rates for developed land of 50-60 CO₂e/ac/y^{26,27}, permanently protecting land with CS practices with an agricultural easement, combined with efforts to lead communities toward smarter more compact growth, will prevent development emissions on that land and ensure that USDA’s investment in CS practices can provide benefits in perpetuity. Moreover, a well-structured agricultural easement is a proven means of making land more affordable for beginning, low-resource, and other underserved farmers who frequently struggle to maintain economic viability. For all these reasons, while we have cut most of the land protection activities from this project due to the lower budget cap, through this project we will nevertheless strive to provide AFT resources and land protection training to farmer mentors and participating farmers interested in permanently protecting their land with easements.

E. Approach to minimize transaction costs associated with project activities

The project will minimize MMRV costs by reducing labor-intensive sampling and laboratory analysis through sensing technology, modeling, and easy app-based recording of management information. EarthOptics will map bulk density and soil organic C (SOC) at fine spatial scales using proven, easily adoptable, vehicle-mounted sensing technologies. AgriWebb’s secure, cloud-based app will enable producers to track their management of grazing activities cost-effectively after initial baseline practices are captured. Indigo will use its extensive technology and scientific programs, integrated with AgriWebb’s data, to track grazing management practice changes and use remote-sensing models to verify management. Indigo will also provide automated integration of management and soil data layers, remote sensing data, and modeling, to reduce the need for direct grower input, while nevertheless performing rigorous GHG impact reporting. The project team will prepare and submit documentation to an independent third party for validation of grazing models for GHG outcomes (in-kind support from IndigoAg), which will also serve to drive the continued cost of EarthOptics required sampling to decrease to \$4/acre.

IB’s market concept allows for many selling approaches, buyers, and market avenues for producers regardless of their size and location. The key is in data tracking to ensure CS claims follow specific animals through AgriWebb’s innovative traceability platform. By keeping the market diversified, stable competition will prohibit a narrow set of buyers from driving the price down, harming producers’ profitability and limiting new and historically underserved producers from entering the CS beef industry. IB producer enrollment costs past the initial phase of the

project are designed to remain well below the market premium, which is estimated to grow to between \$100-\$300 per head post project end.

F. Approach to reduce producer barriers to implementing CS practices

This project addresses three critical barriers that limit the widespread adoption of CS practices: 1) lack of a producer-led, CS beef production verification protocols adapted for any beef producer; 2) lack of trusted advisors and support networks that deliver technical assistance (TA) and financial assistance (FA) using a grassroots approach; and 3) lack of CS markets at scale and access to those markets for small-scale and underserved producers.

Lack of adaptive producer-led protocol: Too often producers are handed rigid protocols or production requirements by program administrators who lack personal engagement in farming or ranching. Such requirements may not work for all producers, align with collected data, or have relevance to where, what, and how they produce beef. A protocol designed without inherent flexibility or site context, or by someone who has little to no direct agricultural experience, is unlikely to be adopted by producers. IB and Regenified programs are 100% producer-led and developed, principle based, and flexible. Producers are more likely to adopt this sort of approach.

Lack of trusted advisors and support network: Project partners and numerous studies²⁸ have demonstrated that producers, especially small-scale and underserved producers, often lack information and recommendations from trusted sources. Research shows the five-year survival rate for small and mid-sized operations jumps from less than 50% to more than 70% if mentors are involved²⁹. This project will engage early practice adopter producers in the Regenerative Grazing Mentors Network. The project will leverage existing grazing mentorship programs providing new, comprehensive training. Through in-depth training, mentors will be better equipped to successfully support their mentees and lead workshops and networking groups that cover soil health, adaptive grazing, communication, family dynamics, record keeping and marketing. A subset of these mentors will also be certified as NRCS technical service providers (TSPs) for Soil Health, Grazing, and Nutrient Management Planning and associated practices, to help reduce some of the bottlenecks beef producers currently face when trying to adopt CS practices. AFT and partners will build the capacity of a diverse group of experienced producer mentors to ensure that underserved producer mentees will be more comfortable participating and will be more likely to implement CS practices.

Lack of CS markets at scale and lack of access to those markets for underserved producers: While growing, the market for CS beef is relatively small in comparison to conventionally produced beef products. In addition, many small-scale and underserved producers do not have effective, efficient access to these markets. This project is designed to use existing supply chain infrastructure combined with accurate complete life cycle carbon quantification and verification methods to dramatically expand the market for CS and carbon neutral beef. The project also provides a mechanism for producers of varied sizes, including underserved producers, to join forces to access markets and get a market premium for their beef.

G. Geographic Focus

The project will focus on piloting regenerative transitions in key states that: 1) lead the nation in beef production (Texas and Oklahoma); 2) represent significant numbers of small to midscale producers, with direct marketing opportunities (Virginia, Maryland, and Pennsylvania); and 3) have among the highest numbers of Black producers (Georgia, Alabama, and

Mississippi). Success in these states, coupled with careful documentation and aggressive sharing of lessons learned, roadmaps to scaling, and innovations, can and will be replicated in other places across the nation.

H. Project management capacity of partners

American Farmland Trust has over 42 years of experience working with producers and landowners and promoting CS practice. AFT has a strong record of performance on complex, multi-year projects involving multiple partners; a demonstrated history of complying with federal requirements; and a proven ability to implement multifaceted conservation projects at both the regional and national scale. AFT is currently managing well over 20 grants and agreements with NRCS, totaling over \$44 million.

The Integrity Beef Alliance, established in 2000 by the Noble Research Institute, has become an award winning, nationally recognized leader in progressive management methods, ranch stewardship and humane care of all livestock. IB has helped hundreds of ranchers improve the health of their land and livestock and improve each rancher's profitability.

Indigo Ag has enrolled over 3.6 million acres in its carbon program to date and already has paid 267 farmers for implementing CS practices and submitting the data necessary to meet the registry carbon credit verification process.

AgriWebb's unique digital platform currently serves 15,000 users grazing 19 million livestock on 133 million acres and is the leading livestock business management software globally.

Freedmen Heirs Foundation is an impressive new organization laser-focused on supporting Black farmers. Its staff have a combined 40 years of professional agriculture/agribusiness experience in public, private, government and environmental sectors.

I. Evaluation approach for project

AFT will develop and implement a comprehensive approach to better understand the project's effectiveness in driving CS production among producers. The evaluation will be both summative and formative, and it will incorporate a variety of qualitative and quantitative methods. This work will be guided by a Project Advisory Committee comprised primarily of (1) researchers with expertise in intensive rotational grazing, beef production, and livestock GHG impacts, (2) diverse, including underserved, beef producers, and (3) industry representatives. Our evaluation work will help improve programming while also offering insights to USDA and others on how to better track the efficacy of outreach, impact of TA, and adoption of climate mitigation strategies. Key project goals will be evaluated for their effectiveness through focus groups, interviews, and surveys, and the findings will be published in a Roadmap of Lessons Learned, empowering others to better understand how to drive adoption of CS practices and expand CS markets.

AFT will also provide annual reports on project progress using metrics like producers served, acres improved or protected, practices adopted, outreach conducted, TA delivered, FA offered, soil samples collected, MMRV activities recorded, marketing efforts launched, economic impacts generated, supply chain impacts made, and GHG emissions reduced.

II. PLAN TO PILOT CLIMATE-SMART AGRICULTURE ON A LARGE SCALE

A. A description of CS practices to be deployed

Our project leverages the nationally applicable NRCS Soil Health Management Systems (SHMS) principles as adapted to grazing systems, including minimizing soil disturbance and maximizing soil cover, presence of living roots, and biodiversity (plants and appropriate livestock grazing integration). Synergistic management systems will be developed, combining CS practices to fully address resource concerns and SHMS principles, to include practices described below.

Prescribed Grazing (528) is the core practice to transition to intensive rotational grazing. Outcomes will include an extended grazing season and improved pasture with maximized plant growth, species diversity and feed quality. **Soil Testing (216)** of approved laboratory analysis of soil physical, biological, or chemical characteristics will be used to address identified resource concerns. **Nutrient Management (590)** improves manure and fertilizer management to minimize nitrous oxide emissions. **Cover Crops (340)** on cropland of diversified operations will provide supplemental grazing, promote longer pasture rest periods, build soils more rapidly, and increase long-term profitability of year-round live soil cover on annually cropped land. **Silvopasture Establishment (381)** will provide forage, shade and or shelter for grazing livestock while enhancing C sequestration, biodiversity, and landscape resilience through trees. It may provide additional revenue opportunities or biochar production options for producers. **Forage and Biomass Planting (512) or Range Planting (550) combined with Residue and Tillage Management, No till (329) or Reduced Till (345)** can help establish pastures where appropriate to convert cropland to grazing land or improve existing pastures. **Feed Management (592)** will reduce enteric methane emissions. **Filter Strip (393), Hedgerow Planting (422), Riparian Forest Buffer (391) or Riparian Herbaceous Cover (390), Riparian Restoration (601)** can sequester C and bring water quality co-benefits. **Soil Carbon Amendment (336)** Biochar and/or other carbon amendments will be applied to soils and/or added to manure on select farms to sequester carbon, improve soil health and reduce nitrous oxide and methane emissions. Applications will leverage and be informed by the national biochar recommendation tool ([Biochar Atlas](#)) AFT is collaborating on, already funded by NRCS. **Protection** of threatened farmland through easements or other tools, may prevent additional GHG emissions associated with land conversion and development, and ensure USDA's investment in CS practices can provide benefits in perpetuity.

B. Plan to recruit producers and landowners, including estimated scale of the project

To fully transform how producers raise beef in the US, both large producers supplying the nation's largest meat distributors as well as the numerous small and underserved farmers selling into fragmented, smaller, more local and direct-to-consumer markets, need to be engaged. This project engages both groups. Partners will recruit and engage over 700 beef producers, over 630 of whom will be underserved producers, on about 520,000 acres. They will be producing over 60,000 head and growing by year 5 through regenerative, carbon neutral approaches through the various program pathways. In addition, we expect to provide outreach or TA to at least 2,000 additional producers through annual workshops in both regions.

The recruitment strategy involves (1) utilizing the successful IB producer outreach program to reward early adopters and incentivize conventional producers, (2) working with existing organizations to train an effective Regenerative Grazing Mentors Network of trusted

producers to provide the TA, (3) providing incentives, both financial and technical, to draw producers into participating, and (4) communicating all of this aggressively to expand impact.

IB and Regenified represent new premium markets for CS practice-verified regenerative beef. The initial priority is to expand membership with existing regenerative cattle producers to scale availability and demand for climate-smart beef, which will create a market draw for new adopters. By the end of the project, this new, expanded market will be built and represent a sustained market draw for a CS commodity. IB and Regenified will market and advertise aggressively to build their membership and their brands. Within the current US beef industry's supply chain structure, where the average herd size is only 43.5 cows per operation³⁰, it is challenging for a single producer to demand a market premium. However, when small-scale operations (defined either as those with gross income below \$250,000³¹ or those of less than 100 head, which constitutes 90% of all farms with beef cows³²) join forces, they can meet the volume necessary to bring carbon neutral beef to both mid-size packers and major retail outlets across the nation, influencing consumer awareness in addition to supply chain carbon goals. A similar transition was achieved by IB's original program, which gained industry wide success for producers through marketing cattle from the program's producers of various sizes as a group on a specific sale day that buyers were made aware of in advance. IB's strategy is to quickly gain 'critical mass' of at least 10,000 head of carbon neutral beef, to drive market forces and make a major footprint within the existing beef supply chain. The project estimates this goal will be achieved well before the end of the funding period.

AFT and its partners will use their extensive networks and communications expertise to recruit and train qualified mentors and producers who represent a diversity of races and genders, experiences, and geographies. This focus on diversity and inclusion is particularly important because we have heard from partner mentor programs that representation matters deeply. When producers can't see themselves and their experiences reflected in their mentors, they feel less supported and their opportunities for lasting success decrease. The project will also prioritize producers with the greatest economic need who fall within the Farm Service Agency's annual gross income requirement for economically distressed producers.

Project partners will coordinate communications efforts through their diverse and mature outreach channels, including those that specifically prioritize outreach to underserved producers. Approaches will include interlinked websites, media engagement, widely publicizing opportunities for involvement, webinars, and presentations at conferences. The project will include online and in-person grower outreach and marketing campaigns drawing on partners' existing networks, email and digital media to recruit producers to enroll for available financial and technical transition assistance, and marketing premium and carbon payment opportunities.

C. Plan to provide technical assistance, outreach, and training

"I think farmers have always, since the beginning of time, been helpers of each other. If somebody's barn burns down, we gather, or if someone's sick, we do their crops for them." – Virginia producer, talking about the importance of farmer networks (AFT Listening Session, 2022).

This innovative project will create a Regenerative Grazing Mentors Network of highly trained producers who will provide most of the TA as trusted advisors within their communities. Supported by a coordinated project team led by AFT, the mentors will provide consistent direct assistance to help transitioning producers to:

- Map grazing fields and walk fields to recognize visible soil health problems;

- Adopt efficient record keeping systems to verify practices for verification programs;
- Coordinate soil carbon mapping with EarthOptics for this project's MMRV;
- Collect soil nutrient and soil health samples;
- Review soil test results with producers in collaboration with AFT and state soil experts;
- Develop customized Grazing Management Plans;
- Develop Nutrient and Soil Health Management Plans, where applicable;
- Create CS marketing plans and strategies (e.g., IB, Regenified, other);
- Assist in seeking funding for practices;
- Share high-level information on the benefits of farmland protection;
- Coordinate with AFT as it supports and advises producers who may have an interest in protecting their properties with an easement.

AFT and partners will recruit mentors who represent a diverse population (Black, indigenous, people of color, small scale, women, and veterans) to ensure that producers have access to mentors who share similar experiences. Some mentors will have skills marketing conventionally or direct marketing. They will visit producers on their land to discuss context-based management, so will be strategically selected to provide wide coverage.

The Mid-Atlantic already has a network of existing mentoring programs connecting producers with mentors and educational resources to facilitate CS practice adoption. The team will leverage, adapt, and scale the already successful Mid-Atlantic mentorship program approaches for Southeastern underserved producers where the mentoring programs are nascent and need capacity building.

The project-wide *Regenerative Grazing Mentors Network* will meet monthly to share experience, challenges, lessons learned, gaps, and needs across the project, so that training can be adjusted and gaps filled interactively. Mentors will receive substantive training on a variety of critical topics to be provided by AFT's interdisciplinary experts and diverse partners. The project will address the critical shortage of TSPs in the Mid-Atlantic and Southeastern states. For example, VA has one Grazing Management Planner (GMP) certified, while MD and PA have no GMP certified TSPs. Our goal is to have 6-12 new certified GMP TSPs (1-2 in each state) by the conclusion of this project. Planned training includes:

- ***NRCS TSP Certification for Grazing Management Planning*** (Yrs 1-3 of onboarding with project) Mentors who become a TSP will be paid \$500 for that certification.
- ***Soil Health and Nutrient Management Planner Certifications*** (Yrs 1-3) This training is optional, however, those who become certified will be paid \$1500.
- ***Regenerative Grazing*** (Yr 1) Training may be based on Soil Health Academy and Savory Institute's online Foundations of Holistic Management.
- ***Soil Health Testing*** (Yr 1) Online training on soil health sampling and interpretation for management decision purposes.
- ***Record Keeping*** (Yr 1) Training on apps for management and MMRV protocols.
- ***Legacy Beef and Regenified Verification*** (Yr 1) Verification programs and protocols to qualify beef as CS.
- ***Marketing Climate Smart Products*** (Yrs 1 and 2) Opportunities for producers in CS marketing options and development of successful marketing strategies.
- ***Communication Training*** (Yrs 1 and 2) public speaking and beyond, including curriculum materials from AFT's Farms for a New Generation Land Access Training.
- ***Farmland Protection*** (Yrs 1 and 2) benefits of protecting farmland and available resources.

- ***Training to Address Gaps and New Opportunities*** (Years 1-5) needs assessed through surveys, AFT will develop or source additional training, such as on newest resources in CS grazing approaches sourced through bridging across other grazing research and implementation efforts, biochar implementation opportunities, life cycle analysis tools, etc., including coordination with other funded Climate Smart Partnership Projects.

In addition to TA that mentors provide, AFT will provide a range of virtual and in-person outreach and training and TA (depending on farm type and location), including soil health concepts and CS grazing systems adoption approaches.

AFT will also help interested landowners and local land trusts explore options for farmland protection, as feasible with the reduced, now very small, farmland protection budget. Farmland protection directly reinforces CS practices. In particular, we will raise awareness of farmland protection options among underserved farmers, both as a tool to prevent farmland loss and as a means to enhance land access and tenure, and ability to invest in and maintain CS practices.

More specifically, we will provide training on land protection to mentors – both the tools for it and the multiple co-benefits of it – and they will be able to share this information with the farmers they mentor – with a special focus on the economic benefits of protection for small-scale and underserved producers. In addition, AFT will also help interested landowners and local land trusts explore options for farmland protection that directly reinforce CS practices.

This will prime a significant cohort of landowners with basic awareness and knowledge of land protection and programs that can help fund it so that for up to three projects, AFT can fund assessments to determine if permanent protection is the right strategy for the landowner, or other support services that would help move land protection forward. In addition, future AFT projects with a focus on land protection will have a natural leverage point and cohort for continuing land protection conversations – the land protection “pump will have been primed.”

(NOTE: Funding for farmland protection staff and support were almost completely removed from the project due to the large cut in total project budget. Thus the previously expected match of at least \$13.8 million in non-federal funds for easements had to be removed from the project as well. However, we anticipate that leveraging this project for AFT farmland protection activities will allow us to nevertheless meet some of these land protection support service needs.)

D. Plan to provide financial assistance for producers to implement CS practices

This project will incentivize cattle producers by covering all program entry costs and with an anticipated premium (up to \$300/head). The project will provide three kinds of FA:

1) *Early adopters who meet IB Legacy’s criteria:* If a rancher has been using regenerative practices for years, fulfills the criteria for the IB Legacy program, and passes an on-site audit, they will receive annual market premiums for their beef (~\$50/head initially, up to \$300/head by the time carbon neutrality claims are verified). As part of this project, Indigo will measure, report, and verify the carbon footprint of field operations (i.e., grazing management). Field footprints will be used in life cycle analyses (LCA) to verify the operation is net carbon neutral, qualifying the producer to sell through our program once it has a verified carbon neutral claim.

2) *Early adopters who sign up to become mentors:* Mentors will be compensated for the in-depth training they receive, and provided an annual stipend for their role as mentor.

3) *New producers who adopt regenerative practices:* Producers will benefit from TA, including assistance in how to apply for NRCS practice payments at the state level (which will contribute to successful IRA funding obligations in these states). New producers will also receive

a \$250/year stipend for submitting operational records relevant to CS verification, as well as stipends for selected underserved producers to shadow mentors/innovators/successful producers. The project will also make available \$100,000/state/year for micro-grants to spur innovative projects that incorporate practices not being funded through federal or state cost share. Additional leverageable financial benefits for new adopters are anticipated toward the project's end via Indigo's carbon program, once data are sufficient to validate a quantification method meeting the requirements of the Climate Action Reserve's (CAR) Soil Enrichment Protocol. Payments are expected to be \$5-20 per acre (not part of the project budget).

To avoid double counting, newly adopting producers may choose between receiving carbon payments for a 10-year period or receiving the IB premiums once they meet all requirements for that program. Those producers who enter a registered carbon program and have passed the 10-year period may be eligible to receive the IB premium since their carbon credit earning impacts will have entered a permanence monitoring period and no longer be accruing new payments.

D. Plan to enroll underserved and small-scale producers

The project will engage diverse underserved producers, including black, veteran, women, and beginning beef producers and, given demographics of beef operations³³, 90% or more of participating operations are anticipated to fall into the small-scale category. Engagement will be through the IB program and AFT-led extensive mentoring efforts, which includes the FHF leading enrollment of Black farmers in Georgia, Alabama, and Mississippi. Because of the numerous barriers they face, many underserved producers find selling into new markets difficult. IB offers access to markets that are not usually available to smaller and underserved producers by coordinating the production and sale of their value-added cattle and creating the critical mass necessary to sell to larger-volume buyers.

IB members are anticipated to be about 90% small-scale producers during the project that will cover all enrollment fees. IB is allocating up to 50% of its net income to small, limited resource and other underserved producers to cover enrollment fees going forward beginning post project, in year 6. During the project, all membership expenses are covered, and microgrants will be available to underserved producers. The total funding to be allocated to underserved producers by IB in years 6-8 is projected to be over \$2.5M. This funding should enable free memberships for underserved producers producing over 71,000 head of cattle over 3 years post project. This production and associated profits will increase over time.

For the mentorship program, AFT will work closely with the FHF, Black Family Land Trust, Minority & Veteran Farmers of the Piedmont, and Farmer Veteran Coalition to recruit underserved and small-scale producers. AFT will also recruit from its extensive network of producers who have received TA through our Women for the Land Conservation Learning Circles, an initiative that reduces barriers women farmers face in accessing assistance programs offered by the USDA. Of ~\$24.5M total (~82% of total budget) going directly to over 700 producers in the form of TA and FA, we expect to recruit over 630 small-scale and underserved producers with ~ \$22.1M in TA and FA going directly to serve these underserved producers. Of 54 total mentors, we anticipate recruiting >48 small-scale and underserved mentors with ~ \$36,311 going directly to each mentor in the form of TA and FA, for a total of \$1,960,767

III. MEASUREMENT/QUANTIFICATION, MONITORING, REPORTING AND VERIFICATION PLAN

A. Approach to greenhouse gas benefit quantification

The team's measurement, monitoring, reporting, and verification (MMRV) tasks are enabled by Indigo's quantification platform, designed to support the generation of high-quality agricultural carbon credits certified under the Climate Action Reserve's (CAR) Soil Enrichment Protocol Version 1.0, 2020³⁴. The quantification platform is founded on four pillars: soil measurement, producer data collection, biogeochemical modeling, and complete field-based GHG accounting. The platform will be provided as a service to estimate in-field GHG emissions, and support CS market development through advancements in grazing GHG quantification. Quantification of SOC stock change will be primarily based on repeated measurements of SOC using high-density soil measurements on participating fields. EarthOptics uses an innovative, cost-effective approach ("C-Mapper") that combines soil measurements from vehicle- and satellite- remote sensing, ground-penetrating radar and electromagnetic induction, and machine learning to map SOC and bulk density across fields to assess stock change. Soil mapping will be performed on all fields at the time of enrollment and again three years later in year 4 depending on enrollment date. SOC and bulk density maps generated by EarthOptics will be used as inputs to a GHG impact assessment.

The quantification platform estimates GHG emissions reductions from new practice adoption, based on comparison with the emissions from the continuation of a baseline of field-based historical practices. In addition to integrating the soil measurements from EarthOptics to support SOC stock change estimates, the platform will estimate SOC stocks and annual direct emissions of N₂O and CH₄ in grazing systems. The quantification services provided will include estimations of inventories to account for early-adopters who do not have a practice change (i.e., "additionality") but may be providing a low-carbon product. To provide a metric for what is "low-carbon", the project will establish regional baselines representing "business as usual" regimes, which will be modeled against project participants inventories to obtain an annual GHG emissions difference and will serve as the basis of the impact assessment provided in the annual reporting.

The platform uses DayCent-CR, a newer version of the DayCent biogeochemical model that underlies the COMET family of models^{35,36}. DayCent-CR meets model validation requirements of the Soil Enrichment Protocol³⁷ and has been approved for use in carbon crediting projects focused on adoption of cover cropping, tillage, and nutrient management practices in croplands. Indigo and AFT will compile data from this project together with work done separately, and in the literature, and document performance of DayCent-CR in a validation report to submit to the Climate Action Reserve as part of the leveraged in-kind work. As soon as DayCent-CR is approved for use in grazing systems by CAR, grazers meeting the protocol's requirements will be eligible to earn carbon credit offsets. Until then, multi-year simulations of DayCent-CR will provide continuous estimation of SOC stocks changes, to provide GHG impact estimates in years lacking direct measurement. Trace gases, including N₂O and CH₄, will be calculated annually using approaches found in standard protocols that include grazing, including the CAR Grassland Protocol³⁸ and Verra's methodology for grasslands³⁹.

B. Approach to monitoring of practice implementation

Monitoring practice implementation will include in-field verification, quality control of management data collected via AgriWebb, and remote sensing. Data collected by producers in AgriWebb's app, relevant to grazing pressure will include paddock land use type, grazable acres, animal unit days/acre, days of pasture grazing or rest, days since a pasture was grazed; spray, treatment or fertilization of pastures; harvest records for feed crops; planting and grazing of cover crops; and tillage and cultivation activities. For quality control, AgriWebb uses an event sourced database with full transparency and analytics on all changes to historic records; data completion can be assured using required record fields. Management data will be integrated into Indigo's quantification platform to capture grazing practices relevant to GHG emissions, complementing and enhancing the field information and management data collected annually from producers through Indigo's web-based user interface. On-site audits of practice implementation are conducted through IB's, Regenified's and other verification programs.

All data received by the quantification platform will undergo quality control using Indigo's remote sensing technologies, standardized datasets such as USDA NASS and ARMS, literature, and subject matter expertise from Indigo agronomists as part of Indigo's services. Gap-filling and/or data extrapolation of missing or problematic data will be performed as appropriate, per requirements of the Soil Enrichment Protocol to ensure complete, reliable, and conservative data as part of Indigo's services. Once eligible for crediting, continuous future monitoring will be performed using remote sensing methods to ensure long-term permanence of the climate benefits. Simulated baselines will be compared to the actual CS practices, either long-standing management regimes for early adopters, or new regimes for new adopters. Both GHG estimates and credits will be based on net differences derived from these comparisons, including changes in SOC, N₂O, and CH₄.

Producers own their data, so this project will strive to collaborate with other Climate Smart Commodity Partnerships on achieving user data sovereignty, consent, and data portability by the producer. All data sharing will be with consent of the producer and appropriate authorization mechanisms for the project will be built into the AgriWebb platform. Project activities will leverage OpenTEAM's and other partners' work to move toward standardized data formats and data interoperability with USDA-NRCS data structures. Publicly shared data will be restricted to aggregate values or otherwise anonymized.

C. Approach to reporting and tracking of greenhouse gas benefits

The Indigo platform will generate annual reports of GHG impacts on all participating fields. Estimates of direct, field-based GHG impacts will integrate soil measurements, grazing management data, biogeochemical modeling with DayCent-CR, and calculations of trace gas emissions. In addition to field-level impact estimates, other reported metrics will include the GHG benefits achieved per farm, per head of beef cattle produced, per dollar expended, and the anticipated longevity of the GHG benefits. Post-project, once operations generate verified C credits, Indigo will monitor reversals and ensure 100-year permanence per CAR. Aggregated data within the MMRV platform, along with the GHG impact estimates of additional and non-additional fields, will support ongoing supply-chain LCA calculations for producers using CS practices.

D. Approach to verification of greenhouse gas benefits

Verification by an independent third party will be conducted to both confirm the use of CS practices on participating operations, and the use of sound quantification techniques and credible GHG impact estimates. Third party verification will align with the CAR and Verra quantification protocols the project will follow, and focus on these and other aspects of grazing management, including proper balancing of stocking rates with forage production, accounting for site characteristics such as climate variability, range condition, slope, distance from water, and the avoidance of over-grazing, which may have detrimental effects on SOC⁴⁰.

The integrity of the IB claim of carbon neutral beef is critical to this program. This will be achieved through Indigo's transparent verification processes for all participating operations. The IB program includes both annual desk and on-site randomized audits, subject to third party verification. Indigo will complete a LCA to monitor and verify claims beyond the cow calf side to farmgate; to the extent data are sufficient to do so, we will endeavor to conduct LCA through the finishing side to verify IB's carbon neutral claim from farm to plate.

As the foundation for project wide GHG impact assessment, the re-measurements of SOC using EarthOptics technology will reveal total SOC change over the course of the project. These results will be reported to verifiers and serve as an independent check of biogeochemical model performance. We will include testing against COMET-Planner for program reporting purposes, and AFT will leverage project data analysis and insights to inform adjustments of the non-commercial version of DayCent, and, if feasible, develop an updated set of county by county emission reduction coefficients for the COMET suite of tools and AFT's CaRPE tool, to more accurately represent grazing systems' potential to reduce climate impacts for use by state conservation and agriculture influencers.

For fields that participate in carbon crediting, ISO-14065 accredited verifiers will conduct third party verification in accordance with the CAR Soil Enrichment Protocol, including site visits to confirm practices and accurate measurement and monitoring. Annual monitoring reports cover measurement, monitoring, and quantification, and are made public on the CAR website.

E. Agreement to participate in the USDA Partnerships Network

A leadership representative from AFT will participate in the Partnership Network. In addition, a representative each from IB, Indigo, and FHF is willing to participate if more than one from each Climate Smart Commodity Partnership project is permitted.

IV. PLAN TO DEVELOP AND EXPAND MARKETS FOR CS COMMODITIES

A. Any partnerships designed to market resulting CS commodities

IB will launch a marketing campaign to increase awareness of the brand, its environmental and producer benefits, and its third-party verified transparency. IB will coordinate the production and promotion of several short films highlighting key messages to consumers, industry, producers, and the general public. AFT will coordinate with mentors and partners to develop producer case studies and success stories while also highlighting live online conversations with producers, scientists, industry representatives, and other stakeholders participating in the project. Such highlights will be shared to further market the benefits of the program and its CS commodities to consumers, generating public excitement and amplifying demand.

IB Legacy has built—and is still building—additional relationships with packers who are either ready to accept and market CS cattle or are preparing to process cattle raised with regenerative practices. Through certification with IB, producers will also be able to market CS

beef directly to consumers, leading to more vibrant and profitable local food systems in communities throughout the Mid-Atlantic, the Southeast, and beyond. For smaller-scale producers, a diverse and accessible array of local and regional marketing partnerships will be developed. AFT will pilot using Regenified and Market Maker to broadly and deeply connect buyers, farmers, farmers markets, processors, wineries, restaurants, and more. Beyond this pilot, we will leverage the work of state cattle associations and similar groups that have created LLCs to support marketing, such as the Virginia Cattlemen’s Association’s VCA Verified.

B. A plan to track CS commodities through the supply chain, if appropriate

As the MMRV provider, Indigo will attribute impacts from any practice changes and GHG footprints to specific operations and times. These attribute records will support either LCA analyses for carbon neutral claims or the generation of carbon credits managed and tracked by CAR. Ensuring no double-counting occurs, this system will allow producers to either associate their footprint with the beef produced as a verified CS commodity or sell the verified C associated with practice changes.

IB Carbon Neutral Beef will be traced from “pasture to plate” at scale throughout all avenues of the beef supply chain using a calf’s unique electronic identification (EID) tag and AgriWebb’s livestock management software. All data associated with a calf are paired with that animal’s EID tag and remain with that animal for its entire life. GHG outcomes will be measured, reported, and verified at the cow-calf and finishing stages, and a complete LCA through the production phase will be informed by AgriWebb’s data which is then delivered through integrations. No matter how a calf gets to market, its data—including GHG outcomes—will be transparent from birth to sale and beyond.

Mentors will work with newly-adopting producers to train them in tracking the data in AgriWebb that are required for verified carbon credits or carbon neutral claims for beef. Mentors will also be able to help small, diversified, and underserved operations who may not choose a certification but depend on direct marketing to develop strategies for sharing the outcomes of their CS practices with customers. As part of our project evaluation, annual surveys of producers will assess and report marketing successes.

C. Estimated economic benefits for participating producers including market returns

This project will build a diversified set of markets to earn producers a premium for producing verified CS beef or selling carbon credits. That increased revenue will more than offset quantification and verification costs. Additional economic co-benefits to producers will include improved climate resilience, reduced infrastructure and input costs, and heightened productivity, strengthening enterprise’s profit margins and risk profile.

The economic benefits for producers joining the IB system are substantial. The CS premium is estimated to rise from at least \$20/head in years 2-3 to \$50/head in years 4-5 and up to \$300/head in the 3 years after the project. The total premiums paid to producers are projected to increase from \$921K in years 2-3 to \$5M in years 4-5 and jump to over \$78M for the 3 years after the project. The IB program anticipates becoming self-sustaining by end of the project period, which is crucial to the long-term viability and impact of the effort. The program will restructure membership dues to ensure producers at any scale can afford to enroll. Producer outreach and consumer advertising will drive down per unit verification costs, increase membership and brand awareness, and build the market for CS beef.

For producers selling in smaller, fragmented direct markets greater variability and uncertainty exists around projected premiums for CS beef, which are expected to be lower at \$75-\$100/head. The level of added value will depend on size, marketing approach, location, and other variables. Finally, Indigo has relationships with several respected food and beverage companies seeking to offset emissions through the purchase of reductions and sequestration from producers whose CS practices result in verified carbon credits. Prices are currently in the \$5-20 per tCO₂e range but may increase as credit quality increases. This project will provide data and analyses to confirm the financial benefits (increased profits) of regenerative beef production.

D. Post-project potential

Rapid growth within pilot communities is expected as the project's impacts accelerate beyond the end of funding. Participants of all sizes will be more successful in continuing their operations and attracting the next generation of farmers because of the increased profitability generated. Pioneers in regenerative grazing have demonstrated that forage quality, productivity, and stocking rates of cattle will improve, while dependence on synthetic fertilizers and pesticides, herbicides, and fuel decrease. Additionally, with trusted verified source of CS beef, consumer demand will increase, resulting in ongoing premiums for beef products. In addition, IB plans to continue support for underserved producers after the project period by allotting up to 50% of net income (estimated to be over \$2.5M) as grants to new producers to help offset costs.

In addition, because of the grassroots support structure nurtured through the mentorship program, the relationships built will outlast the project. Trained mentors and their mentees — and therefore their successful demonstration operations — will multiply influence within their communities. Producers will continue to use mentors trained as certified TSPs to provide valuable TA beyond the project to further advance these CS commodity supply chains.

In summary, this project will operationalize several key multiplier effects that will continue to accelerate progress beyond the end of funding: 1) The self-sustaining IB program will incentivize adoption via significant economic premiums for operations of all sizes. 2) Verifiable carbon credit programs will become available for adoption of regenerative grazing. 3) any protected land will continue to accrue carbon where benefits will not be reversed in the future. 4) Established Regenerative Grazing Mentors and Peer Network relationships will last, creating cultures and communities of support. 5) Trained TSPs will continue to inspire and inform CS practice transitions. 6) A valuable public dataset with social and biophysical data on over 520,000 acres of CS transition outcomes will improve modeling. 7) Social and technical resources, including a roadmap for others, will continue to drive regenerative transitions and inform future USDA actions on CS commodities.

APPENDIX – References

- ¹ Inventory of US Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks 1990-2016. US Environmental Protection Agency. EPA 430-R-18-003. 2022. [cited 2022 May 4] Available from: <https://www.epa.gov/ghgemissions/inventory-us-greenhouse-gas-emissions-and-sinks-1990-2020>
- ² Rotz, C.A., S. Asem-Hiablie, S. Place, G. Thoma. Environmental Footprints of Beef Cattle Production in the United States. *Agricultural Systems*. Vol 169, February 2019, pp 1-13.
- ³ White, Robin R, M.B. Hall. Nutritional and Greenhouse Gas Impacts of Removing Animals from US Agriculture. November 13, 2017. Edited by B.L. Turner.
- ⁴ Freedgood, J., M. Hunter, J. Dempsey and A. Sorensen. 2020. *Farms Under Threat: The State of the States*. Washington, D.C: American Farmland Trust. 65 pp.
- ⁵ Follett, R.F., J.M. Kimble, and R. Lal [eds.]. 2001. The Potential of U.S. Grazing Lands to Sequester Carbon and Mitigate the Greenhouse Effect. Lewis Publishers, CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA. 422 pp.
- ⁶ Project Drawdown. December 2020. A Drawdown Primer – Farming Our Way Out of the Climate Crisis: Changing Our Land Use, Agricultural Practices, and Food System Offers Numerous Opportunities to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Sequester Atmospheric Carbon, and Help Address Climate Change.
- ⁷ Rowntree, J.E., P.L. Stanley, Isabella C.F. Maciel, M. Thorbecke, S.T. Rosenzweig, D.W. Hancock, A. Guzman, M.R. Raven. 2020. Ecosystem Impacts and Productive Capacity of a Multi-Species Pastured Livestock System. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, Vol 4, p 1-13.
- ⁸ Mosier, S, S. Apfelbaum, P. Byck, F. Calderon, R. Teague, R. Thompson, M.F. Cotrufo. 2021. Adaptive Multi-Paddock Grazing Enhances Soil Carbon and Nitrogen Stocks and Stabilization Through Mineral Association in Southeastern U.S. Grazing Lands. *Journal of Environmental Management*. Pp 288
- ⁹ Stanley, P. L., et. al. (2018). Impacts of Soil Carbon Sequestration on Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Midwestern USA Beef Finishing Systems. *Agricultural Systems*, 162, 249-258
- ¹⁰ Paustian, K., Larson E, Kent J, Marx E and Swan A. (2019). Soil C Sequestration as a Biological Negative Emission Strategy. *Front. Clim.* 1:8. doi: 10.3389/fclim.2019.00008.

-
- ¹¹ Conant, R. T., et. al. (2017). Grassland Management Impacts on Soil Carbon Stocks: a New Synthesis. *Ecological Applications*, 27(2), 662-668.
- ¹² Herrero, M., et. al. (2016). Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Potentials in the Livestock Sector. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(5), 452-461.
- ¹³ Chirinda, N., et. al. (2019). Adequate Vegetative Cover Decreases Nitrous Oxide Emissions From Cattle Urine Deposited in Grazed Pastures Under Rainy Season Conditions. *Scientific reports*, 9(1), 1-9.
- ¹⁴ Franzluebbers, A.J. 2020. Chapter 2 - Cattle Grazing Effects on the Environment: Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Carbon Footprint. Eds. Rouquette, M. and Aiken, G.E. *Management Strategies for Sustainable Cattle Production in Southern Pastures*. Academic Press. p 11-34.
- ¹⁵ Chirinda, N., et. al. (2019). Adequate Vegetative Cover Decreases Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Cattle Urine Deposited in Grazed Pastures Under Rainy Season Conditions. *Scientific reports*, 9(1), 1-9.
- ¹⁶ Herrero, M., et. al. (2016). Greenhouse Gas Mitigation Potentials in the Livestock Sector. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(5), 452-461.
- ¹⁷ Malik (2015) "Feed-Based Approaches in Enteric Methane Amelioration" in Malik et. al. eds *Livestock Production and Climate Change*, CABI. Edited by: Pradeep K Malik, National Institute of Animal Nutrition and Physiology, India, Raghavendra Bhatta, National Institute of Animal Nutrition and Physiology, Junichi Takahashi, Obihiro University of Agriculture and Veterinary medicine, Japan, Richard Kohn, University of Maryland at College Park, USA, Cadaba S Prasad, National Institute of Animal Nutrition and Physiology, India. April 2015. 408 pp.
- ¹⁸ Marcia S. DeLonge, R. Ryals, and W.L. Silver. 2013. A Lifecycle Model to Evaluate Carbon Sequestration Potential and Greenhouse Gas Dynamics of Managed Grasslands. *Ecosystems*. 16: 962-979
- ¹⁹ Smith (2019) "Interlinkages Between Desertification, Land Degradation, Food Security and GHG Fluxes: Synergies, trade-offs and integrated response options" in IPCC *Special Report on Climate Change and Land*.
- ²⁰ Amonette, J.E., J.G. Archuleta, M.R. Fuchs, K.M. Hills, G.G. Yorgey, G. Flora, J. Hunt, H.-S. Han, B.T. Jobson, T.R. Miles, D.S. Page-Dumroese, S. Thompson, K.M. Trippe, K. Wilson, R. Baltar, K. Carloni, C. Christoforou, D.P. Collins, J. Dooley, D. Drinkard, M. Garcia-Pérez, G. Glass, K. Hoffman-Krull, M. Kauffman, D.A. Laird, W. Lei, J. Miedema, J. O'Donnell, A. Kiser, B. Pecha, C. Rodriguez-Franco, G.E. Scheve, C. Sprenger, B. Springsteen, and E. Wheeler. 2021. Biomass to Biochar: Maximizing the Carbon Value. Report by Center for Sustaining Agriculture and Natural Resources, Washington State University, Pullman WA. csanr.wsu.edu/biomass2biochar

-
- ²¹ R. Ryals, M. Kaiser, M. Torn, A.A. Berhe, W.L. Silver. 2014. Impacts of Organic Matter Amendments on Carbon and Nitrogen Dynamics in Grassland Soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*. 68, 52-61
- ²² Amonette, J.E., J.G. Archuleta, M.R. Fuchs, K.M. Hills, G.G. Yorgey, G. Flora, J. Hunt, H.-S. Han, B.T. Jobson, T.R. Miles, D.S. Page-Dumroese, S. Thompson, K.M. Trippe, K. Wilson, R. Baltar, K. Carloni, C. Christoforou, D.P. Collins, J. Dooley, D. Drinkard, M. Garcia-Pérez, G. Glass, K. Hoffman-Krull, M. Kauffman, D.A. Laird, W. Lei, J. Miedema, J. O'Donnell, A. Kiser, B. Pecha, C. Rodriguez-Franco, G.E. Scheve, C. Sprenger, B. Springsteen, and E. Wheeler. 2021. Biomass to Biochar: Maximizing the Carbon Value. Report by Center for Sustaining Agriculture and Natural Resources, Washington State University, Pullman WA. csanr.wsu.edu/biomass2biochar
- ²³ Project Drawdown. December 2020. A Drawdown Primer – Farming Our Way Out of the Climate Crisis: Changing Our Land Use, Agricultural Practices, and Food System Offers Numerous Opportunities to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions, Sequester Atmospheric Carbon, and Help Address Climate Change.
- ²⁴ Stanley, P.L., J.E. Rowntree, D.K. Beede, M.S. DeLonge, M.W. Hamm. 2018. Impacts of Soil Carbon Sequestration on Life Cycle Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Midwestern USA Beef Finishing Systems. *Agricultural Systems*. 162, p249-258
- ²⁵ SW. Culman, VR Haden, T Maxwell, H Waerhouse, W Horwath. February 2014. Review of CA Cropland Emissions and Mitigation Potential. Duke, Nicholas Institute for Environmental Policy Solutions. NI GGMOCA R3. https://nicholasinstitute.duke.edu/sites/default/files/publications/ni_ggmoca_r_3.pdf,
- ²⁶ Shaffer, S. and E. Thompson. 2015. A New Comparison of Greenhouse Gas Emissions from California Agricultural and Urban Land Uses. American Farmland Trust. 13 pp.
- ²⁷ Jackson, L., Haden, V.R., Hollander, A.D., Lee, H., Lubell, M., Mehta, V.K., O'Geen, T., Niles, M.T., Perlman, J., Purkey, D., Salas, W., Sumner, D., Tomuta, M., Dempsey, M., Wheeler., S.M (2012) Agricultural Mitigation and Adaptation to Climate Change in Yolo County, CA. California Energy Commission Project 500-09-009, pp.153.
- ²⁸ Sarah Brown. Fall 2019. [Best Practices for Virtual Peer-to-Peer Farmer Learning | Organic Farming Research Foundation \(ofrf.org\)](https://ofrf.org) Organic Farming Research Foundation.
- ²⁹ Helen Lammers-Helps. August 12, 2019. [Want to Be a More Successful Farmer? Find a Mentor - Country Guide \(country-guide.ca\)](https://country-guide.ca) by Guide Business, Guide HR.

-
- ³⁰ USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service (2017). NASS - Quick Stats. USDA National Agricultural Statistics Service. <https://data.nal.usda.gov/dataset/nass-quick-stats>. Accessed 2022-05-05.
- ³¹ [Small Farms, Big Differences](#) | USDA Research and Science. March 11, 2021.
- ³² Small-Scale U.S. Cow-Calf Operations. USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services, National Animal Health Monitoring System. April 2011. Available from https://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/nahms/smallscale/downloads/Small_scale_beef.pdf
- ³³ Small-scale U.S. cow-calf operations. USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Veterinary Services, National Animal Health Monitoring System. April 2011. Available from https://www.aphis.usda.gov/animal_health/nahms/smallscale/downloads/Small_scale_beef.pdf
- ³⁴ Climate Action Reserve Soil Enrichment Protocol Version 1.0. 2020. Available from: <https://www.climateactionreserve.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Soil-Enrichment-Protocol-V1.0.pdf>
- ³⁵ <http://comet-planner.com/> Carbon and Greenhouse Gas Evaluation for NRCS Conservation Practice Planning. Colorado State University
- ³⁶ Paustian K, Easter M, Brown K, Chambers A, Eve M, Huber A, Marx E, Layer M, Stermer M, Sutton B, Swan A, Toureene C, S. Verlayudhan S, Williams S. 2018. Field- and farm-scale assessment of soil greenhouse gas mitigation using COMET-Farm™. In: J. A. Delgado, G.F. Sassenrath and T. Mueller (eds). Precision Conservation: Geospatial Techniques for Agricultural and Natural Resources Conservation, pp. 341-359, Agronomy Monograph 59. ASA/CSSA/SSSA, Madison WI. doi: 10.2134/agronmonogr59.2013.003.
- ³⁷ Climate Action Reserve Soil Enrichment Protocol Version 1.0. 2020. Available from: <https://www.climateactionreserve.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Soil-Enrichment-Protocol-V1.0.pdf>
- ³⁸ Climate Action Reserve Grassland Project Protocol version 2.1. 2020. Available from: https://www.climateactionreserve.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/02/Grassland_Protocol_V2.1.pdf
- ³⁹ Methodology for the Adoption of Sustainable Grasslands Through Adjustment of Fire and Grazing. VCS Methodology VM0032. Version 1.0. 2015 [cited 2022 May 4] Available from: <https://verra.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/03/VM0032-Meth-for-the-Adopt-of-Sustain-Grasslands-through-Adj-of-Fire-and-Grazing-v1.0.pdf>
- ⁴⁰ Dlamini, Phesheya, Pauline Chivenge, and Vincent Chaplot. "Overgrazing Decreases Soil Organic Carbon Stocks the Most Under Dry Climates and Low Soil pH: A meta-analysis shows." *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 221 (2016): 258-269.

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | X | X | X | X | X | X | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | X | X | X | X | X | X | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| X | | X | X | X | X | X | X |

Climate-Smart Practices and Limitations

American Farmland Trust

Climate-Smart practices under this grant shall be limited to the following practices:

| NRCS Practice Code | Practice Name |
|---------------------------|--|
| 329 | Residue and Tillage Management, No till |
| 336 | Soil Carbon Amendment |
| 340 | Cover Crop |
| 345 | Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced till |
| 381 | Silvopasture |
| 390 | Riparian Herbaceous Cover |
| 391 | Riparian Forest Buffer |
| 393 | Filter Strip |
| 422 | Hedgerow Planting |
| 512 | Pasture and Hay Planting |
| 528 | Prescribed Grazing |
| 550 | Range Planting |
| 590 | Nutrient Management |
| 592 | Feed Management |
| 601 | Vegetative Barrier |

All practices applied under this grant will follow NRCS practice standards unless noted below:

N/A



Partnerships for
Climate-Smart
Commodities
Data Dictionary
for Recipients
February 2023
Version 1.0

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| Overview of Reporting Requirements | 2 |
| Project Summary | 3 |
| Partner Activities | 4 |
| Marketing Activities | 5 |
| Producer Enrollment | 6 |
| Field Enrollment | 7 |
| Farm Summary | 8 |
| Field Summary | 9 |
| GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled | 10 |
| GHG Benefits - Measured | 11 |
| Additional Environmental Benefits | 12 |
| Supplemental Data Submission | 13 |
| Data Descriptions | 14 |
| Unique IDs | 14 |
| Project Summary | 15 |
| Partner Activities | 20 |
| Marketing Activities | 25 |
| Producer Enrollment | 30 |
| Field Enrollment | 38 |
| CSAF Practice Sub-questions | 44 |
| Farm Summary | 45 |
| Field Summary | 49 |
| GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled | 57 |
| GHG Benefits - Measured | 61 |
| Additional Environmental Benefits | 65 |
| CSAF Practice Sub-questions | 75 |
| Appendix A: Climate-smart Agriculture and Forestry Practices | 83 |
| All NRCS Practice Standards (not limited to climate-smart practices) | 83 |
| Other CSAF Practices | 85 |
| Appendix B: Commodity List | 86 |

Overview of Reporting Requirements

Grant recipients are required to submit reports to document their performance under the *Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodity* funding opportunity. These submissions will be required to use the Microsoft Excel workbook templates provided by USDA. The workbooks contain a series of worksheets that collect data in a standardized format to ensure data quality and allow for aggregation and summary of this information. The entire workbook must be submitted quarterly, with updates to all applicable worksheets. This guide is divided into three sections. The *Overview of Reporting Requirements* section summarizes the layout of the reporting workbook and presents the data elements included in each worksheet. It also describes additional documents that must be submitted to supplement the performance reports. The *Data Definitions* section provides descriptions and allowable response options for each data element. The guide also indicates whether each data element is required, applicable at times, or optional; as well as how frequently each data element must be updated. Finally, the *Appendices* contain practice and commodity lists that will be used for these reports. Reporting is necessary for USDA oversight of this effort. The data elements required for inclusion in the quarterly performance reports allow USDA to conduct selected audits to review whether producers are receiving federal funds from multiple sources for the same purpose; to determine whether GHG benefits from implementation of climate-smart agriculture and forestry (CSAF) practices are being estimated accurately; and for other purposes deemed appropriate by USDA.

The reporting worksheets collect information at four levels: project, partner, producer, and field. Descriptions of each level:

- Project level:** Information about activities and impacts at a whole project/aggregate level (i.e., reflecting all activities under the grant agreement). Some project-level reporting is further subdivided by commodity type or a combination of commodity and CSAF practice(s) (commodity x practice).
- Partner level:** Information about activities related to a single organization (recipient, subrecipient, contractor, or other partner) within a project.
- Producer level:** Information about individual producers who have one or more farms enrolled in a project.
- Field level:** Information about individual fields enrolled in a project.

Certain data elements are required to be reported for each producer and field enrolled in a project. In order to minimize the burden associated with data collection and to enable USDA to match data to existing records, these producer- and field-specific records must use the producer's established FSA Farm, Tract and Field IDs, and report the State and County associated with the Farm ID. Associated data entered in conjunction with these data elements, such as Producer Name, must match the data contained in the customer's Business Partner record, and the Farm Operating Plan in Business File for that Farm ID. Disclosure of this information is protected under Section 1619 of the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (PL 110- 246), 7 U.S.C. 8791. Additionally, Departmental Regulation 4370-001 provides USDA's policies for collecting demographic data, including race, ethnicity and gender. Providing demographic information is voluntary and at the discretion of the customer. Demographic information is used by USDA for statistical purposes only and will not be used to determine an applicant's eligibility for programs or services for which they apply.

Note: For purposes of this guide, "farm" refers to the operation from which climate-smart commodities are produced and may represent farms, ranches, forests or other operations. Similarly, "field" refers to the individual land units at which climate-smart practices are being implemented to produce climate-smart commodities and may represent lots, farmsteads or other units, depending on the type of operation and commodity. The use of "Farm", "Tract" and "Field" align with the FSA definitions; for example, "A field is a part of a farm that is separated from the balance of the farm by a permanent boundary, such as; fences, permanent waterways, woodlands, croplines in cases where farming practices make it probable that this cropline is not subject to change, and other similar features."

The following tables list the data elements included in each reporting worksheet, along with a brief description of each item.

Project Summary

These data will be collected about each project. Cumulative results are reported each quarter. Report last quarter's entry if there has been no change in this quarter.

Table 1. Project Summary elements

| Data element name | Description | Frequency |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Commodity type | Type of commodity(ies) incentivized by the project | Quarterly |
| Commodity sales | Indicates sales of the commodity(ies) related to the project occurred this quarter | Quarterly |
| Farms enrolled | Indicates enrollment activities occurred this quarter | Quarterly |
| GHG calculation methods | Methods used to calculate greenhouse gas (GHG) benefits | Quarterly |
| GHG cumulative calculation | Method used to calculate cumulative GHG benefits | Quarterly |
| Cumulative GHG benefits | Whole project estimate of total GHG (CO ₂ e) emission reductions | Quarterly |
| Cumulative carbon stock | Whole project estimate of total carbon sequestration | Quarterly |
| Cumulative CO ₂ benefit | Whole project estimate of total CO ₂ emission reductions | Quarterly |
| Cumulative CH ₄ benefit | Whole project estimate of total CH ₄ emission reductions | Quarterly |
| Cumulative N ₂ O benefit | Whole project estimate of total N ₂ O emission reductions | Quarterly |
| Offsets produced | Amount of carbon offsets produced by project | Quarterly |
| Offsets sale | Name of marketplace where carbon offsets were sold | Quarterly |
| Offsets price | Price of carbon in offset sales | Quarterly |
| Insets produced | Amount of carbon insets produced by project | Quarterly |
| Cost of on-farm TA | Cost of on-farm technical assistance (TA) provided to producers | Quarterly |
| MMRV cost | Cost of measurement, monitoring, reporting, and verification (MMRV) activities | Quarterly |
| GHG monitoring method | Methods used by project to monitor GHG benefits (up to 5) | Quarterly |
| GHG reporting method | Methods used by project to report on GHG benefits (up to 5) | Quarterly |
| GHG verification method | Methods used to verify GHG benefits (up to 5) | Quarterly |

Partner Activities

These data will be collected at the project level. Each row in this worksheet will represent one organization involved in the project, including the recipient and all contributing partners. A partner is any organization that is receiving project funds or providing matching contributions (funds or in-kind contributions) to the project. While the recipient must complete one row for their own organization, not all data elements apply to the recipient. These exceptions are noted in the detailed descriptions of the specific elements in the *Data Definitions* section of this guide. Data are reported cumulatively each quarter. Report last quarter's entry if there has been no change in this quarter.

Table 2. Partner Activities elements

| Data element name | Description | Frequency |
|--------------------------|--|------------------|
| Partner ID | Unique ID for each partner | One-time |
| Partner name | Name of partner organization | One-time |
| Partner type | Type of organization | One-time |
| Partner POC | Partner point of contact name | As applicable |
| Partner POC email | Partner point of contact email | As applicable |
| Partnership start date | Start of partnership on project | One-time |
| Partnership end date | End of partnership on project | As applicable |
| New partnership | Indicator for partner organizations that have no prior work with the recipient | As applicable |
| Partner total requested | Total amount requested to date by partner from recipient | Quarterly |
| Total match contribution | Total amount of match contribution by partner to date | Quarterly |
| Total match incentives | Total amount of match contribution by partner for incentives | Quarterly |
| Match type | Top 3 types of match contribution by partner, other than incentives | Quarterly |
| Match amount | Value of match contributions by type | Quarterly |
| Training provided | Top 3 types of training provided to the partner through project | Quarterly |
| Activity by partner | Top 3 types of activities provided by this partner to producers or other partners | Quarterly |
| Activity cost | Approximate cost per activity type provided by partner to producers or other partners | Quarterly |
| Products supplied | Names of products supplied to producers as part of project activities or incentives | Quarterly |
| Product source | Supplier or source of products supplied to producers as part of project activities or incentives | Quarterly |

Marketing Activities

These data will be collected at the project level. Each row in this worksheet will correspond to one commodity for which the project enrolls fields and one marketing channel used to sell that commodity by the project or producers enrolled in the project. Data are reported for the current quarter and are not cumulative. If no sales of the commodity were reported during a quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter.

Table 3. Marketing Activities elements

| Data element name | Description | Frequency |
|---|---|------------------|
| Commodity type | Type of commodity incentivized by the project | Quarterly |
| Marketing channel type | Type of marketing channels used | Quarterly |
| Number of buyers | Number of buyers per marketing channel | Quarterly |
| Names of buyers | Names of buyers in the marketing channel | Quarterly |
| Marketing channel geography | Geography of marketing channel | Quarterly |
| Value sold | Value of commodity sold by marketing channel | Quarterly |
| Volume sold | Volume of commodity sold by marketing channel | Quarterly |
| Price premium | Price premium of commodity by marketing channel | Quarterly |
| Price premium to producer | Percent of price premium that goes to the producer | Quarterly |
| Product differentiation method | Top 3 types of product differentiation methods used | Quarterly |
| Marketing method | Top 3 types of marketing methods used | Quarterly |
| Marketing channel identification method | Top 3 ways marketing channel was identified | Quarterly |
| Traceability method | Top 3 types of supply chain traceability methods used | Quarterly |

Producer Enrollment

These data will be collected at the producer level about each farm enrolled in the project. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one farm that has at least one field enrolled in the project. Data are reported when a producer first enrolls one or more fields in the project. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the farm characteristics each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates. The quarterly submission should contain information about each farm initially enrolled in the project during that quarter and for updates to farms that have re-enrolled during that quarter, as applicable. If no farms are enrolled during that quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter.

Table 4. Producer Enrollment elements

| Data element name | Description | Frequency |
|---------------------------|--|---------------|
| Farm ID | Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA | |
| State or territory | State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data) | |
| County of residence | County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data) | |
| Producer data change | Indicator that producer data was updated at re-enrollment | As applicable |
| Producer start date | Contract start date | Enrollment |
| Producer name | Name of primary operator | Enrollment |
| Underserved status | Indicator the primary operator is considered underserved and/or a small producer | Enrollment |
| Total area | Total area of enrolled operation | Annual |
| Total crop area | Total crop area in enrolled operation enrolled | Annual |
| Total livestock area | Total livestock confinement, pasture and rangeland in enrolled operation | Annual |
| Total forest area | Total forest area in enrolled operation | Annual |
| Livestock type | Top 3 types of livestock on enrolled operation | Annual |
| Livestock head | Total livestock currently managed (by type) | Annual |
| Organic farm | Indicator that part of the farm is certified or transitioning organic | Annual |
| Organic fields | Indicator that any of the enrolled fields are certified or transitioning organic | Annual |
| Producer motivation | Motivation for participation | Annual |
| Producer outreach | Top 3 types of outreach provided to producer | Annual |
| CSAF experience | Indicator of prior implementation of CSAF practices at this farm | Annual |
| CSAF federal funds | Indicator of prior receipt of federal funds for CSAF practices | Annual |
| CSAF state or local funds | Indicator of prior receipt of state funds for CSAF practices | Annual |
| CSAF nonprofit funds | Indicator of prior receipt of nonprofit funds for CSAF practices | Annual |
| CSAF market incentives | Indicator of prior receipt of market incentives for CSAF practices | Annual |

Field Enrollment

These data will be collected about each field enrolled in the project. In this worksheet, each row corresponds to one field x commodity combination enrolled in the project. Generally, data are reported once for each field, at its initial enrollment. The quarterly submission should contain information about each field initially enrolled in the project during that quarter. If no fields are enrolled during that quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter. If a field is enrolled for multiple years, any relevant changes, such as a new ID number or changes to the commodity or practice combinations should be entered in this worksheet during the quarter it is re-enrolled, or as applicable.

Table 5. Field Enrollment elements

| Data element name | Description |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Farm ID | Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA |
| Tract ID | Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA |
| Field ID | Unique Field ID assigned by FSA |
| State or territory of field | State name |
| Physical County of field | Physical county name must match FSA farm records |
| Prior Field ID | Previous Field ID when reconstitution of farm results in new Field IDs |
| Field data change | Indicator that field data has changed from initial enrollment |
| Contract start date | Start date of contract |
| Total field area | Size of enrolled field |
| Commodity category | Category of commodity(ies) produced |
| Commodity type | Type of commodity(ies) produced |
| Baseline yield | Average yield of commodity in 3 years prior to enrollment |
| Baseline yield location | Location for which baseline yield is provided |
| Field land use | Most common land use in field in past 3 years |
| Field irrigated | Most common irrigation type in field in past 3 years |
| Field tillage | Most common tillage in field in past 3 years |
| Practice past extent - farm | Extent of operation that implemented this practice prior to project enrollment |
| Field any CSAF practice | Indicator for prior CSAF practices in this field in past 3 years |
| Practice past use - this field | Indicator of prior use of this practice in this field in the past 3 years |
| Practice type | CSAF practice(s) that will be implemented in enrolled field (up to 7) |
| Practice standard | Organization that developed CSAF practice standard implemented in field |
| Planned practice implementation year | Year that practice is planned to be implemented |
| Practice extent | Area or number of animals for which practice is implemented |
| Follow-on questions | Follow-on questions by practice type (see Table 11) |

Farm Summary

These data will be collected about each farm enrolled in the project. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one farm that has at least one field enrolled in the project. The quarterly submission should contain updates to any data elements that have changed for each farm enrolled in the project during that quarter. If there are no changes from the previous quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter. Data are not cumulative.

Table 6. Farm Summary elements

| Data element name | Description | Frequency |
|---------------------------|--|------------------|
| Farm ID | Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA | |
| State or territory | State name | |
| County of residence | County name | |
| Producer TA received | Type of technical assistance provided to producer | Quarterly |
| Producer incentive amount | Total financial incentive provided to the producer | Quarterly |
| Incentive reason | Top 4 reason(s) for financial incentives provided to producer | Quarterly |
| Incentive structure | Top 4 units on which financial incentives are structured | Quarterly |
| Incentive type | Top 4 type(s) of financial incentives provided to producer | Quarterly |
| Payment on enrollment | Extent of payment provided to producer upon enrollment | Quarterly |
| Payment on implementation | Extent of payment provided to producer upon implementation of CSAF practices | Quarterly |
| Payment on harvest | Extent of payment provided to producer upon harvest or slaughter | Quarterly |
| Payment on MMRV | Extent of payment provided to producer upon reporting or verification | Quarterly |
| Payment on sale | Extent of payment provided to producer upon sale of commodity | Quarterly |

Field Summary

These data will be collected about each field enrolled in the project for a commodity x practice(s) combination. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one field x commodity x practice(s) combination enrolled in the project. Data for each field will be reported quarterly and are not cumulative. Report data for any elements that have an update in that quarter. Greenhouse gas benefit estimates must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate. If there are no changes from the previous quarter, do not complete this worksheet for that quarter. This worksheet includes a section to report the “official” estimate of GHG benefits – amounts of greenhouse gas emissions reduced and carbon sequestered – for the field. These quantities refer to the estimates that are used to calculate the project’s aggregate impact (reported in Table 1). Tables 8 and 9 are used to report alternate estimates of the field-level GHG benefits when additional methods are used to model (Table 8) or measure (Table 9) these impacts. Any field that can use COMET-Planner must submit those results, either as the official or alternate model.

Table 7. Field Summary elements

| Data element name | Description | Frequency |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------|
| Farm ID | Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA | |
| Tract ID | Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA | |
| Field ID | Unique Field ID assigned by FSA | |
| State or territory of field | State name | |
| County of field | County name | |
| Commodity type | Type of commodity produced from field | Quarterly |
| Practice type | Type of practice(s) incentivized in field (up to seven) | Quarterly |
| Date practice complete | Date that practice implementation is certified complete | Quarterly |
| Contract end date | End date of contract | Quarterly |
| MMRV assistance provided | Indicator that MMRV assistance is provided to field | Quarterly |
| Marketing assistance provided | Indicator that marketing assistance provided for commodity from field | Quarterly |
| Incentive per acre or head | Indicator that a per acre/head incentives is provided for the CSAF practice(s) on this field | Quarterly |
| Field commodity value | Value of commodity produced from field | Quarterly |
| Field commodity volume | Volume of commodity produced from field | Quarterly |
| Cost of implementation | Total cost of practice implementation in field | Quarterly |
| Cost coverage | Percent of total cost of implementation of practice covered by project incentives | Quarterly |
| Field GHG monitoring | Methods used to monitor GHG benefits in field (up to 3) | Quarterly |
| Field GHG reporting | Methods used to report on GHG benefits for field (up to 3) | Quarterly |
| Field GHG verification | Methods used to verify GHG benefits for field (up to 3) | Quarterly |
| Field GHG calculations | Methods used to calculate GHG benefits for field | Quarterly |
| Field official GHG calculation | Method used to calculate official GHG benefits for field | Quarterly |
| Field official GHG ER | Official estimate of total GHG emission reductions for field | Quarterly |
| Field official carbon stock | Official estimate of total carbon sequestration for field | Quarterly |
| Field official CO2 ER | Official estimate of total CO2 emission reductions for field | Quarterly |
| Field official CH4 ER | Official estimate of total CH4 emission reductions for field | Quarterly |
| Field official N2O ER | Official estimate of total N2O emission reductions for field | Quarterly |
| Field offsets produced | Amount of carbon offsets produced in field | Quarterly |
| Field insets produced | Amount of carbon insets produced in field | Quarterly |
| Other field measurements | Indicator that field data was collected for reasons other than GHG benefit estimation | Quarterly |

GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled

If greenhouse gas benefits are modeled for the same field using multiple methods, the results for the alternate models are reported in this worksheet. The “alternate” models refer to those model results that were not used in the calculation of the project’s aggregate impact (as reported in Table 1). Any field that can use COMET-Planner must submit those results, either as the official or alternate model. These data will be collected about the modeled GHG benefits for each field x commodity x practice(s) combination. In this worksheet, each row will correspond to one field enrolled in the project. Data are not cumulative. Each quarterly submission should include information for all fields that have new modeled data. Greenhouse gas benefit estimates must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate.

Table 8. GHG Benefits – Alternate Modeled elements

| Data element name | Description | Frequency |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Farm ID | Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA | |
| Tract ID | Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA | |
| Field ID | Unique Field ID assigned by FSA | |
| State or territory of field | State name | |
| County of field | County name | |
| Commodity type | Type of commodity(ies) produced from the field (up to 6) | Annual |
| Practice type | Type of practice(s) incentivized in field (up to 7) | Annual |
| GHG model | Model used to calculate GHG benefits | Annual |
| Model start date | Start date of model run | Annual |
| Model end date | End date of model run | Annual |
| Total GHG benefits estimated | Estimate of total GHG benefits for field | Annual |
| Total carbon stock estimated | Estimate of total change in carbon stock for field | Annual |
| Total CO2 estimated | Estimate of total CO2 emission reductions for field | Annual |
| Total CH4 estimated | Estimate of total CH4 emission reductions for field | Annual |
| Total N2O estimated | Estimate of total N2O emission reductions for field | Annual |

GHG Benefits - Measured

Projects must report the results of any carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this worksheet. These data will be collected at the field level. Each row will represent a separate measurement method used to calculate GHG benefits for a given field. Data are reported once per year of measurement and are not cumulative. Each quarterly submission should include information for any field for which there are new soil samples or new calculations of annual GHG benefits based on actual measurements.

Table 9. GHG Benefits - Measured data elements

| Data element name | Description | Frequency |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| Farm ID | Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA | |
| Tract ID | Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA | |
| Field ID | Unique Field ID assigned by FSA | |
| State | State name | |
| County | County name | |
| GHG measurement method | Method of measurement | Annual |
| Lab name | Entity that conducted analysis | Annual |
| Measurement start date | Start date of measurements | Annual |
| Measurement end date | End date of measurements | Annual |
| Total CO2 reduction calculated | Calculation of total CO2 reduction | Annual |
| Total carbon stock change calculated | Calculation of change in carbon stock | Annual |
| Total CH4 reduction calculated | Calculation of total CH4 reduction | Annual |
| Total N2O reduction calculated | Calculation of total N2O reduction | Annual |
| Soil sample result | Numeric result from soil sample | Annual |
| Measurement type | Type of analysis conducted | Annual |

Additional Environmental Benefits

Projects that track additional environmental benefits (e.g., water quality improvements) from enrolled fields report results in this worksheet. These data will be collected about each field. Each row in this worksheet will correspond to an enrolled field. Data are not cumulative. Estimates of environmental benefits must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate.

Table 10. Additional Environmental Benefits elements

| Data element name | Description | Frequency |
|------------------------------|--|------------------|
| Farm ID | Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA | |
| Tract ID | Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA | |
| Field ID | Unique Field ID assigned by FSA | |
| State | State name | |
| County | County name | |
| Environmental benefits | Indicator that project tracks other environmental benefits | Annual |
| Reduction in nitrogen loss | Indicator that project tracks reductions in nitrogen loss | Annual |
| Amount | Amount | Annual |
| Purpose | Purpose of tracking those co-benefits | Annual |
| Reduction in phosphorus loss | Indicator that project tracks reductions in phosphorus loss | Annual |
| Amount | Amount | Annual |
| Purpose | Purpose of tracking those co-benefits | Annual |
| Other water quality | Indicator that project tracks other water quality improvements | Annual |
| Type | Type of water quality metric being tracked | Annual |
| Amount | Amount | Annual |
| Purpose | Purpose of tracking those co-benefits | Annual |
| Water quantity | Indicator that project tracks reduced water use | Annual |
| Amount | Amount | Annual |
| Purpose | Purpose of tracking those co-benefits | Annual |
| Reduced erosion | Indicator that project tracks reductions in soil erosion | Annual |
| Amount | Amount | Annual |
| Purpose | Purpose of tracking those co-benefits | Annual |
| Reduced energy use | Indicator that project tracks reductions in energy use | Annual |
| Amount | Amount | Annual |
| Purpose | Purpose of tracking those co-benefits | Annual |
| Avoided land conversion | Indicator that project tracks reductions in land conversion | Annual |
| Amount | Amount | Annual |
| Purpose | Purpose of tracking those co-benefits | Annual |
| Improved wildlife habitat | Indicator that project tracks improvements in wildlife habitat | Annual |
| Amount | Amount | Annual |
| Purpose | Purpose of tracking those co-benefits | Annual |

Supplemental Data Submission

Project MMRV Plan

Definition of MMRV elements:

Measurement: Quantification of the greenhouse gas benefits (reduction or capture) using mathematical models and/or direct physical measurements in the field

Monitoring: Ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time

Reporting: Documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization

Verification: Independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable.

Projects must submit an MMRV plan that includes details about how each of the following are addressed:

- Quantification approach, including:
 - GHG models used
 - GHG measurement plan (if applicable)
 - Approach to quantifying additional environmental benefits, if applicable (e.g., water quality, habitat)
- Verification approach:
 - Compliance criteria
 - Verification plan/methodology
- Approach to ensuring:
 - Additionality
 - Permanence
 - Leakage
 - Impacts of weather
- Plan for non-compliance

If the project is using a specific MMRV methodology or approach developed by the recipient, a project partner, or an outside organization, the project can submit documentation associated with the methodology as long as the documentation addresses each of the above categories.

If the project is tracking other environmental benefits (as reported in the *Additional Environmental Benefits* worksheet), include a description of the methodology and tools used to track and report on these benefits.

Field modeled GHG benefit reports

Results from any models besides COMET-Planner used to estimate GHG benefits must also be submitted as a separate report. This includes projects running COMET-Farm. The full results of any model can be submitted in the native/standard format generated by the modeling tool and must include the following Unique IDs in the report or in the file name: State, County, Farm ID, Tract ID, Field ID.

Field direct measurement results

For any direct physical measurements in the field, measurement results must be submitted as a separate report and must include the following Unique IDs in the report or in the file name: State, County, Farm ID, Tract ID, Field ID. Measurement results reports must include the name of the equipment used for sampling or data collection, the name of the lab that analyzed the data, and the analytical method used.

Sample report types include soil analysis reports, summarized results of portable emissions analyzers or flux towers, water quality analyses, and plant species counts. These could be collected for the purposes of determining GHG emission reductions or carbon sequestration amounts, for calibration of tools or models, for tracking other environmental benefits, or for other reasons.

Data Descriptions

This section provides descriptions and allowable response options for each data element. The guide also indicates whether each data element is required, applicable at times, or optional; as well as how frequently each data element must be updated.

Unique IDs

Project ID: Unique ID at the project level – “Award Identifying Number” shown on award documentation

Partner ID: Unique ID at the partner level – use EIN; if no EIN, a unique ID will be assigned for use in these reports

State or territory of operation: State or territory name

County of operation: Physical county name

Farm ID: Unique ID at the operation level assigned by Farm Service Agency (FSA)

Tract ID: Unique ID at the tract level assigned by FSA

Field ID: Unique ID at the field level assigned by FSA

Project Summary

Commodity type

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Commodity type | Reporting question: What climate-smart commodity types are produced by this project? |
| Description: Type of commodity incentivized by the project. These commodities include those for whom farmers are directly receiving incentives or other types of marketing support. See full list of commodity options in Appendix B. List one commodity per row. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: FSA commodity list |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Commodity sales

| | |
|--|--|
| Data element name: Commodity sales | Reporting question: Did project activities result in sales this quarter of the commodity(ies) produced by this project? |
| Description: Indicator of sales of commodity(ies) related to project activities. If sales are reported, complete the <i>Marketing Activities</i> worksheet (Table 3) as part of the quarterly performance report. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Farms enrolled

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Farms enrolled | Reporting question: Did the project enroll any producers or fields this quarter? |
| Description: Indicator that the project enrolled producers or fields. If enrollment activities occurred this quarter, complete the <i>Producer Enrollment</i> and <i>Field Enrollment</i> worksheets (Tables 4 and 5) as part of the quarterly performance report. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

GHG calculation methods

| | |
|--|--|
| Data element name: GHG calculation methods | Reporting question: What methods is the project using to calculate GHG benefits? |
| Description: List the way(s) that GHG benefits are being measured and calculated by the project this quarter. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Models • Direct field measurements • Both |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

GHG cumulative calculation

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: GHG cumulative calculation | Reporting question: What method(s) was used to calculate the total cumulative GHG benefits reported here? |
| Description: List the method(s) that was used to calculate the total cumulative GHG benefits reported by the project this quarter. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Models • Direct field measurements • Both |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Cumulative GHG benefits

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Cumulative GHG benefits | Reporting question: What are the project's estimated total GHG emission reductions (CO ₂ eq) to date? |
| Description: Total cumulative estimated greenhouse gas emission reductions from practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂ eq | Allowed values: 0-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Cumulative carbon stock

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Cumulative carbon stock | Reporting question: How much carbon has the project sequestered to date? |
| Description: Estimated total cumulative change in carbon stock based on practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same numbers as the previous quarter. Conversion rate is one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO ₂ eq. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂ eq | Allowed values: 0-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Cumulative CO₂ benefit

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Cumulative CO ₂ benefit | Reporting question: What are the project's estimated total cumulative CO ₂ emission reductions to date? |
| Description: Estimated total cumulative carbon dioxide emission reductions based on practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂ | Allowed values: 0-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Cumulative CH₄ benefit

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Cumulative CH ₄ benefit | Reporting question: What are the project's estimated total CH ₄ emission reductions to date? |
| Description: Estimated total cumulative methane reduction based on practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same numbers as the previous quarter. Conversion rate is one ton of CH ₄ = 25 tons of CO ₂ eq. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Metric tons CH ₄ reduced in CO ₂ eq | Allowed values: 0-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Cumulative N2O benefit

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Cumulative N2O benefit | Reporting question: What are the project's estimated total N2O emission reductions to date? |
| Description: Estimated total cumulative nitrous oxide reduction based on practice implementation. This is updated quarterly. If there are no updated numbers enter the same number as the previous quarter. Conversion rate is one ton of N ₂ O = 298 tons of CO ₂ eq. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Metric tons N2O reduced in CO ₂ eq | Allowed values: 0-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Offsets produced

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Offsets produced | Reporting question: How many carbon offsets have been produced in the project? |
| Description: Total carbon offsets produced by enrolled project fields during the quarter. Offsets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂ eq | Allowed values: 0-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Offsets sale

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Offsets sale | Reporting question: To what marketplace(s) were carbon offsets sold? |
| Description: Marketplaces to which carbon offsets produced by enrolled project fields were sold. Offsets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace. List each marketplace name. Separate names with commas. | |
| Data type: Text | Select multiple values: NA |
| Measurement unit: Name | Allowed values: Text |
| Logic: Respond if >0 to 'Offsets produced' | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Offsets price

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Offsets price | Reporting question: What was the average price of carbon received for offsets? |
| Description: Average price per metric ton paid for carbon offsets produced by enrolled project fields. Offsets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Dollars per metric ton | Allowed values: 0-500 |
| Logic: Respond if >0 to 'Offsets produced' | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Insets produced

| | |
|--|--|
| Data element name: Insets produced | Reporting question: How many carbon insets have been produced in the project? |
| Description: Total carbon insets produced by enrolled fields during the quarter. Insets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and accounted for within Scope 3 emissions for a firm. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂ eq | Allowed values: 0-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Cost of on-farm TA

| | |
|--|--|
| Data element name: Cost of on-farm TA | Reporting question: What is the total amount that has been spent to provide on-farm TA? |
| Description: Total cost of any field- or practice-specific technical assistance provided by the project (by recipient or partners) to any producers. This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Dollars | Allowed values: \$0-\$50,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

MMRV cost

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: MMRV cost | Reporting question: What is the total amount that has been spent on MMRV activities? |
| Description: Total cost of all MMRV activities paid for by the project (recipient or partners). MMRV components are defined as measurement (calculations or estimations of GHG emissions), monitoring (ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practices have been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time), reporting (documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization), and verification (independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable). This is updated quarterly. If there are no changes, enter the same number as the previous quarter. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Dollars | Allowed values: \$0-\$50,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

GHG monitoring method

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: GHG monitoring 1-5 | Reporting question: How did the project monitor GHG benefits? |
| Description: Up to the five most common forms of monitoring GHG benefits used this quarter as part of MMRV requirements. Monitoring is defined as ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time. Include up to 5 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides five columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 5 GHG monitoring methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG monitoring methods as free text. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drones • Ground-level photos and videos • On-farm visit • Plot-based sampling • Producer records or attestation • Satellite monitoring or remote sensing • Soil metagenomics • Soil sensors • Water sensors • Other (specify) |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

GHG reporting method

Data element name: GHG reporting 1-5**Reporting question:** How did the project track and report implementation of practices to reduce GHG emissions?

Description: Up to the five most common forms of tracking and reporting on practice implementation used this year as part of MMRV requirements. Reporting is defined as documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization. Include up to 5 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides five columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 5 GHG reporting methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG reporting methods as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Automated devices
- Email
- Mobile app
- Paper
- Third-party actors
- Website
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

GHG verification method

Data element name: GHG verification method 1-5**Reporting question:** How did the project verify implementation of practices to reduce GHG emissions?

Description: Up to the five most common forms of verifying practice implementation used this year as part of MMRV requirements. Verification is defined as independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable. Include up to 5 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides five columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 5 GHG verification methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG verification methods as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Artificial intelligence
- Audit by recipient
- Computer modeling
- Photos
- Record audit
- Satellite imagery
- Site or field visit
- Third-party audit
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Partner Activities

Unique IDs

| | |
|------------|------------------------------------|
| Partner ID | Unique Project ID for each partner |
|------------|------------------------------------|

Partner name

| | |
|--|--|
| Data element name: Name of partner organization | Reporting question: What is the official name of the recipient or partner organization? |
|--|--|

Description: Legal name of recipient or partner organization

Data type: Text

Select multiple values: NA

Measurement unit: NA

Allowed values: Text

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Partner

Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation

Partner type

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Type of partner organization | Reporting question: What type of organization is this? |
|--|---|

Description: Legal/financial structure of recipient or partner organization

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Commodity groups (501c5)
- For-profit
- Individual
- Nonprofit
- State or local agency
- Tribal agency
- University

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Partner

Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation

Partner POC

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Data element name: Partner POC | Reporting question: Who is the point of contact for this project at the recipient or partner organization? |
|---------------------------------------|---|

Description: Name of a point of contact for the recipient or partner organization

Data type: Text

Select multiple values: NA

Measurement unit: NA

Allowed values: Text

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Partner

Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation; update as necessary

Partner POC email

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Partner POC email | Reporting question: What is the point of contact's email address? |
|---|--|

Description: Email of the point of contact for the recipient or partner organization

Data type: Text

Select multiple values: NA

Measurement unit: NA

Allowed values: Text

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Partner

Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation; update as necessary

Partnership start date

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Partnership start date | Reporting question: When did the partnership start? |
| Description: Date that the partner organization and the recipient began formally partnering on the project | |
| Data type: Date | Select multiple values: NA |
| Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY | Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030 |
| Logic: No response for recipient | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Partner | Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation |

Partnership end date

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Partnership end date | Reporting question: When did the partnership end? |
| Description: Date that the partner organization and the recipient stopped formally partnering on the project | |
| Data type: Date | Select multiple values: NA |
| Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY | Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030 |
| Logic: No response for recipient | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Partner | Data collection frequency: Partnership end quarter |

New partnership

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: New partnership | Reporting question: Is this a new partnership? |
| Description: A new partnership means that the recipient and the partner organization have not had a formal working relationship (under contract or on a grant) prior to the start of the project. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • I don't know |
| Logic: No response for recipient | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Partner | Data collection frequency: Partnership initiation |

Partner total requested

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Partner total requested | Reporting question: What is the total amount of funding the partner has requested to date from this project? |
| Description: Cumulative (total) amount of funds that the partner has requested reimbursement for from the recipient from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. For each quarter's data entry, the value must be the sum of all previous entries plus the amount of funds requested in the reporting quarter. If there are no changes, report the value from the previous quarter. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: NA |
| Measurement unit: Dollars | Allowed values: \$0-\$100,000,000 |
| Logic: No response for recipient | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Partner | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Total match contribution

Data element name: Total match contribution**Reporting question:** What is the total match value the organization has contributed to the project to date?

Description: Cumulative (total) value of funds and in-kind contributions (e.g., staff time, inputs, equipment rental, marketing support) that the partner has provided as a project match contribution from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. For each quarter's data entry, the value must be the sum of all previous entries plus match contributions in the reporting quarter. If there are no changes, report the value from the previous quarter.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0-\$100,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Total match incentives

Data element name: Total match incentives**Reporting question:** What is the total value of match provided by this organization for producer incentives?

Description: Cumulative (total) value of funds for incentive payments directly to producers that the partner has provided as a project match contribution from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. For each quarter's data entry, the value must be the sum of all previous entries plus match incentives in the reporting quarter. If there are no changes, report the value from the previous quarter.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0-\$100,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Match type

Data element name: Match type 1-3**Reporting question:** What types of match contributions has the organization provided to the project?

Description: Types of match contributions *other than incentives* provided directly to producers by the organization from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. Enter up to the top three (in dollar value) types of match contributions provided. In-kind staff time could be used for technical assistance, marketing assistance, or other support to producers. Production inputs include seed, fertilizer, pesticides, equipment and other inputs for use in the field. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 match types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other match types as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Equipment rental or use
- In-kind staff time
- Production inputs (reduced cost or free)
- Program income
- Software
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Match amount

Data element name: Match amount 1-3**Reporting question:** What is the value of the match contributions the organization provided to the project?

Description: Cumulative (total) value of funds for each match type that the organization has provided as a project match contribution from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. Enter amounts for up to the top three (in dollar value) match types. The worksheet provides three columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If fewer than 3 match types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0-\$100,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Training type provided

Data element name: Training type 1-3 provided**Reporting question:** What types of training has the organization provided to project partners?

Description: Types of training provided to the project partner as a result of participating in the project during the past quarter. Training can come from the recipient, a project partner organization (including other divisions of their own organization, or an outside organization). Enter up to the top three (in dollar value) types of partner training provided. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 training types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other training types as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Data collection
- Grant reporting
- Marketing opportunities
- Providing financial assistance
- Providing technical assistance
- Writing producer contracts
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Activity by partner

Data element name: Activity 1-3 by partner**Reporting question:** What types of activities has the organization provided to the project?

Description: Types of activities that the recipient or partner organization has provided during the reporting quarter. Enter up to the top three (in dollar value) types of activities undertaken. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 activity types are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other activity types as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Marketing support
- MMRV support
- Producer outreach for enrollment
- Technical assistance to producers
- Training to other partner organizations
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Activity cost
Data element name: Activity cost 1-3**Reporting question:** What is the value of the activities this organization has provided to the project?

Description: Cumulative (total) cost of each activity type that the organization has undertaken or offered from the start of the partnership to the end of the reporting quarter. Enter amounts for up to the top three (in dollar value) activity types. The worksheet provides three columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If fewer than 3 activity types are provided, leave unnecessary columns blank.

Data type: Decimal**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0-\$100,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Products supplied
Data element name: Products supplied**Reporting question:** What products or supplies were provided to enrolled fields?

Description: Name(s) of products supplied to enrolled producers as incentives or matching contributions. Enter the name of each product, including its brand. Separate each product name with a comma. If no products or supplies were provided by the organization, leave the column blank.

Data type: Text**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Name**Allowed values:** Text**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Product source
Data element name: Product source**Reporting question:** Which companies provided the supplies?

Description: Name of firm or company from which supplies were obtained.

Data type: Text**Select multiple values:** NA**Measurement unit:** Name**Allowed values:** Text**Logic:** Respond if text entered for 'Products supplied'**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Partner**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Marketing Activities

Commodity type

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Commodity type | Reporting question: What type of commodity is produced by the farmers enrolled in this project? |
| Description: List a single commodity produced or marketed through incentives from this project. If multiple commodities are produced by the project, use additional rows of the worksheet to report each commodity. Use the FSA commodity list in Appendix B and choose the commodity from the list. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: FSA commodity list |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Marketing channel type

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Marketing channel type | Reporting question: What type of marketing channel is used to sell this commodity? |
| Description: List a single type of marketing channel used to sell the commodity produced by farmers enrolled in the project. If a single commodity is marketed through multiple channels, use additional rows of the worksheet to report each combination of commodity and marketing channel. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter the other marketing channel type(s) as free text. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agricultural marketing board • Biorefinery • Commodity broker • Direct to consumer • Direct to institution • Direct to restaurant • Distributor (including grain elevators) • Food hub or cooperative • Food processor • Non-food byproducts processor • Retailer • USDA • Other (specify) |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Number of buyers

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Number of buyers | Reporting question: How many buyers are there in this marketing channel? |
| Description: List the number of individual firms or buyers in this marketing channel. | |
| Data type: Integer | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Count | Allowed values: 1-500 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Names of buyers

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Names of buyers | Reporting question: What are the names of all of the buyers in this marketing channel? |
| Description: Provide the names of all buyers in this marketing channel. Separate each name with a comma. | |
| Data type: Text | Select multiple values: NA |
| Measurement unit: Name | Allowed values: Text |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Marketing channel geography

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Marketing channel geography | Reporting question: What is the primary geography of the marketing channel? |
| Description: The primary geography of the type of marketing channel. Primary geography means the scale at which most of the activity of buying and selling happens. Local means within a single state or directly neighboring states. Regional means within a five-to-ten state area. National means across the United States. International means specific locations outside of the United States. Global means across the world or not to a specific international location. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local • Regional • National • Global |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Value sold

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Value sold | Reporting question: What is the value of the commodity sold in this marketing channel? |
| Description: The dollar value of the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter (non-cumulative). | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Dollars | Allowed values: \$1-\$100,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Volume sold

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Volume sold | Reporting question: What is the volume of the commodity sold in this marketing channel? |
| Description: The volume of the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter (non-cumulative). | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Number | Allowed values: 1-100,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Volume sold unit
Data element name: Volume sold unit**Reporting question:** What is the unit of volume?**Description:** The unit associated with the volume of the commodity sold in the marketing channel. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate unit as free text.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Bales (500 pounds)
- Bushels
- Carcass pounds
- Gallons
- Kilograms
- Linear board feet
- Liveweight pounds
- Metric tons
- Pounds
- Short tons
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Price premium
Data element name: Price premium**Reporting question:** What price premium is received for the commodity sold in this marketing channel?**Description:** The price premium received for the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter. Price premium is the amount received above a ‘business as usual’ price.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Dollars**Allowed values:** \$0.01-\$10,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Price premium unit
Data element name: Price premium unit**Reporting question:** What is the unit for the price premium?**Description:** The unit associated with the price premium for the commodity sold in the marketing channel. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate unit as free text.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Per bale (500 pounds)
- Per bushel
- Per carcass pound
- Per gallon
- Per kilogram
- Per linear board foot
- Per live pound
- Per metric ton
- Per ounce
- Per short ton
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Project**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Price premium to producer

Data element name: Price premium to producer

Reporting question: What percent of the price premium is provided to the producer for the commodity sold in this marketing channel?

Description: The percent of the price premium provided to the producer for the commodity sold in this marketing channel this quarter. Price premium is the amount received above a 'business as usual' price.

Data type: Decimal

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Percent

Allowed values: 0-100

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Project

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Product differentiation method

Data element name: Product differentiation method 1-3

Reporting question: What methods are used to differentiate climate-smart commodities in this marketing channel?

Description: Provide the methods used to differentiate the climate-smart commodity in this market channel. Product differentiation methods are ways to distinguish or differentiate the climate-smart commodity in the marketplace. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 product differentiation methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other product differentiation methods as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Certification/verification for internal insetting
- Farm certification
- Label or badge used on packaging or marketing
- Third party certification/verification
- Trademark
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Project

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Marketing method

Data element name: Marketing method 1-3

Reporting question: What methods are used to market climate-smart commodities in this marketing channel?

Description: Provide the method(s) used to market this commodity in this market channel. Marketing method is the way that potential buyers of the climate-smart commodity are engaged by the project partners as the sellers or facilitators of sale. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 marketing methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other marketing methods as free text

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Label or badge used on packaging or marketing materials
- Marketing partnership (e.g., promotion by buyer)
- Print marketing campaign
- Social media and digital marketing campaign
- Verbal marketing campaign (e.g., radio, word of mouth)
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Project

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Marketing channel identification method

Data element name: Marketing channel identification method 1-3

Reporting question: What methods are used to generate interest in climate-smart commodities in this marketing channel?

Description: Provide the marketing channel identification method(s) used for this commodity in this market channel. Market channel identification methods are the ways that producers and project partners generate interest in purchasing the climate-smart commodity. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 marketing channel identification methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other marketing channel identification methods as free text

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Educational tours for buyers
- In-person lead generation
- Negotiated contracts with buyers
- Partnership network or project partner
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Project

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Traceability method

Data element name: Traceability method 1-3

Reporting question: What traceability methods are used for climate-smart commodities in this channel?

Description: Provide the traceability method(s) used for the climate-smart commodity in this market channel. Traceability methods are ways to trace the climate-smart commodity or the climate-smart claims through the supply chain. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this project. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 traceability methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other traceability methods as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Barcode or unique ID
- Blockchain
- Book and claim
- Chain of custody
- Mass balance
- Recordkeeping
- Registry with certification
- Segregation
- Supply shed
- Volume proxy
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Project

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Producer Enrollment

Unique IDs

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| Farm ID | Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA |
| State or territory | State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data) |
| County of residence | County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data) |

Producer data change

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Producer data change | Reporting question: Is there new/updated information for a producer who is re-enrolling in the project? |
| Description: Indicates that there is new or updated information for a producer who had previously enrolled in the project and is re-enrolling. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Producer | Data collection frequency: Re-enrollment |

Producer start date

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Producer start date | Reporting question: When did the producer enroll in the project? |
| Description: Date that the producer enrolled in the project by signing their first contract. | |
| Data type: Date | Select multiple values: NA |
| Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY | Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Producer | Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment |

Producer name

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Producer name | Reporting question: What is the name of producer enrolled in the project? |
| Description: Name of the producer enrolled in the project; the name must match the name contained in the customer's Business Partner record and the Farm Operating Plan in FSA Business File for that Farm ID. | |
| Data type: Text | Select multiple values: NA |
| Measurement unit: NA | Allowed values: Text |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Producer | Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment |

Underserved status
Data element name: Underserved status**Reporting question:** Is this producer considered an underserved and/or a small producer?

Description: Underserved status of the primary operator of the enrolled operation. Underserved producers generally include beginning farmers, socially disadvantaged farmers, veteran farmers, and limited resource farmers; women farmers and producers growing specialty crops are generally also included in these categories. Small farms are generally those with less than \$350,000 in annual gross cash farm income. Indicate whether this producer is considered underserved, a small producer, or both underserved and a small producer. Use "I don't know" if the producer declines to answer. Departmental Regulation 4370-001 provides USDA's policies for collecting demographic data, including race, ethnicity and gender. Providing demographic information is voluntary and at the discretion of the customer. Demographic information is used by USDA for statistical purposes only and will not be used to determine an applicant's eligibility for programs or services for which they apply.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes, underserved
- Yes, small producer
- Yes, underserved and small producer
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** No**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

Total area
Data element name: Total area**Reporting question:** What is the total area of the farm?

Description: Total area of the farm associated with the Farm ID. Report total area of the farm, even if only a portion of the farm is enrolled in the project. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the total area each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Less than 1 acre
- 1 to 9 acres
- 10 to 49 acres
- 50 to 69 acres
- 70 to 99 acres
- 100 to 139 acres
- 140 to 179 acres
- 180 to 219 acres
- 220 to 259 acres
- 260 to 499 acres
- 500 to 999 acres
- 1,000 to 1,999 acres
- 2,000 to 4,999 acres
- 5,000 or more acres

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

Total crop area

Data element name: Total crop area **Reporting question:** What percent of the current operation is cropland?

Description: Area of the total farm that is currently used as cropland. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the total crop area each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: Integer

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Acres

Allowed values: 0-100,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

Total livestock area

Data element name: Total livestock area **Reporting question:** What amount of the current operation is used for livestock (by area)?

Description: Area of the total farm that is currently used for pasture, grazing, rangeland; or animal housing, feeding or milking. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the total livestock area each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: Integer

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Acres

Allowed values: 0-100,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

Total forest area

Data element name: Total forest area **Reporting question:** What amount of the current operation is forested (by area)?

Description: Area of the total farm that is currently considered forest land use. Forest land use means that at least 10% of the land area is covered in trees that will be at least 13 feet tall when mature. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the total forest area each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: Integer

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Acres

Allowed values: 0-100,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

Livestock type
Data element name: Livestock type 1-3

Reporting question: What types of livestock are raised on the farm?

Description: Up to top three types of livestock (by head count) on the farm. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 livestock types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other livestock types as free text. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the livestock type each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Alpacas
- Beef cows
- Beefalo
- Buffalo or bison
- Chickens (broilers)
- Chickens (layers)
- Dairy cows
- Deer
- Ducks
- Elk
- Emus
- Equine
- Geese
- Goats
- Honeybees
- Llamas
- Reindeer
- Sheep
- Swine
- Turkeys
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if 'Total livestock area' >0

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

Livestock head
Data element name: Livestock head 1-3

Reporting question: How many livestock (by type) are on this operation?

Description: Average annual head count for each type of livestock. Enter amounts for up to the top three livestock types by number. The worksheet provides three columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 livestock types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the average annual head count each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: Integer

Select multiple values: NA

Measurement unit: Head count

Allowed values: 1-10,000,000

Logic: Respond if 'Total livestock area' >0

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

Organic farm
Data element name: Organic farm**Reporting question:** Is any part of the farm currently USDA-certified organic or transitioning to USDA-certified organic?

Description: USDA-certified organic means that the farm has been certified by an accredited organic certifying agent or is transitioning to USDA-certified organic by not using any of the prohibited substances. Yes means that some or all of the farm is certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. No means that no part of the farm is certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the organic certification status of the farm each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** No**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

Organic fields
Data element name: Organic fields**Reporting question:** Are any of the fields enrolled in the project currently USDA-certified organic or transitioning to USDA-certified organic?

Description: USDA-certified organic means that the operation has been certified by an accredited organic certifying agent or is transitioning to USDA-certified organic by not using any of the prohibited substances. Yes means that some or all of the fields enrolled in the project are certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. No means that no part of the fields enrolled in the project are certified organic or transitioning to certified organic. If a producer is enrolled in the project for multiple years, review the organic certification status of the enrolled fields each time a new contract is signed and provide any necessary updates.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Organic operation'**Required:** No**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment and subsequent enrollment(s), if applicable

Producer motivation
Data element name: Producer motivation**Reporting question:** Which of the following was the primary reason the producer enrolled in this project?**Description:** Primary operator's motivation for enrolling in the project.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Financial benefit
- Environmental benefit
- New market opportunity
- Partnerships or networks
- Other

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

Producer outreach

Data element name: Producer outreach 1-3 **Reporting question:** What types of outreach were provided to producers?

Description: Up to three most common types of outreach provided to producer prior to enrollment. Outreach activities are those focused on identifying and enrolling producers in the project. Outreach can come from the recipient or project partners. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 outreach types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other outreach types as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: Yes

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Commodity organizations
- Conferences
- Cooperative extension
- Digital communications and resources
- Education workshops, field days, and town halls
- Existing partner networks
- Farm visits and one-on-one meetings
- General advertising
- Peer referrals and producer groups
- Phone calls
- Print communications and resources
- Retailers
- State agencies
- Targeted messaging using proprietary data
- Technical service providers
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

CSAF experience

Data element name: CSAF experience **Reporting question:** Has the primary operator implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years anywhere on the farm?

Description: Has this farm implemented climate-smart agriculture or forestry (CSAF) practices anywhere on the farm in the past 10 years or since the current primary operator took control (whichever time period is shorter)? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

CSAF federal funds

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>Data element name: CSAF federal funds</p> <p>Description: If this farm (under the primary operator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was implementation supported by federal funds? Federal funds are defined as being from programs including, but not limited to, those from the Natural Resources Conservation Service ((NRCS), including through Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP), Conservation Stewardship Program (CSP), Regional Conservation Partnership Program (RCP), or related programs), the Farm Service Agency Conservation Reserve Program (CRP), as well as funds from other USDA programs or other federal agencies.</p> <p>Data type: List</p> <p>Measurement unit: Category</p> <p>Logic: Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'</p> <p>Data collection level: Producer</p> | <p>Reporting question: Were prior CSAF practices supported by federal funds?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • I don't know <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment</p> |
|---|--|

CSAF state or local funds

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Data element name: CSAF state or local funds</p> <p>Description: If this farm (under the primary operator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was implementation supported by state funds? State or local funds are those from state departments of agriculture or other state agencies, local water quality districts and other local agencies.</p> <p>Data type: List</p> <p>Measurement unit: Category</p> <p>Logic: Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'</p> <p>Data collection level: Producer</p> | <p>Reporting question: Were prior CSAF practices supported by state or local funds?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • I don't know <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment</p> |
|--|---|

CSAF nonprofit funds

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>Data element name: CSAF nonprofit funds</p> <p>Description: If this farm (under the primary operator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was implementation supported by nonprofit funds? Nonprofit funds are those offered directly from a nonprofit organization to a producer.</p> <p>Data type: List</p> <p>Measurement unit: Category</p> <p>Logic: Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'</p> <p>Data collection level: Producer</p> | <p>Reporting question: Were CSAF practices supported by nonprofit funds?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • I don't know <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment</p> |
|---|--|

CSAF market incentives

Data element name: CSAF market incentives **Reporting question:** Were CSAF practices supported by market incentives?

Description: If this farm (under the primary operator) has implemented CSAF practices in the last ten years, was implementation supported by market incentives? Market incentives include premiums paid by a commodity buyer or by a consumer based on branding or labeling as a climate-smart commodity.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: Respond if yes to 'CSAF experience'

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

Field Enrollment

Unique IDs

| | |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Farm ID | Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA |
| Tract ID | Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA |
| Field ID | Unique Field ID assigned by FSA |
| State or territory of field | State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data) |
| County of field | County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data) |
| Prior Field ID, if applicable | Prior Field ID assigned by FSA if there has been reconstitution of the farm resulting in a new Field ID during the field's enrollment in the project |

Field data change

Data element name: Field data change

Reporting question: Has the information previously reported for this field changed?

Description: Indicator that this entry is being used to report any relevant changes, such as a new Field ID number or changes to the commodity or practice combinations, for a field that has previously been enrolled in the project.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Yes
- No

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Re-enrollment

Contract start date

Data element name: Contract start date

Reporting question: What is the start date of the contract with the producer that includes this field?

Description: Start date listed on the contract that enrolls the field in the project.

Data type: Date

Select multiple values: NA

Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY

Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

Total field area

Data element name: Total field area

Reporting question: What is the total size of the enrolled field?

Description: Total size of the field enrolled with the project.

Data type: Decimal

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Acres

Allowed values: .01-500

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

Commodity category

Data element name: Commodity category**Reporting question:** What category of commodity(ies) is (are) produced from this field?**Description:** Category of commodity(ies) produced in field enrolled in the project**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Crops
- Livestock
- Trees
- Crops and livestock
- Crops and trees
- Livestock and trees
- Crops, livestock and trees

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

Commodity type

Data element name: Commodity type**Reporting question:** What type of commodity is produced from this field?**Description:** Type of commodity produced in field enrolled in the project. See full list in Appendix B. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose the appropriate value. Enter additional commodities in subsequent rows.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:** FSA commodity list**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

Baseline yield

Data element name: Baseline yield**Reporting question:** What is the baseline yield of this field?**Description:** Average annual yield of commodity in 3 years prior to enrollment. Provide yield for the enrolled field if possible. If not at field level, provide average annual yield for the specific commodity for the operation.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Production per acre or animal**Allowed values:** .01-100,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

Baseline yield unit

Data element name: Baseline yield unit**Reporting question:** Baseline yield unit

Description: Unit of average annual yield of commodity in enrolled field in 3 years prior to enrollment. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of choices for this data element. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate yield unit as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Animal units per acre
- Bushels per acre
- Carcass pounds per animal
- Head per acre
- Hundred-weights (or pounds) per head
- Linear feet per acre
- Liveweight pounds per animal
- Pounds per acre
- Tons per acre
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

Baseline yield location

Data element name: Baseline yield location**Reporting question:** For what portion of the operation is the baseline yield being reported?

Description: Location of the reported average annual yield of commodity in 3 years prior to enrollment. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate location as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Enrolled field
- Whole operation
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

Field land use

Data element name: Field land use**Reporting question:** What is this field’s land use history?

Description: Prior to enrollment, what was the most common land use for this field in the past 3 years?

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Crop land
- Forest land
- Non-agriculture
- Other agricultural land
- Pasture
- Range

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

Field irrigated

Data element name: Field irrigated**Reporting question:** What is this field's irrigation history?**Description:** Prior to enrollment, what was the most common irrigation practice on this field the past 3 years?**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- No irrigation
- Center pivot
- Drip-subsurface
- Drip-surface
- Flood/border
- Furrow/ditch
- Lateral/linear sprinklers
- Micro-sprinklers
- Seepage
- Side roll
- Solid set sprinklers
- Supplemental
- Surface
- Traveling gun/towline
- Wheel Line
- Other

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

Field tillage

Data element name: Field tillage**Reporting question:** What is this field's tillage history?**Description:** Prior to enrollment, what was the most common tillage approach during the past 3 years?**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- None
- Conventional, inversion
- Conventional, vertical
- No-till, direct seed
- Reduced till, inversion
- Reduced till, vertical
- Strip till
- Other

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Initial enrollment

Practice past extent - farm

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>Data element name: Practice past extent - farm</p> <p>Description: Prior to enrollment, on what portion of the whole farm had this (these) CSAF practice(s) ever been used by the primary operator? If multiple practices are planned to be implemented in this field, enter the value that best corresponds to the farm's prior experience with the planned set of practices.</p> <p>Data type: List</p> <p>Measurement unit: Category</p> <p>Logic: None – all respond</p> <p>Data collection level: Field</p> | <p>Reporting question: What percent of the farm has implemented this CSAF practice (combination) previously?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Never used • Used on less than 25% of operation • Used on 25-50% of operation • Used on 51-75% of operation • Used on more than 75% of operation <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment</p> |
|---|---|

Field any CSAF practice

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Data element name: Field any CSAF practice</p> <p>Description: Prior to enrollment, have any CSAF practice or practices been used in this field in the past 3 years? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A.</p> <p>Data type: List</p> <p>Measurement unit: Category</p> <p>Logic: None – all respond</p> <p>Data collection level: Field</p> | <p>Reporting question: What is this field's prior experience with CSAF practices?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • I don't know <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment</p> |
|--|---|

Practice past use - this field

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Data element name: Practice past use - this field</p> <p>Description: Prior to enrollment, had this (these) CSAF practice(s) been used in this field in the in the past 3 years? Enter yes if all of the practices had been used previously in this field; enter some if multiple practices are being implemented and one or more, but not all of the practices had been used previously in this field; and enter no if none of the practices had been used previously in this field.</p> <p>Data type: List</p> <p>Measurement unit: Category</p> <p>Logic: None – all respond</p> <p>Data collection level: Field</p> | <p>Reporting question: Have this CSAF practice (combination) been implemented previously in this field?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • Some • No • I don't know <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment</p> |
|--|---|

Practice type

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Practice type 1-7 | Reporting question: What CSAF practice is being implemented in this field through the project? |
| Description: Which CSAF practice or practices will be implemented on this field as part of enrollment in the project? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A. The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: See list in Appendix A |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment |

Practice standard

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Practice standard 1-7 | Reporting question: What standard does the CSAF practice follow? |
| Description: Is the CSAF practice being implemented on the field as part of enrollment in the project following a defined practice standard? The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column, corresponding to the practice types entered in the previous columns. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NRCS • Other (specify) |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment |

Planned practice implementation year

| | |
|--|--|
| Data element name: Practice 1-7 implementation year | Reporting question: What year is the CSAF practice planned to be implemented? |
| Description: Year that the CSAF practice is planned to be implemented on the field. Use 2022 for early adopters, defined as fields that have the practice actively implemented in 2022 (prior to contract being signed for this project). The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column, corresponding to the practice types entered in the previous columns. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank. | |
| Data type: Integer | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Year | Allowed values: 2022-2030 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment |

Practice extent

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Practice 1-7 extent | Reporting question: To what extent is the practice implemented? |
| Description: Total area, length, or head where the practice is being implemented in the field specified by the contract. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Extent | Allowed values: .01-100,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment |

Practice extent unit

Data element name: Practice 1-7 extent unit **Reporting question:** Unit for extent of practice implementation extent unit

Description: Unit for extent of practice implementation on the field specified by the contract. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate unit.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Acres
- Head of livestock
- Linear feet
- Square feet
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Initial enrollment

CSAF Practice Sub-questions

For certain practices, additional questions are asked that provide information necessary to estimate greenhouse gas benefits from implementation of the practice. See Table 11 in the *CSAF Practice Sub-questions* section for descriptions of individual questions to be answered depending on the CSAF practices selected.


Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients
 February 2023
Farm Summary**Unique IDs**

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| Farm ID | Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA |
| State or territory | State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data) |
| County of residence | County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data) |

Producer TA received

Data element name: Producer TA received 1-3
Reporting question: What types of technical assistance were provided to this producer?

Description: Did the recipient or any partner provide technical assistance (TA) to the producer this year? Technical assistance is any training, education, capacity building or other support provided by any project partner(s) directly to producers enrolled in the project. List up to the top three most common types of TA provided to this producer. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 3 TA types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other TA types as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Demonstration plots
- Equipment demonstrations
- Group field days or in-person field workshops
- Hotline
- One-on-one enrollment assistance
- One-on-one field visits
- One-on-one producer mentorship
- Producer networks and peer-to-peer groups
- Retailer consultation
- Social media/digital tools
- Train-the-trainer opportunities
- Virtual meetings or field days
- Webinars and videos
- Written materials
- None
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Producer incentive amount

Data element name: Producer incentive amount
Reporting question: What is the total value of financial incentives provided to this producer?

Description: Total incentive payment received by the producer from USDA project funds for the year (non-cumulative). Do not include incentive payments made with partner match funds.

Data type: Decimal

Select multiple values: NA

Measurement unit: Dollars

Allowed values: \$0-\$5,000,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Incentive reason

Data element name: Incentive reason 1-4 **Reporting question:** Why were incentives provided to this producer?

Description: List up to four reasons for producer incentive payments. List the top 4 based on total value of the incentive for each reason. The worksheet provides four columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 4 reasons, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other reasons as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Avoided conversion
- Conference or training attendance
- Demographics/equity payment
- Enrollment
- Foregone revenue
- Historic data collection
- Identity preservation (supply chain tracing)
- Implementation of practices
- MMRV (e.g., data collection, reporting)
- Passing audit
- Price premium on output
- Yield change
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Incentive structure

Data element name: Incentive structure 1-4 **Reporting question:** What are the units for the financial incentives provided to this producer?

Description: List the structures (units) corresponding to the top 4 (by dollar value) incentive payments to producers. Production unit is weight or volume (bushel, kilogram, ton). The worksheet provides four columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 4 structure types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other structure types as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Flat rate
- Per animal head
- Per area
- Per length
- Per production unit
- Per ton GHG
- Per tree
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Producer

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Incentive type

Data element name: Incentive type 1-4**Reporting question:** What type of incentives were provided to each producer?

Description: List the top 4 types of incentive payments to producers (based on dollar value). The worksheet provides four columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If there are fewer than 4 incentive types, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other incentive types as free text.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Cash payment
- Equipment loan
- Guaranteed commodity premium payment
- Inputs and supplies
- Land rental
- Loan
- Paid labor
- Post-harvest transportation
- Tuition or fees for training
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Payment on enrollment

Data element name: Payment on enrollment**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon enrollment in the project?

Description: Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon enrollment/signing a contract, and not related to any implementation, MMRV or sales activities. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon enrollment. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon enrollment. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon enrollment.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Full payment
- Partial payment
- No payment

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Payment on implementation

Data element name: Payment on implementation**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon implementation of the practices?

Description: Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon implementing the practices included in the contract. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon implementation. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon implementation. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon implementation.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Full payment
- Partial payment
- No payment

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Payment on harvest
Data element name: Payment on harvest**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon harvest of the commodity?

Description: Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon harvesting or slaughtering the commodity included in the contract. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon harvest. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon harvest. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon harvest.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Full payment
- Partial payment
- No payment

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Payment on MMRV
Data element name: Payment on MMRV**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to the producer upon completing MMRV requirements?

Description: Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon completing the annual MMRV requirements included in the contract. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon MMRV being complete. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon MMRV being complete.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Full payment
- Partial payment
- No payment

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Payment on sale
Data element name: Payment on sale**Reporting question:** What portion of the financial incentive is provided to producer upon sale of the commodity?

Description: Any incentive payment provided to the producer upon sale of the commodity included in the contract. Full payment means the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon sale. Partial payment means that only part of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon sale. No payment means that none of the full incentive amount for any contract held by the producer is paid upon sale.

Data type: List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Full payment
- Partial payment
- No payment

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Producer**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Field Summary**Unique IDs**

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Farm ID | Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA |
| Tract ID | Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA |
| Field ID | Unique Field ID assigned by FSA |
| State or territory of field | State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data) |
| County of field | County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data) |

Commodity type

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Commodity type | Reporting question: What type of commodity is produced from this field? |
| Description: Type of commodity produced in field enrolled in the project. See full list in Appendix B. The worksheet provides multiple columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. Leave unnecessary columns blank. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: FSA commodity list |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Practice type

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Field practice type 1-7 | Reporting question: What CSAF practice is being implemented in this field through the project? |
| Description: Which climate-smart agriculture or forestry (CSAF) practice or practices are being implemented in this project? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A. The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: See list in Appendix A |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Date practice complete

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Date practice complete | Reporting question: When did the project certify CSAF practice implementation as complete? |
| Description: Date that the project certifies that implementation of the CSAF practice is complete on the field. Use January of the year prior to contract year for early adopters, defined as fields that have the practice actively implemented in the year prior to a contract associated with this project is signed). The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column, corresponding to the practice types entered in the previous columns. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented on this field through enrollment in the project, leave unnecessary columns blank. | |
| Data type: Date | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY | Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Contract end date

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Contract end date | Reporting question: Contract end date |
| Description: End date listed on the contract that enrolls the field in the project. If contract end date changes, submit updated end date during the next quarter's reporting. | |
| Data type: Date | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY | Allowed values: 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

MMRV assistance provided

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: MMRV assistance provided | Reporting question: Was MMRV assistance provided? |
| Description: Was any MMRV assistance provided to the primary operator for this field? MMRV assistance includes in-field support for the use of technologies, consultation on data collection and input, and other support related to MMRV. MMRV is defined a measurement (calculations or estimations of GHG emissions), monitoring (ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time), reporting (documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization), and verification (independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable). | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • I don't know |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Marketing assistance provided

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Marketing assistance provided | Reporting question: Was marketing assistance provided? |
| Description: Was any marketing assistance provided to the primary operator for the commodity(ies) produced from this field? Marketing assistance includes guaranteeing the sale of the commodity(ies), providing a platform for the sale of the commodity(ies), providing a label, branding, or other support related to marketing. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • I don't know |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Incentive per acre or head

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Incentive per acre or head | Reporting question: Is this field receiving a per-acre or per-head incentive? |
| Description: Is this field receiving an incentive payment to implement a specific CSAF practice or set of practices on a per-acre or per-head (livestock) basis? | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • I don't know |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Field commodity value

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Field commodity value | Reporting question: What is the value of the commodity produced on the enrolled field? |
| Description: The dollar value of the commodity produced on the enrolled field. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Dollars | Allowed values: \$1-\$10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Field commodity volume

| | |
|--|--|
| Data element name: Field commodity volume | Reporting question: What is the volume of commodity produced on the enrolled field? |
| Description: The volume of the commodity produced on the enrolled field | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Number | Allowed values: 1-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Field commodity volume unit

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Field commodity volume unit | Reporting question: What is the unit of volume? |
| Description: The unit associated with the volume of the commodity produced on the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value in the additional column. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bushels • Carcass weight pounds • Gallons • Head • Linear feet • Liveweight pounds • Pounds • Tons • Other (specify) |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Cost of implementation

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Cost of implementation | Reporting question: What is the cost of practice implementation in the field? |
| Description: Total annual estimated cost per unit of implementing the practice(s) in the enrolled field. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Dollars | Allowed values: \$1-\$10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Cost unit**Data element name:** Cost unit**Reporting question:** What is the unit for cost?**Description:** The unit associated with the cost of implementing CSAF practices in the field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Per acre
- Per bushel
- Per head
- Per linear foot
- Per pound
- Per ton
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Cost coverage****Data element name:** Cost coverage**Reporting question:** What percent of the practice cost is covered by the incentive?**Description:** Estimated proportion of total annual cost of implementing the practice(s) that is covered by project incentives.**Data type:** Integer**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Percent**Allowed values:** 0-100**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly**Field GHG monitoring****Data element name:** Field GHG monitoring 1-3**Reporting question:** How were GHG impacts monitored in this field?**Description:** Up to the top three forms of monitoring GHG benefits as part of MMRV requirements. Monitoring is defined as ongoing review and confirmation that the climate-smart practice has been implemented according to the agreed upon standard and documentation of any changes in the site, implementation, or GHG emissions impacts over time. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this field. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 GHG monitoring methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If "other" is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG monitoring methods as free text.**Data type:** List**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Category**Allowed values:**

- Drones
- Ground-level photos and videos
- On-farm inspection
- Plot-based sampling (e.g., soil, water)
- Producer records or attestation
- Satellite monitoring or remote sensing
- Soil metagenomics
- Soil sensors
- Water sensors
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond**Required:** Yes**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Quarterly

Field GHG reporting

Data element name: Field GHG reporting 1-3 **Reporting question:** How were GHG benefits reported for this field?

Description: Up to the top three forms of reporting on GHG benefits as part of MMRV requirements. Reporting is defined as documenting and sharing monitoring and measurement results with project partners, the recipient, and any third-party verification organization. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this field. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 GHG reporting methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG reporting methods as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Automated devices
- Email
- Mobile app
- Paper
- Third-party actors
- Website
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Field GHG verification

Data element name: Field GHG verification 1-3 **Reporting question:** How was implementation of practices to reduce GHG emissions verified for this field?

Description: Up to the top three of verification of GHG benefits as part of MMRV requirements. Verification is defined as independent confirmation that measurement, monitoring and reporting information are complete, accurate and reliable. Include up to 3 methods, based on which methods are most commonly used for this field. The worksheet provides three columns with a drop-down list of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. If fewer than 3 GHG verification methods are used, leave unnecessary columns blank. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter other GHG verification methods as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Artificial intelligence
- Computer modeling
- Recipient audit
- Photos
- Record audit
- Satellite imagery
- Site or field visit
- Third-party audit
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Field GHG calculations

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Field GHG calculations | Reporting question: What methods are used to calculate GHG benefits in this field? |
| Description: List the method(s) used to calculate GHG benefits in this field. If yes to direct physical measurements, submit result reports (see <i>Supplemental Data Submission – Field direct GHG measurement results</i>). | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Models • Direct field measurements • Both |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Field official GHG calculation

| | |
|--|--|
| Data element name: Field official GHG calculation | Reporting question: What method was used to calculate the official GHG benefits in this field? |
| Description: List the method used to calculate the official GHG benefits in this field that are reported as part of the project's aggregate impact. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Models • Direct field measurements |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Field official GHG ER

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Field official GHG emission reductions | Reporting question: What are the estimated total GHG emission reductions (CO ₂ eq) in this field? |
| Description: Estimated greenhouse gas emission reductions from practice implementation in this field that are reported as part of the project's aggregate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂ eq | Allowed values: 0-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Field official carbon stock

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Field official carbon stock | Reporting question: How much carbon has been sequestered in this field? |
| Description: Estimated total change in carbon stock based on practice implementation in this field. This data element can be reported in any quarter and is cumulative for the year. Conversion rate is one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO ₂ eq. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂ eq | Allowed values: 0-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Field official CO2 ER

| | |
|--|--|
| Data element name: Field official CO2 emission reductions | Reporting question: What are the estimated total CO2 emission reductions in this field? |
| Description: Estimated total carbon dioxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in this field that are reported as part of the project's aggregate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂ | Allowed values: 0-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Field official CH4 ER

| | |
|--|--|
| Data element name: Field official CH4 emission reductions | Reporting question: What are the estimated total CH4 emission reductions in this field? |
| Description: Estimated total methane emission reductions based on practice implementation in this field that are reported as part of the project's aggregate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate. Conversion rate is one ton of CH ₄ = 25 tons of CO ₂ eq. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Metric tons CH4 reduced in CO ₂ eq | Allowed values: 0-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Field official N2O ER

| | |
|--|--|
| Data element name: Field official N2O emission reductions | Reporting question: What are the estimated total N2O emission reductions in this field? |
| Description: Estimated total nitrous oxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in this field that are reported as part of the project's aggregate impact. This data element must be entered upon practice completion or annually, as appropriate. Conversion rate is one ton of N ₂ O = 298 tons of CO ₂ eq. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Metric tons N2O reduced in CO ₂ eq | Allowed values: 0-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Field offsets produced

| | |
|--|--|
| Data element name: Field offsets produced | Reporting question: How many carbon offsets have been produced in this field? |
| Description: Total carbon offsets produced in the field during the quarter (not cumulative). Offsets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and sold into the carbon marketplace. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂ eq | Allowed values: 0-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Quarterly |

Field insets produced

Data element name: Field insets produced **Reporting question:** How many carbon insets have been produced in this field?

Description: Total carbon insets produced in the field during the quarter (not cumulative). Insets are defined as having been verified and certified using an accepted standard and accounted for within Scope 3 emissions for a firm.

Data type: Decimal

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Metric tons CO₂eq

Allowed values: 0-10,000,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

Other field measurement

Data element name: Other field measurement **Reporting question:** Were data collected from the field for reasons other than GHG benefit estimation?

Description: Direct physical measurements or data collection taken in the field for any reason other than GHG benefits estimation. These reasons could include calibration of GHG estimation tools or models, tracking other environmental benefits (see Field environmental benefits report), and other reasons. If yes, submit corresponding reports (see *Supplemental data submission - Field direct measurement results*).

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Logic: None – all respond

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Quarterly

GHG Benefits - Alternate Modeled**Unique IDs**

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Farm ID | Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA |
| Tract ID | Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA |
| Field ID | Unique Field ID assigned by FSA |
| State or territory of field | State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data) |
| County of field | County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data) |

Commodity type

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Commodity type 1-6 | Reporting question: What type of commodity(ies) is produced from this field? |
| Description: Type of commodity(ies) produced in field enrolled in the project. See full list of commodity options in Appendix B. The worksheet provides multiple columns with drop-down lists of the allowed values. Choose one value for each column. Leave unnecessary columns blank | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: FSA commodity list |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Practice type

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Practice type 1-7 | Reporting question: What CSAF practice is being implemented by this project? |
| Description: Which CSAF practice or practices are being implemented in this project? CSAF practices are included in a list in Appendix A. The worksheet provides seven columns for this data element. Enter one value for each column. If there are fewer than 7 practices being implemented by the project, leave unnecessary columns blank. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: See list in Appendix A |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

GHG model

Data element name: GHG model **Reporting question:** What model was used for alternate calculation of GHG benefits?

Description: Select the model used for the alternate calculation of the field's GHG benefits.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- ACC Calculator
- Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU) Carbon Calculator
- AIRES
- APEX
- Bowen Ratio Energy Balance
- Carat-Calculator
- CArPE
- CDFA web-based calculator
- COMET-Farm
- COMET-Planner
- CoolFarm
- Cover Crop Explore
- CropTrak
- CultivateAI's FMIS
- DayCent-CR
- DNDC
- DSSAT
- Earth Optics
- EcoPractices
- EPIC
- Extrapolation based on literature
- FieldPrint
- Granular
- GREET
- gTIR
- IFSM
- IPCC default emissions factors & models
- itree
- Nitrogen Balance
- Nutrient Tracking Tool (NTT)
- RCD Project Tracker
- Revised Universal Soil Loss equation 2 (RUSLE2)
- RuFaS
- SAFE-Link
- SALUS (CIBO)
- SNAPGRAZE
- SquareRoots
- SWAT-C
- SYMFONI
- Truterra Sustainability Tool
- Verra
- WEPP
- YardStick
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

Model start date

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Model start date | Reporting question: For what time period are the GHG benefits modeled (model start date)? |
| Description: Date that the model parameters begin. | |
| Data type: Date | Select multiple values: NA |
| Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY | Allowed values: 01/01/1950 – 12/31/2030 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Model end date

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Model end date | Reporting question: For what time period are the GHG benefits modeled (model end date)? |
| Description: Date that the model parameters end. | |
| Data type: Date | Select multiple values: NA |
| Measurement unit: MM/DD/YYYY | Allowed values: 01/01/2023– 12/31/2030 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Total GHG benefits estimated

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Total GHG benefits estimated | Reporting question: What is the alternate estimate of the field's total GHG emission reductions? |
| Description: Total greenhouse gas emission reductions from practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate model. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂ eq | Allowed values: 0-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Total carbon stock estimated

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Total carbon stock estimated | Reporting question: What is the alternate estimate of how much carbon has the field has sequestered? |
| Description: Total change in carbon stock based on practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate model. Conversion rate is one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO ₂ eq. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂ eq | Allowed values: 0-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Total CO2 estimated

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Total CO2 estimated | Reporting question: What is the alternate estimate of the field's total CO2 emission reductions? |
| Description: Total carbon dioxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate model. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Metric tons CO ₂ | Allowed values: 0-10,000,000 |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Total CH4 estimated

Data element name: Total CH4 estimated

Reporting question: What is the alternate estimate of the field's total CH4 emission reductions?

Description: Total methane emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate model. Conversion rate is one ton of CH₄ = 25 tons of CO₂eq.

Data type: Decimal

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Metric tons CH4 reduced in CO₂eq

Allowed values: 0-10,000,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

Total field N2O estimated

Data element name: Total N2O estimated

Reporting question: What is the alternate estimate of the field's total N2O emission reductions?

Description: Total nitrous oxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field estimated using an alternate method. Conversion rate is one ton of N₂O = 298 tons of CO₂eq.

Data type: Decimal

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Metric tons N2O reduced in CO₂eq

Allowed values: 0-10,000,000

Logic: None – all respond

Required: If project calculates GHG benefits using multiple methods

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

GHG Benefits - Measured**Unique IDs**

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Farm ID | Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA |
| Tract ID | Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA |
| Field ID | Unique Field ID assigned by FSA |
| State or territory of field | State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data) |
| County of field | County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data) |

GHG measurement method**Data element name:** GHG measurement method**Reporting question:** What measurement method is used to calculate GHG benefits?**Description:** Field-based measurement method used to calculate GHG benefits. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.**Data type:** List**Measurement unit:** Category**Select multiple values:** No**Allowed values:**

- Emissions measurement unit
- Flux towers
- Litterbags
- Plant measurements
- Portable emissions analyzers
- Soil flux chambers
- Soil samples
- Soil sensors
- Vehicle-mounted sensors
- Other (specify)

Required: If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Logic:** None – all respond**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:**
Annual**Lab name****Data element name:** Lab name**Reporting question:** What is the name of the lab that processed the measurement samples?**Description:** Name of entity that received data and conducted analysis of samples.**Data type:** Text**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** NA**Allowed values:** Free text**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If applicable**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual

Measurement start date**Data element name:** Measurement start date**Reporting question:** On what date did the measurement start?**Description:** Date that the measurements began. If it was a single point in time, use the same date for start date and end date. If multiple measurements took place over a time period, use the date that the measurements first began.**Data type:** Date**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023 – 12/31/2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Measurement end date****Data element name:** Measurement end date**Reporting question:** On what date did the measurement end?**Description:** Date that the measurements began. If it was a single point in time, use the same date for start date and end date. If multiple measurements took place over a time period, use the date that the measurements were completed.**Data type:** Date**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** MM/DD/YYYY**Allowed values:** 01/01/2023– 12/31/2030**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Total CO2 reduction calculated****Data element name:** Total CO2 reduction calculated**Reporting question:** What are the total measured CO2 emission reductions?**Description:** Total annual CO2 emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field calculated from in-field measurements.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual**Total field carbon stock measured****Data element name:** Total field carbon stock measured**Reporting question:** What is the total amount of carbon sequestered based on repeat measurements in this field?**Description:** Change in carbon stock based on practice implementation in the field calculated from repeat soil sampling in this field. (Results for initial field soil samples should be reported in the 'Soil sample result' and 'Measurement type' columns.) Conversion rate is one ton of carbon = 3.67 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual

Total CH4 reduction calculated
Data element name: Total CH4 reduction calculated**Reporting question:** What are the total measured CH4 emission reductions?**Description:** Total annual methane emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field calculated from in-field measurements. Conversion rate is one ton of CH₄ = 25 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons CH4 reduced in CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual

Total N2O reduction calculated
Data element name: Total N2O reduction calculated**Reporting question:** What are the total measured N2O emission reductions?**Description:** Total annual nitrous oxide emission reductions based on practice implementation in the field calculated from in-field measurements. Conversion rate is one ton of N₂O = 298 tons of CO₂eq.**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Metric tons N2O reduced in CO₂eq**Allowed values:** 0-10,000,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples or takes carbon stock or greenhouse gas emission measurements in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual

Soil sample result
Data element name: Soil sample result**Reporting question:** What is the numeric result from this soil sample?**Description:** Results of measurement(s) taken to determine the carbon stock of a soil (the tons of carbon found in a specified volume of soil).**Data type:** Decimal**Select multiple values:** No**Measurement unit:** Amount**Allowed values:** .00001-100,000**Logic:** None – all respond**Required:** If a project conducts soil samples in this field**Data collection level:** Field**Data collection frequency:** Annual

Soil sample result unit

Data element name: Soil sample result unit **Reporting question:** What is unit for the soil sample result?

Description: Unit for the corresponding soil sample result. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of choices for this data element. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate yield unit as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Percent
- Ppm
- Grams
- Grams per cubic centimeter
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: If a project conducts soil samples in this field

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

Measurement type

Data element name: Measurement type

Reporting question: What type of analysis was conducted for this soil sample?

Description: Type of soil analysis conducted. The worksheet provides a drop-down list of choices for this data element. If “other” is chosen, use the additional column to enter the appropriate yield unit as free text.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Organic matter
- Total organic carbon
- Bulk density
- Other (specify)

Logic: None – all respond

Required: If a project conducts soil samples in this field

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

Additional Environmental Benefits

Unique IDs

| | |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Farm ID | Unique Farm ID assigned by FSA |
| Tract ID | Unique Tract ID assigned by FSA |
| Field ID | Unique Field ID assigned by FSA |
| State or territory of field | State name (must match FSA farm enrollment data) |
| County of field | County name (must match FSA farm enrollment data) |

Environmental benefits

| | |
|--|--|
| Data element name: Environmental benefits | Reporting question: Are environmental benefits other than GHGs being tracked in the field? |
| Description: Tracking of environmental benefits other than greenhouse gas emission reductions and carbon sequestration in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • I don't know |
| Logic: None – all respond | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Reduction in nitrogen loss

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Reduction in nitrogen loss | Reporting question: Are reductions in nitrogen losses being tracked in the field? |
| Description: Tracking reductions in nitrogen losses in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • I don't know |
| Logic: Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits' | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Reduction in nitrogen loss amount

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Reduction in nitrogen loss amount | Reporting question: How much reduction in nitrogen losses have been measured in the field? |
| Description: Total amount of reduction in nitrogen losses that is measured and reported in the enrolled field. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Amount | Allowed values: 0-1,000,000 |
| Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduction in nitrogen loss' | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Reduction in nitrogen loss amount unit

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Reduction in nitrogen loss amount unit | Reporting question: What is the unit for how much reduction in nitrogen losses have been measured in the field? |
| Description: Unit for the total amount of reduction in nitrogen losses that is measured and reported in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kilograms • Metric tons • Pounds • Other (specify) |
| Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduction in nitrogen loss' | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Reduction in nitrogen loss purpose

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Reduction in nitrogen loss purpose | Reporting question: What is the purpose of tracking reduction in nitrogen losses? |
| Description: Purpose of tracking reduction in nitrogen losses in the enrolled field. If "other" is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commodity marketing • Producing insets • Producing offsets • I don't know • Other (specify) |
| Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduction in nitrogen loss' | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Project | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Reduction in phosphorus loss

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Reduction in phosphorus loss | Reporting question: Are reductions in phosphorus losses being tracked in the field? |
| Description: Tracking of reductions in phosphorus losses in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • I don't know |
| Logic: Respond if yes to 'Environmental benefits' | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Reduction in phosphorus loss amount

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Reduction in phosphorus loss amount | Reporting question: How much reduction in phosphorus losses have been measured in the field? |
| Description: Total amount of reduction in phosphorus losses that is measured in the field. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Amount | Allowed values: 0-1,000,000 |
| Logic: Respond if yes to 'Reduction in phosphorus loss' | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Reduction in phosphorus loss amount unit

Data element name: Reduction in phosphorus loss amount unit

Reporting question: What is the unit for the reduction in phosphorus losses measured in the field?

Description: Unit for the total amount of reduction in phosphorus losses that is measured in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Kilograms
- Metric tons
- Pounds
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Reduction in phosphorus loss’

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

Reduction in phosphorus loss purpose

Data element name: Reduction in phosphorus loss purpose

Reporting question: What is the purpose of tracking reductions in phosphorus losses?

Description: Purpose of tracking reduction in phosphorus losses in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don’t know
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Reduction in phosphorus loss’

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

Other water quality

Data element name: Other water quality

Reporting question: Are other water quality metrics being tracked in the field?

Description: Project tracking of other water quality metrics in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Yes
- No
- I don’t know

Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Environmental benefits’

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

Other water quality type

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>Data element name: Other water quality type</p> <p>Description: Type of other water quality metric (besides nitrogen loss and phosphorus loss reductions) that is measured in the field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.</p> <p>Data type: List</p> <p>Measurement unit: Category</p> <p>Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Other water quality’</p> <p>Data collection level: Field</p> | <p>Reporting question: What type of other water quality metric have been measured in the field?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sediment load reduction • Temperature • Other (specify) <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Annual</p> |
|---|---|

Other water quality amount

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>Data element name: Other water quality amount</p> <p>Description: Total amount of reduction in other water quality metrics that is measured in the enrolled field.</p> <p>Data type: Decimal</p> <p>Measurement unit: Amount</p> <p>Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Other water quality’</p> <p>Data collection level: Field</p> | <p>Reporting question: How much reduction in other water quality metrics have been measured in the field?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values: 0-1,000,000</p> <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Annual</p> |
|---|---|

Other water quality amount unit

| | |
|---|---|
| <p>Data element name: Other water quality amount unit</p> <p>Description: Unit for the total amount of reduction in other water quality metrics that is measured in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.</p> <p>Data type: List</p> <p>Measurement unit: Category</p> <p>Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Other water quality’</p> <p>Data collection level: Field</p> | <p>Reporting question: What is the unit for the reduction in other water quality metrics measured in the field?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Degrees F • Kilograms • Kilograms per liter • Metric tons • Pounds • Other (specify) <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Annual</p> |
|---|---|

Other water quality purpose

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>Data element name: Other water quality purpose</p> <p>Description: Purpose of tracking other water quality benefits in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.</p> <p>Data type: List</p> <p>Measurement unit: Category</p> <p>Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Other water quality’</p> <p>Data collection level: Field</p> | <p>Reporting question: What is the purpose of tracking other water quality benefits?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commodity marketing • Producing insets • Producing offsets • I don’t know • Other (specify) <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Annual</p> |
|---|--|

Water quantity

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Data element name: Water quantity</p> <p>Description: Tracking of water conservation or reduction in use in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.</p> <p>Data type: List</p> <p>Measurement unit: Category</p> <p>Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Environmental benefits’</p> <p>Data collection level: Field</p> | <p>Reporting question: Is water conservation being tracked in the field?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • I don’t know <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Annual</p> |
|--|--|

Water quantity amount

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>Data element name: Water quantity amount</p> <p>Description: Total amount of water conservation or reduction that is measured in the field.</p> <p>Data type: Decimal</p> <p>Measurement unit: Amount</p> <p>Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Water quantity’</p> <p>Data collection level: Field</p> | <p>Reporting question: How much water conservation has been measured in the field?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values: 0-1,000,000</p> <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Annual</p> |
|---|--|

Water quantity amount unit

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>Data element name: Water quantity amount unit</p> <p>Description: Unit for the total amount of water conservation or reduced use that is measured and reported in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.</p> <p>Data type: List</p> <p>Measurement unit: Category</p> <p>Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Water quantity’</p> <p>Data collection level: Field</p> | <p>Reporting question: What is the unit for the amount of water conservation measured in the field?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acre-feet • Cubic feet • Other (specify) <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Annual</p> |
|---|--|

Water quantity purpose

Data element name: Water quantity purpose

Reporting question: What is the purpose of tracking water conservation?

Description: Purpose of tracking water conservation or reductions in water use in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don’t know
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Water quantity’

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

Reduced erosion

Data element name: Reduced erosion

Reporting question: Is reduced soil erosion being tracked in the field?

Description: Tracking of reduced soil erosion in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Yes
- No
- I don’t know

Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Environmental benefits’

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

Reduced erosion amount

Data element name: Reduced erosion amount

Reporting question: How much erosion reduction has been measured in the field?

Description: Total amount of erosion reduction that is measured in the enrolled field.

Data type: Decimal

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Amount

Allowed values: 0-1,000,000

Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Reduced erosion’

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

Reduced erosion amount unit

Data element name: Reduced erosion unit

Reporting question: What is the unit for the amount of erosion reduction measured?

Description: Unit for the total amount of erosion reduction from enrolled fields that is measured and reported by the project. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.

Data type: List

Select multiple values: No

Measurement unit: Category

Allowed values:

- Tons
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Reduced erosion’

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

Reduced erosion purpose

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>Data element name: Reduced erosion purpose</p> <p>Description: Purpose of tracking reduced erosion the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.</p> <p>Data type: List</p> <p>Measurement unit: Category</p> <p>Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Reduced erosion’</p> <p>Data collection level: Field</p> | <p>Reporting question: What is the purpose of tracking reduced erosion in the field?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commodity marketing • Producing insets • Producing offsets • I don’t know • Other (specify) <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Annual</p> |
|---|--|

Reduced energy use

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Data element name: Reduced energy use</p> <p>Description: Tracking of reduced energy use in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits.</p> <p>Data type: List</p> <p>Measurement unit: Category</p> <p>Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Environmental benefits’</p> <p>Data collection level: Field</p> | <p>Reporting question: Is reduced energy use being tracked in the field?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • I don’t know <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Annual</p> |
|--|--|

Reduced energy use amount

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>Data element name: Reduced energy use amount</p> <p>Description: Total amount of energy use reduction that is measured in the enrolled field.</p> <p>Data type: Decimal</p> <p>Measurement unit: Amount</p> <p>Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Reduced energy use’</p> <p>Data collection level: Field</p> | <p>Reporting question: How much energy use reduction has been measured in the field?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values: 0-1,000,000</p> <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Annual</p> |
|---|--|

Reduced energy use amount unit

| | |
|--|---|
| <p>Data element name: Reduced energy use unit</p> <p>Description: Unit for the total amount of energy use reduction that is measured in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.</p> <p>Data type: List</p> <p>Measurement unit: Category</p> <p>Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Reduced energy use’</p> <p>Data collection level: Field</p> | <p>Reporting question: What is the unit for the energy use reduction measured in the field?</p> <p>Select multiple values: No</p> <p>Allowed values:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Kilowatt hours • Other (specify) <p>Required: Yes</p> <p>Data collection frequency: Annual</p> |
|--|---|

Reduced energy use purpose

| | |
|--|---|
| Data element name: Reduced energy use purpose | Reporting question: What is the purpose of tracking reduced energy use in the field? |
| Description: Purpose of tracking reduced energy use in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commodity marketing • Producing insets • Producing offsets • I don’t know • Other (specify) |
| Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Reduced energy use’ | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Avoided land conversion

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Avoided land conversion | Reporting question: Is avoided land conversion being tracked in the field? |
| Description: Tracking of avoided land conversion in the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits. Land conservation means land use changing from agricultural uses to non-agricultural uses. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • I don’t know |
| Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Environmental benefits’ | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Avoided land conversion amount

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Avoided land conversion amount | Reporting question: How much avoided land conversion has been measured in the field? |
| Description: Total amount of avoided land conversion that is measured in the enrolled field. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Amount | Allowed values: 0-1,000,000 |
| Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Avoided land conversion’ | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Avoided land conversion amount unit

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Avoided land conversion unit | Reporting question: What is the unit for the amount of avoided land conversion measured in the field? |
| Description: Unit for the total amount of avoided land conversion that is measured in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acres • Other (specify) |
| Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Avoided land conversion’ | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Avoided land conversion purpose

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Avoided land conversion purpose | Reporting question: What is the purpose of tracking avoided land conversion in the field? |
| Description: Purpose of tracking avoided land conversion in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commodity marketing • Producing insets • Producing offsets • I don’t know • Other (specify) |
| Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Avoided land conversion’ | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Improved wildlife habitat

| | |
|--|--|
| Data element name: Improved wildlife habitat | Reporting question: Are improvements to wildlife habitat being tracked in the field? |
| Description: Tracking of improvements to wildlife in and around the enrolled field. Tracking means at a minimum using some form of monitoring and reporting that can quantify benefits. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes • No • I don’t know |
| Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Environmental benefits’ | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Improved wildlife habitat amount

| | |
|---|---|
| Data element name: Improved wildlife habitat amount | Reporting question: How much improved wildlife habitat has been measured in the field? |
| Description: Total amount of improved wildlife habitat that is measured in and around the enrolled fields. | |
| Data type: Decimal | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Amount | Allowed values: 0-1,000,000 |
| Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Improved wildlife habitat’ | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Improved wildlife habitat amount unit

| | |
|---|--|
| Data element name: Improved wildlife habitat unit | Reporting question: What is the unit for the amount of improved wildlife habitat measured in the field? |
| Description: Unit for the total amount of improved wildlife habitat that is measured in and around enrolled fields. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column. | |
| Data type: List | Select multiple values: No |
| Measurement unit: Category | Allowed values: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acres • Linear feet • Other (specify) |
| Logic: Respond if yes to ‘Improved wildlife habitat’ | Required: Yes |
| Data collection level: Field | Data collection frequency: Annual |

Improved wildlife habitat purpose

Data element name: Improved wildlife habitat purpose

Description: Purpose of tracking improved wildlife habitat in the enrolled field. If “other” is chosen, enter the appropriate value as free text in the additional column.

Data type: List

Measurement unit: Category

Reporting question: What is the purpose of tracking improved wildlife habitat in the field?

Select multiple values: No

Allowed values:

- Commodity marketing
- Producing insets
- Producing offsets
- I don't know
- Other (specify)

Logic: Respond if yes to 'Improved wildlife habitat'

Required: Yes

Data collection level: Field

Data collection frequency: Annual

CSAF Practice Sub-questions

For some CSAF practices, there is an additional set of questions that are unique to each practice. Responses to these questions are needed to verify estimated GHG benefits of these practices. If a field is implementing a CSAF practice with an NRCS CPS code in Table 11, answer the follow-up questions listed next to the relevant practice name in the table. Use the *Supplemental Reporting Workbook – CSAF Practice Sub-questions* to report the required information.

Table 11. Follow-on questions for select CSAF practices

| Practice name and code | Follow-up question | Options (select one) |
|---|---|---|
| Alley Cropping (CPS 311) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Shrubs |
| | Species density (number of trees planted per acre) | 1-10,000 |
| Anaerobic Digester (CPS 366) | Waste storage system prior to installing anaerobic digester | Aerobic lagoon |
| | | Anaerobic digester (complex mix) with energy generation |
| | | Anaerobic digester (plug flow) with energy generation |
| | | Anaerobic lagoon |
| | | Composting |
| | | Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring) |
| | | Covered lagoon with energy generation |
| | | Covered lagoon with flaring |
| | | Daily spread |
| | | Deep bedding pack |
| Digester type | Digester type | Deep pit |
| | | Dry lot |
| | | Dry stacking/solid storage |
| | | Pasture/range/paddock |
| | | Poultry with bedding |
| | | Poultry without bedding (e.g., high rise) |
| | | Slurry tank/basin |
| | | Covered lagoon with energy generation |
| | | Covered lagoon with flaring |
| | | Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring) |
| Additional feedstock source (select most common if using more than one) | Additional feedstock source (select most common if using more than one) | Complex mix with energy generation |
| | | Plug flow with energy generation |
| | | Other (specify) |
| | | Food waste |
| Additional feedstock source (select most common if using more than one) | Additional feedstock source (select most common if using more than one) | Straw or bedding |
| | | Wastewater |
| | | Other (specify) |

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Combustion System Improvement (CPS 372) | Fuel type before installation | Coal Diesel Electricity Gasoline Kerosene Liquified petroleum gas (LPG) Natural gas Propane Wood Other (specify) |
| | Fuel amount before installation | 0-1,000,000 |
| | Fuel amount unit before installation | Cubic feet (natural gas) Gallons (diesel, gasoline, propane, LPG, kerosene) Kilowatt-hours (electricity) Pounds (wood, coal) Other (specify) |
| | Fuel type after installation | Coal Diesel Electricity Gasoline Kerosene Liquified petroleum gas (LPG) Natural gas Propane Wood Other (specify) |
| | Fuel amount after installation | 0-1,000,000 |
| | Fuel amount unit after installation | Cubic feet (natural gas) Gallons (diesel, gasoline, propane, LPG, kerosene) Kilowatt-hours (electricity) Pounds (wood, coal) Other (specify) |
| Conservation Cover (CPS 327) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Brassicas Grasses Legumes Non-legume broadleaves Shrubs |

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Conservation Crop Rotation (CPS 328) | Conservation crop type | Brassica Broadleaf Cool season Grass Legume Warm season |
| | Change implemented | Added perennial crop Reduced fallow period Both |
| | Conservation crop rotation tillage type | Conventional (plow, chisel, disk) No-till, direct seed Reduced till Strip till None Other (specify) |
| | Total conservation crop rotation length in days | 1-120 |
| Contour Buffer Strips (CPS 332) | Strip width (feet) | 1-100 |
| | Species category | Grasses Forbs Mix |
| Cover Crop (CPS 340) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Brassicas Forbs Grasses Legume Non-legume broadleaves |
| | Cover crop planned management | Grazing Haying Termination |
| | Cover crop termination method | Burning Herbicide application Incorporation Mowing Rolling/crimping Winter kill/frost |
| | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Grass Grass legume/forb mix Herbaceous woody mix Perennial or reseeding Shrubs Trees |
| Feed Management (CPS 592) | Crude protein (percent) | 0-100 |
| | Fat (percent) | 0-100 |
| | Feed additives/supplements | Chemical Edible oils/fats Seaweed/kelp Other (specify) |
| Field Border (CPS 386) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Forbs Grasses Mix Shrubs |

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| | Strip width (feet) | 20-1,000 |
| Filter Strip (CPS 393) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Forbs Grasses Mix Shrubs |
| Forest Farming (CPS 379) | Land use in previous year | Forest Multi-story cropping Pasture/grazing land Row crops Other agroforestry |
| Forest Stand Improvement (CPS 666) | Purpose for implementation | Maintain or improve forest carbon stocks Maintain or improve forest health and productivity Maintain or improve forest structure and composition Maintain or improve wildlife, fish, and pollinator habitat Manage natural precipitation more efficiently Reduce forest pest pressure Reduce forest wildfire hazard |
| Grassed Waterway (CPS 412) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Flowering Plants Forbs Grasses |
| Hedgerow Planting (CPS 422) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Grasses Shrubs Trees |
| | Species density (number of trees planted per acre) | 1-10,000 |
| Herbaceous Wind Barriers (CPS 603) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Forbs Grasses Mix Shrubs |
| | Barrier width (feet) | 1-1,000 |
| | Number of rows | 1-100 |
| Mulching (CPS 484) | Mulch type | Gravel Natural Synthetic Wood |
| | Mulch cover (percent of field) | 0-100 |

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---|---|
| | Nutrient type with CPS 590 | Biosolids Commercial fertilizers Compost EEF (nitrification inhibitor) EEF (slow or controlled release) EEF (urease inhibitor) Green manure Liquid animal manure Organic by-products Organic residues or materials Solid/semi-solid animal manure Wastewater |
| | Nutrient application method with CPS 590 | Banded Broadcast Injection Irrigation Surface application Surface application with tillage Variable rate |
| Nutrient management (CPS 590) | Nutrient application method in the previous year | Banded Broadcast Injection Irrigation Surface application Surface application with tillage Variable rate |
| | Nutrient application timing with CPS 590 | Single pre-planting Single post-planting Split pre- and post-planting Split post-planting |
| | Nutrient application timing in the previous year | Single pre-planting Single post-planting Split pre- and post-planting Split post-planting |
| | Nutrient application rate with CPS 590 | 0-20,000 |
| | Nutrient application rate unit with CPS 590 | Gallons per acre Pounds per acre |
| | Nutrient application rate change | Decrease compared to previous year Increase compared to previous year No change |
| Pasture and Hay Planting (CPS 512) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Cool-season broadleaf Cool-season grass Warm-season broadleaf Warm-season grass |
| | Termination process | Grazing Haying (i.e., cutting and baling) Other (specify) |
| Prescribed Grazing (CPS 528) | Grazing type | Cell grazing Deferred rotational Management intensive Rest-rotation |

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| Range Planting (CPS 550) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Forbs Grasses Legumes Shrubs Trees |
| Residue and Tillage Management – No-till (CPS 329) | Surface disturbance | None Seed row only |
| Residue and Tillage Management – Reduced Till (CPS 345) | Surface disturbance | None Seed row/ridge tillage for planting Shallow across most of the soil surface Vertical/mulch |
| Riparian Forest Buffer (CPS 391) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) Species density (number of trees planted per acre) | Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Shrubs 1-10,000 |
| Riparian Herbaceous Cover (CPS 390) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Ferns Forbs Grasses Legumes Rushes Sedges |
| Roofs and Covers (CPS 367) | Roof/cover type | Concrete Flexible geomembrane Metal Timber Other (specify) |
| Silvopasture (CPS 381) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) Species density (number of trees planted per acre) | Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Forage Shrubs 1-10,000 |
| Stripcropping (CPS 585) | Strip width (feet) | 1-1,000 |
| | Crop category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) Number of strips | Erosion resistant crops Fallow Sediment trapping crops 2-100 |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment (CPS 612) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Shrubs |
| | Species density (number of trees planted per acre) | 1-10,000 |
| Vegetative Barrier (CPS 601) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Grasses Grass forb mix Grass legume mix |
| | Barrier width (feet) | 3-1,000 |

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| Waste Separation Facility (CPS 632) | Separation type | Chemical (e.g., salts, polymers) Mechanical (e.g., screens, presses) Settling basin |
| | Most common use of solids | Bedding Field applied Other (specify) |
| Waste Storage Facility (CPS 313) | Waste storage system prior to installing your waste storage facility | Aerobic lagoon Anaerobic digester (complex mix) with energy generation Anaerobic digester (plug flow) with energy generation Anaerobic lagoon Composting Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring) |
| | | Covered lagoon with energy generation Covered lagoon with flaring Daily spread Deep bedding pack Deep pit Dry lot Dry stacking/solid storage Pasture/range/paddock Poultry with bedding Poultry without bedding (e.g., high rise) Slurry tank/basin |
| Waste Treatment (CPS 629) | Treatment type | Biological Chemical Mechanical |
| Waste Treatment Lagoon (CPS 359) | Waste storage system prior to installing waste treatment lagoon | Aerobic lagoon Anaerobic digester (complex mix) with energy generation Anaerobic digester (plug flow) with energy generation Anaerobic lagoon Composting Covered lagoon (no energy generation or flaring) |
| | | Covered lagoon with energy generation Covered lagoon with flaring Daily spread Deep bedding pack Deep pit Dry lot Dry stacking/solid storage Pasture/Range/Paddock Poultry with bedding Poultry without bedding (e.g., high rise) Slurry tank/basin |
| | Is there a lagoon cover/crust? | Yes No |
| | Is there lagoon aeration? | Yes No |


 Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Data Dictionary for Recipients
 February 2023

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation (CPS 380) | Species category (select most common/extensive type if using more than one) | Coniferous trees Deciduous trees Shrubs |
| | Species density (number of trees planted per acre) | 1-10,000 |

Appendix A: Climate-smart Agriculture and Forestry Practices

All NRCS Practice Standards (not limited to climate-smart practices)

| | |
|---|--|
| 309, Agrichemical Handling Facility | 390, Riparian Herbaceous Cover |
| 311, Alley Cropping | 391, Riparian Forest Buffer |
| 313, Waste Storage Facility | 393, Filter Strip |
| 314, Brush Management | 394, Firebreak |
| 315, Herbaceous Weed Treatment | 395, Stream Habitat Improvement and Management |
| 316, Animal Mortality Facility | 396, Aquatic Organism Passage |
| 317, Composting Facility | 397, Aquaculture Pond |
| 318, Short Term Storage of Animal Waste and By-Products | 398, Fish Raceway or Tank |
| 319, On-Farm Secondary Containment Facility | 399, Fishpond Management |
| 320, Irrigation Canal or Lateral | 400, Bivalve Aquaculture Gear and Biofouling Control |
| 324, Deep Tillage | 402, Dam |
| 325, High Tunnel System | 410, Grade Stabilization Structure |
| 326, Clearing and Snagging | 412, Grassed Waterway |
| 327, Conservation Cover | 420, Wildlife Habitat Planting |
| 328, Conservation Crop Rotation | 422, Hedgerow Planting |
| 329, Residue and Tillage Management, No Till | 423, Hillside Ditch |
| 330, Contour Farming | 428, Irrigation Ditch Lining |
| 331, Contour Orchard and Other Perennial Crops | 428A, Irrigation Water Conveyance, Ditch and Canal Lining, Plain Concrete |
| 332, Contour Buffer Strips | 428B, Irrigation Water Conveyance, Ditch and Canal Lining, Flexible Membrane |
| 333, Amending Soil Properties with Gypsum Products | 428C, Irrigation Water Conveyance, Ditch and Canal Lining, Galvanized Steel |
| 334, Controlled Traffic Farming | 430, Irrigation Pipeline |
| 336, Soil Carbon Amendment | 432, Dry Hydrant |
| 338, Prescribed Burning | 436, Irrigation Reservoir |
| 340, Cover Crop | 441, Irrigation System, Microirrigation |
| 342, Critical Area Planting | 442, Sprinkler System |
| 345, Residue and Tillage Management, Reduced Till | 443, Irrigation System, Surface and Subsurface |
| 348, Dam, Diversion | 447, Irrigation and Drainage Tailwater Recovery |
| 350, Sediment Basin | 449, Irrigation Water Management |
| 351, Well Decommissioning | 450, Anionic Polyacrylamide (PAM) Application |
| 353, Monitoring Well | 453, Land Reclamation, Landslide Treatment |
| 355, Groundwater Testing | 455, Land Reclamation, Toxic Discharge Control |
| 356, Dike and Levee | 457, Mine Shaft and Adit Closing |
| 359, Waste Treatment Lagoon | 460, Land Clearing |
| 360, Waste Facility Closure | 462, Precision Land Forming and Smoothing |
| 362, Diversion | 464, Irrigation Land Leveling |
| 366, Anaerobic Digester | 466, Land Smoothing |
| 367, Roofs and Covers | 468, Lined Waterway or Outlet |
| 368, Emergency Animal Mortality Management | 472, Access Control |
| 371, Air Filtration and Scrubbing | 484, Mulching |
| 372, Combustion System Improvement | 490, Tree/Shrub Site Preparation |
| 373, Dust Control on Unpaved Roads and Surfaces | 500, Obstruction Removal |
| 374, Energy Efficient Agricultural Operation | 511, Forage Harvest Management |
| 375, Dust Management for Pen Surfaces | 512, Pasture and Hay Planting |
| 376, Field Operations Emissions Reduction | 516, Livestock Pipeline |
| 378, Pond | 520, Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Soil Treatment |
| 379, Forest Farming | 521, Pond Sealing or Lining, Geomembrane or Geosynthetic Clay Liner |
| 380, Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment and Renovation | 521A, Pond Sealing or Lining, Flexible Membrane |
| 381, Silvopasture | 521B, Pond Sealing or Lining, Soil Dispersant |
| 382, Fence | 521C, Pond Sealing or Lining, Bentonite Sealant |
| 383, Fuel Break | |
| 384, Woody Residue Treatment | |
| 386, Field Border | |
| 388, Irrigation Field Ditch | |

| | |
|--|---|
| 521D, Pond Sealing or Lining, Compacted Clay Treatment | 632, Waste Separation Facility |
| 522, Pond Sealing or Lining - Concrete | 633, Waste Recycling |
| 527, Sinkhole Treatment | 634, Waste Transfer |
| 528, Prescribed Grazing | 635, Vegetated Treatment Area |
| 533, Pumping Plant | 636, Water Harvesting Catchment |
| 543, Land Reclamation, Abandoned Mined Land | 638, Water and Sediment Control Basin |
| 544, Land Reclamation, Currently Mined Land | 640, Waterspreading |
| 548, Grazing Land Mechanical Treatment | 642, Water Well |
| 550, Range Planting | 643, Restoration of Rare or Declining Natural Communities |
| 554, Drainage Water Management | 644, Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management |
| 555, Rock Wall Terrace | 645, Upland Wildlife Habitat Management |
| 557, Row Arrangement | 646, Shallow Water Development and Management |
| 558, Roof Runoff Structure | 647, Early Successional Habitat Development-Mgt |
| 560, Access Road | 649, Structures for Wildlife |
| 561, Heavy Use Area Protection | 650, Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation |
| 562, Recreation Area Improvement | 654, Road/Trail/Landing Closure and Treatment |
| 566, Recreation Land Improvement and Protection | 655, Forest Trails and Landings |
| 570, Stormwater Runoff Control | 656, Constructed Wetland |
| 572, Spoil Disposal | 657, Wetland Restoration |
| 574, Spring Development | 658, Wetland Creation |
| 575, Trails and Walkways | 659, Wetland Enhancement |
| 576, Livestock Shelter Structure | 660, Tree-Shrub Pruning |
| 578, Stream Crossing | 666, Forest Stand Improvement |
| 580, Streambank and Shoreline Protection | 670, Energy Efficient Lighting System |
| 582, Open Channel | 672, Energy Efficient Building Envelope |
| 584, Channel Bed Stabilization | 736, Crop By-Product Transfer, interim |
| 585, Stripcropping | 724, Water Treatment Facility, interim |
| 587, Structure for Water Control | 735, Waste Gasification Facility, interim |
| 588, Crosswind Ridges | 737, Reduced Water and Energy Coffee Conveyance System, interim |
| 589, Cross Wind Trap Strips | 740, Pond Sealing and Lining, Soil Cement, interim |
| 590, Nutrient Management | 751, Individual Terrace, interim |
| 591, Amendments for Treatment of Agricultural Waste | 753, Infiltration Ditch, interim |
| 592, Feed Management | 755, Well Plugging, interim |
| 595, Pest Management Conservation System | 770, Livestock Confinement Facility, interim |
| 600, Terrace | 775, Drainage Ditch Covering, interim |
| 601, Vegetative Barrier | 782, Phosphorus Removal System, interim |
| 602, Equitable Relief | 800, Controlling Existing Flowing Wells, interim |
| 603, Herbaceous Wind Barriers | 803, Water Well Disinfection, interim |
| 604, Saturated Buffer | 805, Amending Soil Properties with Lime, interim |
| 605, Denitrifying Bioreactor | 808, Soil Carbon Amendment, interim |
| 606, Subsurface Drain | 809, Conservation Harvest Management, interim |
| 607, Surface Drain, Field Ditch | 810, Annual Forages for Grazing Systems, interim |
| 608, Surface Drain, Main or Lateral | 812, Raised Beds, interim |
| 609, Surface Roughening | 815, Groundwater Recharge Basin or Trench, interim |
| 610, Salinity and Sodic Soil Management | 817, On-Farm Recharge, interim |
| 612, Tree/Shrub Establishment | 818, Water Conservation System, interim |
| 614, Watering Facility | 821, Low Tunnel Systems, interim |
| 620, Underground Outlet | 823, Organic Management, interim |
| 629, Waste Treatment | |
| 630, Vertical Drain | |

Other CSAF Practices

Traditional or cultural practices

Microbial products

Solar power generation

Grain bin construction

Pre-season drainage

Appendix B: Commodity List

| | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| <u>CROPS</u> | CINNAMON | HYBRID POPLAR TREES |
| ALFALFA | CLOVER | IDLE |
| ALMONDS | COCONUTS | INDIGO |
| AMARANTH GRAIN | COFFEE | ISRAEL MELONS |
| APPLES | CORN | JACK FRUIT |
| APRICOTS | COTTON ELS | JERUSALEM ARTICHOKE |
| ARONIA (CHOKEBERRY) | COTTON UPLAND | JICAMA |
| ARTICHOKE | CRANBERRIES | JOJOBA |
| ASPARAGUS | CRENSHAW MELON | JUJUBE |
| ATEMOYA | CRUSTACEAN | JUNE BERRIES |
| AVOCADOS | CUCUMBERS | KENAF |
| BAMBOO SHOOTS | CURRENTS | KHORASAN |
| BANANAS | DASHEEN | KIWIBERRY |
| BARLEY | DATES | KIWIFRUIT |
| BEANS | DURIAN | KOCHIA (PROSTRATA) |
| BEETS | EGGPLANT | KOHLRABI |
| BIRDSFOOT/TREFOIL | EINKORN | KOREAN GOLDEN MELON |
| BLUEBERRIES | ELDERBERRIES | KUMQUATS |
| BREADFRUIT | EMMER | LAMBS EAR |
| BROCCOFLOWER | FIGS | LEEK |
| BROCCOLI | FINFISH | LEMONS |
| BROCCOLINI | FLAX | LENTILS |
| BRUSSEL SPROUTS | FLOWERS | LESPEDEZA |
| BUCKWHEAT | FORAGE SOYBEAN/SORGHUM | LETTUCE |
| CABBAGE | GAILON | LIMES |
| CACAO | GARLIC | LONGAN |
| CACTUS | GENIP | LOQUATS |
| CAIMITO | GINGER | LYCHEE |
| CALABAZA MELON | GINSENG | MANGOS |
| CALALOO | GOOSEBERRIES | MANGOSTEEN |
| CAMELINA | GOURDS | MAPLE SAP |
| CANARY MELON | GRAPEFRUIT | MAYHAW BERRIES |
| CANARY SEED | GRAPES | MEADOWFOAM |
| CANE BERRIES | GRASS | MILKWEED |
| CANISTEL | GREENS | MILLET |
| CANOLA | GROUND CHERRY | MIXED FORAGE |
| CANTALOUPE | GUAMABANA/SOURSOP | MOHAIR |
| CARAMBOLA (STAR FRUIT) | GUAR | MOLLUSK |
| CARROTS | GUAVA | MORINGA |
| CASHEW | GUAVABERRY | MULBERRIES |
| CASSAVA | GUAYULE | MUSHROOMS |
| CAULIFLOWER | HAZEL NUTS | MUSTARD |
| CELERIC | HEMP | NECTARINES |
| CELERY | HERBS | NIGER SEED |
| CHERIMOYA | HESPERALOE | NONI |
| CHERRIES | HONEY | OATS |
| CHESTNUTS | HONEY BERRIES | OKRA |
| CHICORY/RADICCHIO | HONEYDEW | OLIVES |
| CHINESE BITTER MELON | HOPS | ONIONS |
| CHRISTMAS TREES | HORSERADISH | ORANGES |
| CHUFAS | HUCKLEBERRIES | PAPAYA |

| | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| PARSNIP | STRAWBERRIES | |
| PASSION FRUITS | SUGAR BEETS | |
| PAWPAW | SUGARCANE | <u>LIVESTOCK</u> |
| PEACHES | SUNFLOWERS | ALPACAS |
| PEANUTS | SUNN HEMP | BEEF COWS |
| PEARS | TANGELOS | BEEFALO |
| PEAS | TANGERINES | BUFFALO OR BISON |
| PECANS | TANGORS | CHICKENS (BROILERS) |
| PENNYCRESS | TANGOS | CHICKENS (LAYERS) |
| PEPPERS | TANNIER | DAIRY COWS |
| PERENNIAL PEANUTS | TARO | DEER |
| PERIQUE TOBACCO | TEA | DUCKS |
| PERSIMMONS | TEFF | ELK |
| PINE NUTS | TI | EMUS |
| PINEAPPLE | TOBACCO CIGAR WRAPPER | EQUINE |
| PISTACHIOS | TOBACCO BURLEY | GEESE |
| PITAYA/DAGONFRUIT | TOBACCO BURLEY 31V | GOATS |
| PLANTAIN | TOBACCO CIGAR BINDER | HONEYBEES |
| PLUMCOTS | TOBACCO CIGAR FILLER | LLAMAS |
| PLUMS | TOBACCO CIGAR FILLER BINDER | REINDEER |
| POMEGRANATES | TOBACCO DARK AIR CURED | SHEEP |
| POTATOES | TOBACCO FIRE CURED | SWINE |
| POTATOES SWEET | TOBACCO FLUE CURED | TURKEYS |
| PRUNES | TOBACCO MARYLAND | |
| PSYLLIUM | TOBACCO VIRGINIA FIRE CURED | |
| PUMMELO | TOMATILLOS | |
| PUMPKINS | TOMATOES | |
| QUINCES | TREES TIMBER | |
| QUINOA | TRITICALE | |
| RADISHES | TRUFFLES | |
| RAISINS | TURNIPS | |
| RAMBUTAN | VETCH | |
| RAPESEED | WALNUTS | |
| RHUBARB | WAMPEE | |
| RICE | WASABI | |
| RICE SWEET | WATERMELON | |
| RICE WILD | WAX JAMBOO FRUIT | |
| RUTABAGA | WHEAT | |
| RYE | WILLOW SHRUB | |
| SAFFLOWER | WINTER MELON | |
| SAPODILLA | WOLFBERRY/GOJI | |
| SAPOTE | YAM | |
| SCALLIONS | | |
| SESAME | | |
| SHALLOTS | | |
| SORGHUM | | |
| SORGHUM DUAL PURPOSE | | |
| SORGHUM FORAGE | | |
| SOYBEANS | | |
| SPELT | | |
| SQUASH | | |
| STAR GOOSEBERRY | | |

Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities

Additional Specific Terms and Conditions

February 2023

I. Overarching Statement

The following award terms and conditions are applicable to Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities agreements and are in addition to the USDA FPAC General Terms and Conditions. The award recipient must abide by all terms of this grant including, but not limited to, the General Terms and Conditions, the terms in the Funding Opportunity and associated Frequently Asked Questions, and this addendum. The recipient must also deliver on the planned objectives in the project narrative and budget narrative associated with this grant.

II. Eligibility and Highly Erodible Lands and Wetlands Compliance

In order to be eligible for an incentive payment as a part of the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities, a producer must:

- Establish Farm Records with the Farm Service Agency (FSA) (have farm, tract, and field numbers in place);
- Complete an AD-2047 (Customer Data Worksheet to facilitate the collection of customer data for Business Partner Record);
- Certify highly erodible land conservation (HEL) and wetland conservation (WC) compliance via Form AD-1026, Highly Erodible Land Conservation (HEL) and Wetland Conservation (WC) Certification; and
- Certify that they are not a foreign person or entity.

Farm, tract, and field numbers are required for the producer, and ultimately the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities recipient, to report climate-smart practice implementation to USDA, as well as to certify and maintain HELC/WC compliance. This will require that some producers who do not already have these numbers, like perennial crop growers or feedlots, establish these records with USDA's FSA. Farm, tract, field numbers, producer name, and Core Customer I.D. (CCID) will be provided by the recipient to the National Program Officer as a part of routine grant reporting. Recipients must ensure that producers receiving financial assistance or incentives through this project use the same name as is included in the relevant FSA Business File for that Farm ID in any contracts or similar documentation kept by the recipient.

Producers are not bound by the payment limitations and the adjusted gross income (AGI) limitations that are in place for other USDA programs.

In order to demonstrate HELC/WC compliance for Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities incentive payments, producers will need to request a copy of their subsidiary print from their

USDA FSA field office. The Subsidiary Print includes print year specific eligibility related information about a selected producer. The producer will then provide this documentation to the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities recipients as proof of compliance. A current year subsidiary print will be required for each crop year that the producer receives a payment, and HELC/WC eligibility information is provided under the AD-1026 and Conservation Compliance sections of subsidiary (determined by year, which can change at any time during the year or in a subsequent year). As is the case already, field offices will not be expected to provide documentation to anyone besides the producer themselves (and must always comply with Section 1619 limitations if they ever do provide documentation to third parties). Producers must have control of the land for the term of their beneficiary contract.

Recipients are responsible for determining producer eligibility within the funding opportunity requirements. Recipients must inform producers of eligibility requirements and direct them to local USDA offices for requested information as necessary, including but not limited to, farm and tract establishment and Highly Erodible Land and Wetland Compliance determinations. Privacy of producers is a priority throughout this process, and recipients are responsible for maintaining producer privacy in the process.

At minimum, the recipient will collect and review subsidiary reports from participating producers. They will ensure that the producer is listed as “compliant” in all sections of the conservation compliance portion of subsidiary and “certified” for AD-1026 before an incentive payment is made. If payments to a producer span more than one Federal fiscal year, the recipient will review an updated subsidiary print each fiscal year to ensure that the status is still compliant.

III. Other Environmental and Cultural Resources Reviews

A Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) was signed by USDA NRCS on August 26, 2022. A copy of the Programmatic Environmental Assessment for Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities is available at www.usda.gov/climate-smart-commodities. USDA may determine that additional environmental and cultural resources review is needed for any particular action under Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities. The recipient must not execute any beneficiary contracts under this grant agreement prior to receipt of a letter from USDA that specifically details:

- 1) further procedures deemed appropriate by the Agency to ensure a completed National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review and all appropriate consultation requirements are met, and
- 2) additional instructions for any unanticipated discoveries or conditions.

A resolution of support is required for projects on Tribal lands from the governing body of the Tribe with jurisdiction over that land, if the applicant is not the Tribe nor an entity owned or

operated by that Tribe. USDA may approve alternative documentation for resolutions when USDA deems necessary and legally sufficient.

IV. Producer Benefits

USDA encourages the recipient to disclose to participating producers the manner and amount for which any market premiums derived from the development of the relevant climate-smart commodity will be shared between participating parties, including producers. USDA will be monitoring producer benefits, in particular those to small and underserved producers, throughout the grant period. Recipients agree that their project(s) will implement a plan for engaging small and underserved producers as laid out in this agreement.

V. Producer Data Protection and Disclosure

Recipients must ensure each producer has convenient access to any data collected from that producer or the producer's land and any associated modeling as part of the project. The recipient must provide each producer applying for benefits under this grant a description in writing of how their information, including but not limited to data about their farm and commodities, will be utilized, protected and shared as applicable.

VI. Other Data and Reporting Requirements

In addition to the reporting information provided in the statement of work and General Terms and Conditions, USDA will provide a template for the Detailed Progress Report, also known as the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities (PSCS) Project Reporting Workbook. Within 30 calendar days of execution of this grant, a copy of this workbook will be posted at www.usda.gov/climate-smart-commodities or an alternative location provided to the recipient by the National Program Officer. USDA may provide updates to the PCSC Project Reporting Workbook or submission methods to streamline the data collection process and/or reduce the burden on the recipient throughout the grant period. Generally, these updates will be provided at least 3 months in advance of any required changes. The recipient must not transfer any data to foreign governments or foreign entities without prior approval from USDA.

USDA will provide a Technical Contact for this grant. The Technical Contact will have the responsibility of technical oversight for USDA for the project. The recipient is responsible for providing the technical assistance required to successfully implement and complete the project. The recipient must comply with any requests for information from the Technical Contact. The Technical Contact for this award is the National Program Officer assigned to this grant.

Prior to execution of this grant, the recipient must provide a shapefile depicting the project boundary for enrollment under this grant. Producer enrollment may not occur outside this boundary without modification of this grant.

Within 30 calendar days of execution of this grant, the recipient must provide to the National Program Officer a website address where enrollment information will be posted for producers for the project associated with this grant. Recipients will be responsible for the following reports:

- Submit quarterly performance reports that include a written progress report, as well as additional reporting on specific data elements contained in the most up-to-date version of the Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Project Reporting Workbook. Additional information about each reported element is described in the Data Dictionary.
- Submit supplemental reports required to validate greenhouse gas (GHG) benefit data, including: (1) an initial project MMRV plan, (2) field-modeled GHG benefit reports, and (3) field-direct GHG measurement results, as applicable. Additional information about these reports is included in the Data Dictionary.
- Submit copies of project outputs and deliverables (e.g., fact sheets, reports) as attachments in ezFedGrants along with quarterly performance reports.
- Report the version of COMET-Planner used to estimate GHG benefits of the project within each quarterly performance report. As COMET-Planner is updated, recipients must adopt the latest version of the tool as directed by USDA for use in performance reports.

Recipients must designate an individual as a member of the USDA Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities Learning Network (Partnerships Network); this representative should be identified in the Project Narrative for this grant. Each project includes a plan for up to two Partnerships Network virtual meetings and two in-person meetings a year during the project duration. Dates and other details on events will be posted at www.usda.gov/climate-smart-commodities or an alternative location provided to the recipient by the National Program Officer.

The Partnerships Network will be co-chaired by representative from the USDA Office of the Chief Economist and the Farm Production and Conservation Mission Area. The Partnerships Network will inform synthesis reports to be assembled by USDA on a range of topics related to the implementation of Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities projects, including:

- Lessons-learned as projects are implemented;
- Options for providing technical assistance;
- Procedures for measurement/quantification, monitoring, reporting, and verifying GHG benefits;
- Options for tracing climate-smart commodities through the supply chain;
- Mechanisms for reducing costs of implementation;
- A forum for discussion and learning regarding approaches to climate-smart agriculture and forestry implementation (including but not limited to deployment and

measurement/quantification, monitoring, reporting, tracking, and verification of associated greenhouse gas benefits and marketing of climate-smart commodities).

- Synthesis of outcomes; and
- Opportunities for USDA and others to inform future approaches to generating new and expanded markets for climate-smart commodities.

The Partnerships Network topics to be discussed will cover at minimum the areas described in previous FAQs and will evolve with USDA's ongoing project data analysis efforts and with input from the project recipients on the kinds of sessions that will be most helpful to them in building the diverse climate-smart markets associated with their projects. Participation may include at least one interview a year and include questions related to the following areas:

- Technical assistance approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Producer outreach approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Monitoring, measurement, reporting, and verification (MMRV) approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Marketing approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Partnership approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Data collection and storage approaches, methods, and successes and/or challenges
- Supply chain approaches, methods and successes and/or challenges, including approaches to traceability
- Supply chain benefits and demand for climate-smart commodities
- Perspectives on program design, climate-smart commodity definitions, and future approaches or opportunities
- Project successes and stories

USDA may also request producer exit reports at a later date. Additional marketing and branding-related requirements may be provided by USDA, including signage related to Partnerships for Climate-Smart Commodities.

VII. Competition and Anti-Competitive Practices

In connection with this grant, recipients may not prohibit or otherwise limit a producer from changing the provider of other services or materials not included as part of this grant. Recipients may not condition, limit, steer, or discriminate in their provision or sale of non-project business functions or products to producers based on their participation or non-participation in or use of any services provided as part of this grant. Additionally, funds in this agreement shall not be used for purposes or activities related to mergers or acquisitions.

VIII. Suspension and Disbarment

The provisions governing Suspension and Disbarment in subsection 1.a.8 shall also apply to fraud, embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification, or destruction of records, making false statements, or violations of the Federal civil antitrust or unfair trade practice laws.

IX. Special provisions for awards to for-profit entities as recipients

This section contains provisions that apply to awards to for-profit entities. These provisions are in addition to other applicable provisions of these terms and conditions, or they make exceptions from other provisions of the terms and conditions for awards to for-profit entities. For-profit entities that receive awards have two options regarding audits:

- 1) A financial related audit of a particular award in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, in those cases where the for-profit entity receives awards under only one USDA program; or, if awards are received under multiple USDA programs, a financial related audit of all awards in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; or
- 2) An audit that meets the requirements contained in 2 CFR 200 subpart F.

For-profit entities that receive annual awards totaling less than the audit requirement threshold in 2 CFR 200 subpart F are exempt from USDA audit requirements for that year, but records must be available for review by appropriate officials of Federal agencies or the Government Accountability Office.

X. Non-Disparagement

Recipients may not engage in any advertising deemed by USDA as disparaging to another agricultural commodity or competing product, or in violation of the prohibition against false and misleading advertising. Disparagement is defined as anything that depicts other commodities in a negative or unpleasant light via overt or subjective video, photography, or statements. Comparative advertising is allowable, provided the presentation of facts is truthful, objective, not misleading, and supported by a reasonable basis.